Interpolation problems: del Pezzo surfaces

AARON LANDESMAN AND ANAND PATEL

Abstract. We consider the problem of interpolating projective varieties through points and linear spaces. We show that del Pezzo surfaces satisfy weak interpolation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 14N25 (primary); 14J26, 14J45, 14N05, 14N10, (secondary).

1. Introduction

The question of interpolation is one of the most classical questions in algebraic geometry. Indeed, it dates all the way back to the ancients, starting with Euclid's postulate that through any two points there passes a unique line. The problem of interpolating a polynomial function y = f(x) of degree $\le n - 1$ passing through n general points in the plane was explicitly solved by Lagrange in the late 1700's. These examples should be considered the first two instances of an interpolation problem in the sense of this paper.

In simple terms, an interpolation problem involves two pieces of data:

- (1) A class \mathscr{H} of varieties in projective space (*e.g.*, "rational normal curves") often specified by a component of a Hilbert scheme;
- (2) A collection of (usually linear) incidence conditions (*e.g.*, "passing through five fixed points and incident to a fixed 2-plane").

The problem is then to determine whether there exists a variety $[X] \in \mathcal{H}$ meeting a general choice of conditions of the specified type.

More precisely, suppose U is an integral subscheme of the Hilbert scheme parameterizing varieties of dimension k in \mathbb{P}^n . Define q and r so that dim $U = q \cdot (n-k) + r$. We say U satisfies interpolation if for any collection $p_1, \ldots, p_q, \Lambda$, where $p_i \in \mathbb{P}^n$ are points and $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a plane of dimension n - k - r, there exists some $[Y] \in U$ so that Y passes through p_1, \ldots, p_q and meets Λ . We say U satisfies weak interpolation if there exists some $[Y] \in U$ meeting q general points

Received February 25, 2017; accepted in revised form March 12, 2018. Published online December 2019.

 p_q, \ldots, p_q . If X is a projective variety lying on a unique irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme, denoted \mathscr{H}_X , then we say X satisfies interpolation if \mathscr{H}_X does. Although this description of interpolation, given in Theorem A.7(9) is the most classical one, there are at least twenty two equivalent descriptions of interpolation under moderate hypotheses, as we show later in Theorem A.7.

The first nontrivial case of interpolation in higher dimensional projective space is that rational normal curves satisfy interpolation, meaning there is one through a general collection of n + 3 points in \mathbb{P}^n , see Subsection 1.2.1. Interpolation of higher genus curves in projective space is extensively studied in [2, 30], and [22]. We review interpolation for rational curves and results of interpolation for higher genus curves in Section 1.2 below.

Surprisingly, despite being such a fundamental problem, very little is known about interpolation of higher dimensional varieties in projective space. To our knowledge, the work of Coble in [6], of Coskun in [7], and of Eisenbud and Popescu in [12, Theorem 4.5] are the only places where a higher dimensional interpolation problem is addressed. In [20, Theorem 1.1], the first author showed all varieties of minimal degree satisfy interpolation. In this paper, we continue the study of interpolation problems for higher dimensional varieties:

Theorem 1.1. All del Pezzo surfaces satisfy weak interpolation.

In many ways, the del Pezzo surfaces are a natural next class of varieties to look at. First, as mentioned earlier, varieties of minimal degree were shown to satisfy interpolation in the first author's Theorem 1.5. Del Pezzo surfaces are surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^d , one higher than minimal. Further, all irreducible surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^d are either del Pezzo surfaces, projections of surfaces of minimal degree, or cones over elliptic curves, by [8, Theorem 2.5]. So, del Pezzo constitute all linearly normal smooth varieties of degree d in \mathbb{P}^d . By analogy, all curves of degree d - 1in \mathbb{P}^d (also one more than minimal degree) have been shown to satisfy interpolation in [2, Theorem 1.3]. Second, since del Pezzos are the only Fano surfaces, they can be viewed as the surface analogue of rational curves, which are already known to satisfy interpolation.

1.1. Relevance of Interpolation

Before detailing what is currently known about interpolation, we pause to describe several ways in which interpolation arises in algebraic geometry.

First, interpolation arises naturally when studying families of varieties. As an example, we consider the problem of producing moving curves in the moduli space of genus g curves, $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. Suppose we know, for example, that canonical (or multi-canonical) curves satisfy interpolation through a collection of points and linear spaces. Then, after imposing the correct number of incidence conditions, one obtains a moving curve in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. Indeed, as one varies the incidence conditions, these curves sweep out a dense open set in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, and hence determine a moving curve. One long-standing open problem in this area is that of determining the least

upper bound for the slope δ/λ of a moving curve in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. In low genera, moving curves constructed via interpolation realize the least upper bounds. Establishing interpolation is a necessary first step in the construction of such moving curves. For a more in depth discussion of slopes, see [5, Section 3.3]. This application is also outlined in the second and third paragraphs of [3].

We next provide an application of interpolation to the problems in Gromov-Witten theory. Gromov-Witten theory can be used to count the number of curves satisfying incidence or tangency conditions. Techniques in interpolation can also be used to count this number, and we now explain how interpolation techniques can sometimes lead to solutions where Gromov-Witten Theory fails. When the Kontsevich space is irreducible and of the correct dimension one can employ Gromov-Witten theory without too much difficulty to count the number of varieties meeting a certain number of general points. In more complicated cases, one needs a virtual fundamental class, and then needs to find the contributions of this virtual fundamental class from nonprincipal components and subtract the contributions from these components. However, arguments in interpolation can very often be used to count the number of varieties containing a general set of points, as is done for surface scrolls in [7, Results, page 2]. Coskun's technique also allows one to efficiently compute Gromov-Witten invariants for curves in $\mathbb{G}(1, n)$. Although there was a prior algorithm to compute this using Gromov-Witten theory, Coskun notes that his method is exponentially faster. The standard algorithm, when run on Harvard's MECCAH cluster "took over four weeks to determine the cubic invariants of $\mathbb{G}(1, 5)$. The algorithm we prove here allows us to compute some of these invariants by hand" [7, page 2].

Interpolation also distinguishes components of Hilbert schemes. For a typical example of this phenomenon, consider the Hilbert scheme of twisted cubics in \mathbb{P}^3 . This connected component of the Hilbert scheme has two irreducible components. One of these components has general member which is a smooth rational normal curve in \mathbb{P}^3 and is 12 dimensional. The other component has general member corresponding to the union of a plane cubic and a point in \mathbb{P}^3 , which is 15 dimensional. While the component of rational normal curves satisfies interpolation through 6 points, the other component doesn't even pass through 5 general points, despite having a larger dimension than the component parameterizing smooth rational normal curves.

1.2. Interpolation: a lay of the land

1.2.1. Rational normal curves

Interpolation holds for rational normal curves. This is precisely the well known fact that through r + 3 general points in \mathbb{P}^r there exists a unique rational normal curve $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. A dimension count provides evidence for existence: the (main component of the) Hilbert scheme of rational normal curves is $r^2 + 2r - 3 = (r + 3)(r - 1)$ dimensional, and the requirement of passing through a point imposes r - 1 conditions on rational normal curves. Therefore we expect finitely many rational normal

curves through r + 3 general points. "Counting constants" as above only provides a plausibility argument for existence of rational curves interpolating through the required points – it is not a proof. To illustrate this, we give an example where interpolation is not satisfied, even though the dimension count says otherwise.

Example 1.2. A parameter count suggests there should be a genus 4 canonical curve through 12 general points in \mathbb{P}^3 : The dimension of the Hilbert scheme of canonical curves is dim \mathcal{M}_4 + dim $Aut(\mathbb{P}^3) = 3 \cdot 4 - 3 + 4^2 - 1 = 24$ and each point imposes two conditions on a curve in \mathbb{P}^3 , so that we expect a 0 dimensional family through 12 = 24/2 points. However, such a canonical curve is a complete intersection of a quadric and a cubic. Since a quadric is determined by 9 general points, the curve, which lies on the quadric, cannot contain 12 general points. In other words, canonical genus 4 curves do not satisfy interpolation.

There are many proofs that there is a unique rational normal curve through r + 3 points in \mathbb{P}^r . One proof proceeds by directly constructing a rational normal curve using explicit equations. Another approach is via a degeneration argument, as in Example 1.7. One can also use association (see [12]) to deduce the lemma. A purely synthetic proof also exists, as is found in [23, Proposition 2.4.4].

1.2.2. Higher genus curves

One way to generalize interpolation for rational normal curves is to consider higher genus curves in projective space. For many reasons it is simpler to consider curves embedded via nonspecial linear systems. Interpolation for arbitrary rational curves, not just rational normal curves, was proven in [27], and later independently proven in [24]. Hence, it is natural to ask whether curves of higher genus satisfy interpolation. The related question of semistability for curves of genus 1 was explored in [10], which was later used in [4, Theorem 1] to prove that elliptic normal curves satisfy interpolation.

Around the same time, it was shown in [3, Theorem 7.1] that nonspecial curves, apart from those of genus 2 and degree 5, in \mathbb{P}^3 satisfy interpolation. This was generalized from \mathbb{P}^3 to projective spaces of arbitrary dimension in the following comprehensive recent result of Atanasov-Larson-Yang:

Theorem 1.3 ([2, Theorem 1.3]). Strong interpolation holds for the main component of the Hilbert scheme parameterizing nonspecial curves of degree d, genus g in projective space \mathbb{P}^r , with $d \ge g + r$ unless

$$(d, g, r) \in \{(5, 2, 3), (6, 2, 4), (7, 2, 5)\}.$$

It is also shown in [32, page 108] (which combines the work in [30], dealing with the canonical curves of genus not equal to 8 and [31, Proposition, page 3715], dealing with canonical curves of genus 8) that canonical curves of genus at least 3 fail to satisfy weak interpolation if and only if their genus is 4 or 6.

In fact, the above leads to a complete classification of whether Castelnuovo curves satisfy weak interpolation:

Example 1.4. Castelnuovo curves of degree d and genus g in \mathbb{P}^r satisfy weak interpolation if and only if $d \leq 2r$ and $(d, g, r) \notin \{(5, 2, 3), (6, 2, 4), (7, 2, 5), (6, 4, 3), (10, 6, 5)\}$. Further, a Castelnuovo curve of degree d and genus g in \mathbb{P}^r of degree not equal to 2r satisfies interpolation if and only if d < 2r and $(d, g, r) \notin \{(5, 2, 3), (6, 2, 4), (7, 2, 5)\}$.

The proof of this statement is not difficult given the above results. When, d < 2r, the statement follows from Theorem 1.3. When d = 2r, it follows from [32, page 108]. Finally, to see that Castelnuovo curves of degree d < 2r, do not satisfy weak interpolation, note that such a curve lies on a surface of minimal degree. However, if weak interpolation is equivalent to the Castelnuovo curve passing through *n* points, a dimension count shows that there will be such surface of minimal degree passing through *n* points, and so there can be no such Castelnuovo curve.

To summarize the above example, canonical curves approximately "form the boundary" between Castelnuovo curves satisfying interpolation and Castelnuovo curves not satisfying interpolation.

1.2.3. Higher dimensional varieties: Varieties of minimal degree

Recent work of the first author [20], establishes interpolation for all varieties of minimal degree. Recall that a variety of dimension k and degree d in \mathbb{P}^n is of minimal degree if it is not contained in a hyperplane and d + k = n - 1. By [11, Theorem 1], an irreducible variety is of minimal degree if and only if it is a degree 2 hypersurface, the 2-Veronese in \mathbb{P}^5 or a rational normal scroll.

Theorem 1.5 ([20, Landesman]). Smooth varieties of minimal degree satisfy interpolation.

Remark 1.6. Parts of Theorem 1.5 have been previously established. For example, the dimension 1 case is covered in 1.2.1. The Veronese surface was shown to satisfy interpolation in [6, Theorem 19], see Subsection 5.4 for a more detailed description of this proof. It was already established that 2-dimensional scrolls satisfy interpolation in Coskun's thesis [7, Example, page 2], and furthermore, Coskun gives a method for computing the number of scrolls meeting a specified collection of general linear spaces. Finally, weak interpolation was established for scrolls of degree d and dimension k with $d \ge 2k - 1$ in [12, Theorem 4.5].

1.3. Approaches to interpolation

There are at least three approaches to solving interpolation problems.

The first approach is to directly construct a variety $[Y] \in \mathcal{H}$ meeting the specified constructions. This method is quite ad hoc: For one, we would need ways of constructing varieties in projective space. Our ability to do so is very limited and always involves special features of the variety. For examples of this approach, see Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4.

The second standard approach is via specialization and degeneration. In this approach, we specialize the points to a configuration for which it is easy to see there is an isolated point of \mathscr{H} containing such a configuration. Often, although not always, the isolated point of \mathscr{H} corresponds to a singular variety. Finding singular varieties may often be easier than finding smooth ones, particularly if those singular varieties have multiple components, because we may be able to separately interpolate each of the components through two complementary subsets of the points. An instance of specialization, although in a slightly different context, can be found in Subsection 6.5. Here is a simpler example:

Example 1.7. A simple example of specialization and degeneration can be seen in proving that there is a twisted cubic curve through 6 general points in \mathbb{P}^3 . Start by specializing four of the five points to lie in a plane. There are no smooth twisted cubics through such a collection of points. However, there is a singular twisted cubic, realized as the union of a line and a plane conic through such a collection of points.

To see there is such a singular twisted cubic, note that if we draw a line through the two non-planar points, it will intersect the plane containing the four points at a fifth point p. There will then be a unique conic through p and the 4 planar points. The union of this line and conic is a degenerate twisted cubic. Omitting several technical details, this curve ends up being isolated among curves in the irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of twisted cubics through this collection of points, and hence twisted cubics satisfy interpolation.

The third approach is via association, see Section 5 for more details on what this means. The general picture is that association determines a natural way of identifying a set of t points in \mathbb{P}^a with a collection of t points in \mathbb{P}^b , up to the action of PGL_{a+1} on the first and PGL_{b+1} on the second. Then, if one can find a certain variety through the t points in \mathbb{P}^a , one may be able to use association to find the desired variety through the t associated points in \mathbb{P}^b . For an example of this approach, see Subsection 5.4 and Section 6.

1.4. Main results of this paper

Recall that a del Pezzo surface, embedded in \mathbb{P}^n , is a surface with ample anticanonical bundle, embedded by the complete linear system of its anticanonical bundle. All del Pezzo surfaces have degree d in \mathbb{P}^d , and all linearly normal smooth surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^d are del Pezzo surfaces by [8, Theorem 2.5]. We also know the dimension of the component of the Hilbert scheme containing a del Pezzo surface from [8, Lemma 2.3], as given in Table 1.1, and that all del Pezzo surfaces have $H^1(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$, by [8, Lemma 5.7].

Assuming the remainder of the paper, we now restate and prove our main result.

Theorem 1.1. All del Pezzo surfaces satisfy weak interpolation.

Table 1.1. Conditions for del Pezzo surfaces to satisfy interpolation. Type 0 refers to the component of the Hilbert scheme whose general member is a degree 8 del Pezzo surface, isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_0 , (this also includes, in its closure, del Pezzo surfaces abstractly isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_2 ,) while type 1 refers to those isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_1 . The dimension counts are proven in [8, Lemma 2.3].

Degree	Dimension	Number of Points	Additional linear space dimension, if any
3	19	19	None
4	26	13	None
5	35	11	1
6	46	11	2
7	59	11	1
8, type 0	74	12	4
8, type 1	74	12	4
9	91	13	None

Proof. Recall that there is a unique component of the Hilbert scheme of del Pezzo surfaces in degrees 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, and there are two in degree 8. One component in degree 8, which we call type 0, has general member abstractly isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The other component in degree 8, which we call type 1, has general member abstractly isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_1 . The other component in degree 3 and 4 surfaces hold by Lemma A.16. The case of degree 5 del Pezzo surfaces is Theorem 2.1. The case of degree 6 del Pezzo surfaces is Theorem 3.4. The case of degree 8, type 0 surfaces is Theorem 4.4. Finally, the three remaining cases of del Pezzo surfaces in degrees 7, 8, 9 are Corollary 6.21, Corollary 6.23, and Theorem 6.1, respectively.

1.5. Organization of paper

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: We also include a proof of the elementary fact that balanced complete intersections satisfy interpolation. In Section 2, Section 3, and Section 4, we show del Pezzo surfaces of degree 5, 6, and degree 8, type 0, respectively, satisfy weak interpolation. Our approach for surfaces of degree 5, 6 and the degree 8, type 0 del Pezzo surfaces is to find surfaces through a collection of points by first finding a curve or threefold containing the points and then a surface containing the curve or contained in the threefold. In Section 5, we recall the technique of association, in preparation for Section 6, where we use association to prove weak interpolation of del Pezzo surface case is by far the most technically challenging case in the paper. We were led to the approach of association after reading Coble's remarkable paper "Associated Sets of Points" [6]. We discuss further questions and open problems in Section 7. Finally, in Appendix A we prove many distinct formulations of interpolation are equivalent.

1.6. Notation and conventions

We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic zero, unless otherwise stated. We freely use the language of line bundles, divisor classes, and linear

systems. When V is a vector space of dimension d, we sometimes write it as V^d to indicate its dimension.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We would like to thank Francesco Cavazzani, Izzet Coskun, Igor Dolgachev, Joe Harris, Brendan Hassett, János Kollár, Peter Landesman, Eric Larson, Rahul Pandharipande, and Adrian Zahariuc, and several anonymous referees for helpful conversations and correspondence.

2. Degree 5 del Pezzos

Theorem 2.1. *Quintic del Pezzo surfaces satisfy weak interpolation.*

Proof. By Table 1.1 it suffices to show quintic del Pezzo surfaces pass through 11 points.

Start by choosing 11 points. Since degree 3, dimension 3 scrolls satisfy interpolation, by Theorem 1.5, there is such a scroll through any 12 general points. Equivalently, there is a two dimensional family of scrolls through 11 points, which sweeps out all of \mathbb{P}^5 . In any scroll in this two dimensional family, we will show there is a quintic del Pezzo.

First, start with a scroll X containing the 11 points. Since X is projectively normal and its ideal is defined by 3 quadrics, $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(2)) = 21 - 3 = 18$. Therefore, if we let P be a ruling two plane of X, since $h^0(P, \mathcal{O}_P(2)) = 6$, there will be an 18 - 6 = 12 dimensional space of quadrics on X vanishing on P. Therefore, there will be a 12 - 11 = 1 dimensional space of quadrics vanishing on P and containing the 11 points. However, the intersection of any such quadric with X is the union of P and a quintic del Pezzo surface. Therefore, we have produced a two dimensional family of quintic del Pezzo surfaces containing the 11 points. \Box

Remark 2.2. Another way to prove weak interpolation of quintic del Pezzo surfaces uses curves instead of threefolds. Specifically, by [30, Corollary 6], every genus 6 canonical curve passes through 11 general points. Then, since there is a quintic del Pezzo surface containing any genus 6 canonical curve, as proved in, among other places, [1, 5.8]. Then, because there is a canonical curve containing these points and a quintic del Pezzo containing the canonical curve, there is a quintic del Pezzo containing these points.

3. Degree 6 del Pezzos

By Table 1.1, weak interpolation for sextic del Pezzos amounts to showing that through 11 general points $\Gamma_{11} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ there passes a sextic del Pezzo.

Lemma 3.1. Through 11 general points $\Gamma_{11} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ there passes a smooth degree 9, genus 3 curve.

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 1.3.

Starting from a curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ as in Lemma 3.1, we can "build" a sextic del Pezzo surface containing C.

Lemma 3.2. Let D be a general degree 9 divisor class on a genus 3 curve C. Then there exists a unique degree three effective divisor P + Q + R such that $D \sim 3K_C - (P + Q + R)$.

Proof. In general, if X is a smooth genus g curve, the natural map

 $J: \operatorname{Sym}^{g} C \longrightarrow \operatorname{Pic}^{g} C$

is a birational morphism.

In our setting, if D is a general degree 9 divisor class, $3K_C - D$ will be a general degree 3 divisor class, and therefore can be represented by a unique degree three divisor class P + Q + R. Of course, by Riemann-Roch, every degree three divisor class is effective.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\Gamma_{11} \subset \mathbb{P}^6$ be general, and let *C* be general among the degree 9, genus 3 curves containing Γ_{11} . Then there is a smooth sextic del Pezzo surface containing *C*.

Proof. Since Γ_{11} are chosen generally, we have that a general *C* containing them is not hyperelliptic. So, we may embed $C \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ via its canonical series $|K_C|$. The linear system $|3K_C - (P + Q + R)|$ on *C* is cut out by plane cubics passing through the three points P + Q + R. Under the generality conditions, we can assume *P*, *Q*, *R* are not collinear in \mathbb{P}^2 .

The linear system of plane cubics through three noncollinear points maps \mathbb{P}^2 birationally to a smooth sextic del Pezzo surface in \mathbb{P}^6 .

Theorem 3.4. Sextic del Pezzo surfaces satisfy weak interpolation.

Proof. To show a sextic del Pezzo satisfies interpolation, by Table 1.1, it suffices to show it passes through 11 general points. By Lemma 3.1, there is a degree 9 genus 3 curve through 11 general points in \mathbb{P}^6 . By Lemma 3.3, there is a sextic del Pezzo containing a degree 3 genus 9 curve in \mathbb{P}^6 .

4. The degree 8, type 0 del Pezzos

Next we consider $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ embedded by the linear system of (2, 2)-curves. To prove weak interpolation, by Table 1.1, we want to show there is such a surface passing through 12 general points $\Gamma_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}^8$. As in the sextic del Pezzo case, we will again "build" a surface starting from a curve.

Lemma 4.1. Through 12 general points $\Gamma_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ there passes a smooth genus 2 curve of degree 10.

Proof. This is a special case of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.2. A general degree 5 divisor class D on a smooth genus 2 curve may be written uniquely as $K_C + A$, where A is a basepoint free degree 3 divisor class. A general degree 10 divisor class E can be expressed as 2D for 2^4 distinct degree 5 divisor classes D.

Proof. Similar to Lemma 3.2. We leave the details to the reader.

Lemma 4.3. The general genus 2, degree 10 curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ is contained in a $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ embedded via the linear system of (2, 2) curves.

Proof. Let *H* denote the degree 10 hyperplane divisor class on $C \subset \mathbb{P}^8$. Write H = 2D for some degree five divisor class *D*, and write $D \sim K_C + A$ for a unique degree 3 divisor class *A*. By generality assumptions, *A* is basepoint free, and we obtain a map

$$f: C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$$

given by the pair of series $(|K_C|, |A|)$. This map embeds C as a (2, 3) curve.

The linear system |(2, 2)| on this $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ restricts to the complete linear system 2D on C, and therefore induces the original embedding $C \subset \mathbb{P}^8$. The image of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ under the system |(2, 2)| is therefore the surface we desire.

Theorem 4.4. $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^8$ embedded via the linear system of (2, 2) curves satisfies weak interpolation.

Proof. This follows by combining Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.3. \Box

Remark 4.5. An interesting feature of this solution to our interpolation problem is that the surfaces we've constructed through the 12 general points Γ_{12} are in fact special among the two dimensional family of surfaces passing through these points. Indeed, the set Γ_{12} is contained in a (2, 3) curve on the surfaces we've constructed, but a general set of twelve points on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ does not lie on any (2, 3) curve!

5. Interlude: association

This section is meant to provide the reader with basic familiarity with association, also known as the Gale transform. Association will be a recurring tool in the rest of the paper. We closely follow the exposition in [12].

5.1. Preliminaries

Throughout this section, we let Γ be a Gorenstein scheme, finite over k of length $\gamma = r + s + 2$, L an invertible sheaf on Γ , and $V \subset H^0(\Gamma, L)$ a vector space of dimension r + 1. In practice, Γ will be given as embedded in projective space \mathbb{P}^r , L will be $\mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)$, and V will be the image of the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^r}(1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\Gamma, \mathscr{O}_{\Gamma}(1)).$$

1398

For brevity, we will often refer to the data of the pair (V, L) as a linear system on Γ . For clarity, we will sometimes put subscripts on Γ emphasizing the number of points.

The Gorenstein hypothesis on Γ says that the dualizing sheaf ω_{Γ} is a line bundle, and furthermore Serre duality holds: There is a trace map $t: H^0(\Gamma, \omega_{\Gamma}) \longrightarrow k$, and for any line bundle L the trace pairing

$$H^0(\Gamma, L) \otimes H^0(\Gamma, L^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\Gamma}) \longrightarrow k$$

is nondegenerate.

Therefore if V is a r+1 dimensional subspace of $H^0(\Gamma, L)$, we obtain a natural s + 1-dimensional subspace

$$V^{\perp} \subset H^0(\Gamma, L^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\Gamma}),$$

namely the orthogonal complement of V under the trace pairing.

Definition 5.1. Let Γ be a length γ Gorenstein scheme over k, and let (V, L) be a linear system on Γ . Then we say $(V^{\perp}, L^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{\Gamma})$ is the associated linear system of (V, L).

Notice that association provides a correspondence between vector spaces $V \leftrightarrow V^{\perp}$, and not between vector spaces with chosen bases. Geometrically this means association provides a bijection between the $PGL_{r+1}(k)$ -orbits of Gorenstein $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{r}$ (in general linear position) and $PGL_{s+1}(k)$ -orbits of Gorenstein $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{s}$ (in general linear position). Given this, in the future when we refer to "the associated set", we really mean the $PGL_{s+1}(k)$ -orbit. Moreover, it is known that association provides an isomorphism of GIT quotients

$$(\mathbb{P}^r)^{\gamma}//\operatorname{PGL}_{r+1}(k) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{P}^s)^{\gamma}//\operatorname{PGL}_{s+1}(k),$$

and therefore takes general subsets to general subsets.

5.2. Inducing association from an ambient linear system

Association is a very algebraic construction. Therefore, it is interesting to find geometric constructions which "induce" association for a set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^r$. To see many examples of the geometry underlying association, we refer to [12].

In [6, page 2], Coble asks, in less modern language, whether there exists a linear system $W^{s+1} \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(d))$ whose base locus is disjoint from Γ , and which restricts on Γ to the associated linear system.

A linear system $W^{s+1} \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(d))$ yields a rational map

$$\phi_W: \mathbb{P}^r \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^s.$$

Definition 5.2. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ be a Gorenstein scheme of degree $\gamma = r + s + 2$. An ambient linear system is any vector space $V \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(d))$. An ambient linear system $W^{s+1} \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^r, \mathcal{O}(d))$ induces association for Γ if its base locus is disjoint from Γ and if the image $\phi_W(\Gamma) \subset \mathbb{P}^s$ is the associated set of Γ .

It is important to note, as Coble does, that an ambient system inducing association won't be unique in general.

When association is induced from an ambient system, we automatically get a variety $\phi_W(\mathbb{P}^r) \subset \mathbb{P}^s$ containing Γ . Our task is ultimately to find an ambient linear system W which induces association for Γ , and such that the image $\phi_W(\mathbb{P}^r)$, (by this, we mean the image of the resolution of ϕ_W) is a prescribed type of variety, *e.g.*, Veronese images, del Pezzo surfaces, etc.

5.3. Goppa's theorem

Goppa's theorem is frequently useful when looking for ambient systems inducing association.

Theorem 5.3 (Goppa's theorem). Let $f: B \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ be a map from a smooth curve given by a nonspecial, complete linear system |H|. Let $\Gamma \subset B$ be a scheme of length $\gamma = r + s + 2$. Then association for Γ is induced by the restriction of the linear system $|K_B + \Gamma - H|$ to Γ .

In practice, we will typically find a curve $B \subset \mathbb{P}^r$ passing through Γ , and will try to induce association by realizing the linear system $|K_B + \Gamma - H|$ on B via an ambient system on \mathbb{P}^r .

5.4. The 2-Veronese surfaces through 9 general points in \mathbb{P}^5

We conclude this section with a result going back to Coble [6, Theorem 19] and more rigorously explored in Dolgachev [9, Theorems 5.2 and 5.6] showing there are four Veronese surfaces in \mathbb{P}^5 containing 9 general points (in characteristic not equal to 2).

The following result follows without too much work from [9] although it isn't explicitly stated there.

The key to finding 2-Veronese surfaces through 9 points is to find a genus 1 curve through the 9 points, and then find a 2-Veronese surface containing that curve. We start off by understanding 2-Veronese surfaces containing a genus 1 curve.

Proposition 5.4. Let k be an algebraically closed field and let $E \subset \mathbb{P}^5_k$ be a general genus 1 curve, embedded by a complete linear series of degree 6. If char $k \neq 2$, there are four 2-Veronese surfaces containing E and if char k = 2, there are two 2-Veronese surfaces containing E.

Remark 5.5. It is shown in [9, Theorem 5.6] that there are exactly four 2-Veronese surfaces containing a given genus 1 curve of degree 6 in \mathbb{P}^5 over a field of characteristic 0. However, the proof given there does not make it completely clear why there is a unique 2-Veronese surface through general *E* corresponding to each chosen square root of the line bundle embedding *E*. Therefore, we now repeat the proof in more detail, and generalize it to all characteristics. In fact, the proof shows there are 4 such 2-Veronese surfaces for all *E* if char $k \neq 2$, there are 2 such 2-Veronese

surfaces if char k = 2 and E corresponds to a non-supersingular elliptic curve after choosing a point, and there is 1 such 2-Veronese if char k = 2 and E corresponds to a supersingular elliptic curve after choosing a point.

Proof. Say $E \to \mathbb{P}^5$ is given by the invertible sheaf \mathscr{L} . For any degree three invertible sheaf \mathscr{M} with $\mathscr{M}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathscr{L}$, we can map $E \to \mathbb{P}^2$ using \mathscr{M} . Then, the composition of $E \to \mathbb{P}^2$ with the 2-Veronese map $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ will send E to \mathbb{P}^5 by \mathscr{L} and so we have constructed a 2-Veronese surface containing E. Since there are two such sheaves \mathscr{M} in characteristic 2 for a general E and four in all other characteristics (since a non supersingular elliptic curve has two 2 torsion points in characteristics), it suffices to show these are the only 2-Veronese surfaces containing E. That is, we only need show that for each square root \mathscr{M} of \mathscr{L} , there is a unique 2-Veronese surface $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ containing E so that the map $E \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is given by a basis for the global sections of \mathscr{M} .

First, note that if an automorphism fixes E pointwise then it fixes all of \mathbb{P}^5 . This holds because E spans \mathbb{P}^5 , and so a linear automorphism fixing E pointwise would also fix a basis for the vector space $H^0(\mathscr{O}_E(1))$ which satisfies $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathscr{O}_E(1)) \cong \mathbb{P}^5$. Hence, such an automorphism would fix all of \mathbb{P}^5 .

Suppose we have two 2-Veronese surfaces X and X' containing E so that E we have a map $\phi_1 : E \to X$ and $\phi_2 : E \to X'$ so that both maps ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are given by the same degree 3 invertible sheaf \mathcal{M} , together with a choice of basis for $H^0(E, \mathcal{M})$. We will show that there exists an automorphism $\phi : \mathbb{P}^5 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ fixing E pointwise and sending X to X'. Since any automorphism of \mathbb{P}^5 fixing E pointwise is the identity, this would imply X = X', and would complete the proof.

First, we show there is an automorphism $\phi : \mathbb{P}^5 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ fixing *E* as a set and taking *X* to *X'*. We know there is an automorphism $\psi : \mathbb{P}^5 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ with $\psi(X) = X'$. Say ψ sends the curve $E \subset X$ to some curve $E' := \psi(E) \subset X'$. Next, by our assumption that *E* and *E'* are two curves on *X'* both given by global sections associated to the same invertible sheaf \mathscr{M} , there is some automorphism of $\psi' : X' \to X'$ with $\psi'(E') = E$. Thus, taking $\phi := \psi' \circ \psi$, we see $\phi(X) = X'$ and $\phi(E) = E'$ as sets. If we could arrange for $\phi|_E = id$, we would be done, as then $\phi = id$.

Hence, it suffices to show that $\phi|_E$ is an automorphism of E fixing both X and X'.

Let $A(E, \mathcal{M})$ denote the automorphisms $\pi : E \to E$ with $\pi^* \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}$. Note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E[3] \longrightarrow A(E, \mathscr{M}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0$$

where the generator of the quotient $\mathbb{Z}/2$ is the hyperelliptic involution and the subset E[3] is a torsor over the 3 torsion of E with any given choice of origin. In particular, if we choose a point p so that $\mathscr{M} \cong \mathscr{O}_E(3p)$, we have that E[3] is precisely translation by 6-torsion.

It suffices to show that any element of $A(E, \mathcal{M})$ fixes the 2-Veronese surface we constructed above corresponding to \mathcal{M} .

But, if we view $E \to \mathbb{P}^2$ by a complete linear system corresponding to \mathscr{M} , the automorphisms $A(E, \mathscr{M})$ are precisely the automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^2 fixing $E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ as a set. These automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^2 extend to automorphisms on \mathbb{P}^5 with $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ embedded via the 2-Veronese map. Therefore, they also fix the 2-Veronese surface, as desired.

Theorem 5.6. Through 9 general points in \mathbb{P}^5_k there exist four 2-Veronese surfaces $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ if k is an algebraically closed field with char $k \neq 2$ and two 2-Veronese surfaces $\mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^5$ if k is an algebraically closed field with char k = 2. In particular, the 2-Veronese surface satisfies interpolation.

Proof. Fix 9 general points $p_1, \ldots, p_9 \in \mathbb{P}^5$. First, by [9, Theorem 5.2], there is a unique genus 1 curve embedded by a complete linear series through 9 general points in \mathbb{P}^5 . Call this curve *E*. Next, by Proposition 5.4, there are four 2-Veronese surfaces containing *E* if char $k \neq 2$ and two 2-Veronese surfaces containing *E* if char $k \neq 2$ and two 2-Veronese surfaces containing *E* if char $k \neq 2$ and two 2-Veronese surfaces containing *E* if char k = 2. To complete the proof, it suffices to show that every 2-Veronese surface containing p_1, \ldots, p_9 also contains *E*. Consider such a 2-Veronese surface $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5_k$ containing p_1, \ldots, p_9 . Choosing an isomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{P}^2_k \cong X$, we have nine points q_1, \ldots, q_9 on \mathbb{P}^2 so that $\phi(q_i) = p_i$.

Then, since p_1, \ldots, p_9 were general on \mathbb{P}^5_k , we have that q_1, \ldots, q_9 are general on \mathbb{P}^2_k , and so there is a degree 3 genus 1 curve *C* passing through q_1, \ldots, q_9 on \mathbb{P}^2_k . The image of $\phi(C) \subset X$ is a degree 6 genus 1 curve containing p_1, \ldots, p_9 . Since *E* is the unique genus 1 degree 6 curve *E* containing p_1, \ldots, p_9 , we must have $\phi(C) \cong E$, and therefore $E \subset X$.

Remark 5.7. Starting with a general $\Gamma_9 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, we obtain an associated set $A(\Gamma_9) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, a set of nine general points in the plane. It is tempting to re-embed this \mathbb{P}^2 via the complete system of conics and hope that the image of the set $A(\Gamma_9)$ is projectively equivalent to Γ_9 . However, this is not the case – the system of conics in \mathbb{P}^2 does not induce association for a set of nine general points.

6. Degrees 9, 8 and 7

This section establishes weak interpolation for degree 9 Del Pezzo surfaces, which are 3-Veronese images of \mathbb{P}^2 in \mathbb{P}^9 . As we will see in Subsection 6.6, weak interpolation for degree 8, type 1, and degree 7 Del Pezzo surfaces immediately follow from the proof for degree 9. We will also see in Subsection 6.6 that tricanonical genus 3 curves satisfy interpolation.

6.1. Results

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 6.1 (Existence). Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ be thirteen general points. Then there exists a 3-Veronese surface containing Γ .

Proof assuming Theorem 6.8 *and Theorem* 6.10. By Theorem 6.8, for a general $\Gamma_{13} \in \text{Hilb}_{13} \mathbb{P}^2$, there is a bijection between singular triads for Γ_{13} (defined below in Definition 6.2) and 3-Veronese surfaces containing the associated set $A(\Gamma_{13}) \subset \mathbb{P}^9$. By Theorem 6.10, every such Γ_{13} indeed possesses a singular triad.

The essential tool used in proving Theorem 6.1 is association.

Our next result relates the number of Veronese surfaces through 13 general points to another, more tractable enumerative problem. Before stating it, we must make a definition.

Definition 6.2. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be a general set of thirteen points in the plane. A subset $T = \{x, y, z\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \Gamma$ of three distinct points is a singular triad for Γ if

$$h^0\left(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(5) \otimes \mathscr{I}_T^2 \mathscr{I}_{\Gamma}\right) = 2.$$

Remark 6.3. In other words, $T = \{x, y, z\}$ is a singular triad for Γ if there exists a pencil of quintic curves through Γ and singular at x, y, and z. A dimension count shows that we expect finitely many singular triads for a general set of thirteen points Γ , as is done in Lemma 6.13.

Our second result is:

Theorem 6.4 (Enumeration). The number of 3-Veronese surfaces through a general set of thirteen points in \mathbb{P}^9 is equal to the number of singular triads for a general set of thirteen points in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Theorem 6.4 points to an interesting enumerative problem on the Hilbert scheme $Hilb_3(\mathbb{P}^2)$ of degree 3, zero dimensional subschemes of the plane. We discuss this problem at the end of the section, in Subsection 6.7.

6.2. How singular triads arise

For the benefit of the reader, we briefly explain how singular triads arise in the problem of enumerating 3-Veronese surfaces through a general set Γ_{13} .

Suppose $V_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ is a 3-Veronese containing Γ_{13} . If we consider V_3 as isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 , it is tempting, as in the case of the 2-Veroneses, to think that the linear system |3H| on \mathbb{P}^2 would induce association for $\Gamma_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. However, this turns out not to be the case.

In \mathbb{P}^2 , there is a unique pencil of quartic curves $Q_t \subset \mathbb{P}^2$, $t \in \mathbb{P}^1$, containing Γ_{13} . Assuming the configuration Γ_{13} is general, the pencil Q_t will have three remaining, noncollinear basepoints $\{p, q, r\}$. Let

$$\alpha_{\{p,q,r\}} \colon \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$$

be the Cremona transformation centered on the set $\{p, q, r\}$, and let $T = \{x, y, z\}$ be the exceptional set in the target \mathbb{P}^2 . Then $\alpha(Q_t)$ is a pencil of quintic curves, singular at T and containing $\alpha(\Gamma_{13})$ in its base locus. In other words, T forms a singular triad for $\alpha(\Gamma_{13})$. In the next section, we will show that the ambient system of sextics having triple points at *x*, *y*, and *z* induces association for $\alpha(\Gamma_{13})$. In other words, the "naive" system of cubics on the source \mathbb{P}^2 induces association not for Γ_{13} , but rather for $\alpha(\Gamma_{13})$.

6.3. Inducing association from a singular triad

We begin with a lemma describing the base locus of a pencil through 13 points, whose proof is straightforward.

Lemma 6.5. Assume $\Gamma_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ is a general set of 13 points, and suppose $T = \{x, y, z\}$ is a singular triad for Γ_{13} , i.e., there exists a pencil of quintics Q_t through Γ_{13} , singular at x, y, z. Furthermore, assume that the general element of the pencil has a smooth genus 3 normalization, and has ordinary nodes at x, y, z. In particular, this implies T is not contained in a line. Then, the scheme theoretic base locus of the pencil Q_t consists of Γ_{13} and three length four schemes supported on x, y and z.

Proposition 6.6. In the setting of Lemma 6.5, the ten dimensional vector space

$$W := H^0\left(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{I}_T^3(6)\right) \subset H^0\left(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{O}(6)\right)$$

consisting of sextics having triple points at x, y and z induces association for Γ_{13} .

Proof. We use Goppa's theorem, Theorem 5.3. Pick a general quintic Q in the pencil Q_t , and let $v: \widetilde{Q} \longrightarrow Q$ denote the smooth genus 3 normalization. Let H denote the hyperplane divisor class on \mathbb{P}^2 . Note that by degree considerations and Riemann-Roch, the divisor class H is nonspecial on \widetilde{Q} , and \widetilde{Q} is mapped via the complete linear series |H|. We claim that the linear system $|K_{\widetilde{Q}} + \Gamma_{13} - H|$ from Goppa's theorem is induced by sextic curves triple at x, y and z.

Indeed, the canonical series $|K_{\widetilde{Q}}|$ is cut out by the adjoint series consisting of conics passing through the nodes x, y, and z. By Lemma 6.5, the divisor Γ_{13} is cut out by a quintic singular at x, y, z. Putting these together says that sextics having triple points at x, y, and z cut out divisors in the linear system $|K_{\widetilde{Q}} + \Gamma_{13} - H|$ on \widetilde{O} .

Finally, notice that there cannot be a sextic triple at x, y and z which also vanishes identically on Q – the residual curve would be a line containing T, but we are assuming x, y, z are not collinear. Therefore, the system of sextics having triple points at x, y, and z cuts out the complete linear system $|K_{\widetilde{Q}} + \Gamma_{13} - H|$.

6.4. The bijection between singular triads and Veroneses

Let $\Gamma_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ be thirteen general points, and let $A(\Gamma_{13}) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ denote the associated set.

We have already seen in Section 6.2 that a 3-Veronese V_3 containing Γ_{13} arises from a singular triad T for $A(\Gamma_{13})$. Let us now show that distinct triads provide distinct Veroneses.

Proposition 6.7. *Maintain the setting above. Distinct triads* T *and* T' *for* $A(\Gamma_{13})$ *give rise to distinct Veronese surfaces* V_3 *and* V'_3 *containing* Γ_{13} .

Proof. Let $W = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \otimes \mathscr{I}_T)$ and $W' = H^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2) \otimes \mathscr{I}_{T'})$ be the vector spaces of conics passing through T and T' respectively.

Denote by $\iota: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ and $\iota': \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W')$ the Cremona maps associated to W and W'.

By Proposition 6.6, the vector spaces $\text{Sym}^3 W$ and $\text{Sym}^3 W'$ both induce association for $A(\Gamma_{13})$, so we identify them as the ten dimensional vector space V giving the original embedding $\Gamma_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^9$.

Let $\nu: \mathbb{P}(W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$ and $\nu': \mathbb{P}(W') \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^9$ denote the respective Veronese maps. (Note that the target \mathbb{P}^9 for the maps ν and ν' are the "same" given the previous paragraph.)

The two Veronese surfaces $\mathbb{P}(W)$ and $\mathbb{P}(W')$ would be the same if and only if there existed an isomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{P}(W) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(W')$ such that $\nu' \circ \alpha \circ \iota = \nu' \circ \iota'$ as rational maps from \mathbb{P}^2 to \mathbb{P}^9 .

But the indeterminacy locus of a rational map is determined by the map, and the indeterminacy locus of $v' \circ \alpha \circ \iota$ is *T*, whereas the indeterminacy locus of $v' \circ \iota'$ is *T'*. This completes the proof.

Theorem 6.8. Let $\Gamma_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ be a general set of thirteen points. Then the 3-Veronese surfaces containing Γ_{13} are in bijection with the singular triads for $A(\Gamma_{13}) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Subsection 6.2 and Proposition 6.7.

6.5. Existence of singular triads

Definition 6.9. Define $\Phi \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_3 \mathbb{P}^2 \times \operatorname{Hilb}_{13} \mathbb{P}^2$ to be the closure of the set of pairs $(\{x, y, z\}, \Gamma_{13}) \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_3 \mathbb{P}^2 \times \operatorname{Hilb}_{13} \mathbb{P}^2$ for which $\{x, y, z\}$ is disjoint from the support of Γ_{13} , and for which there exists a pencil of quintics singular at x, y, z whose base locus is precisely $\{x, y, z\} \cup \Gamma_{13}$. Define the projections



Theorem 6.10. There exists a point $(\{x, y, z\}, A_{13}) \in \Phi$ which is isolated in its fiber under the second projection $\pi_2 \colon \Phi \longrightarrow \text{Hilb}_{13} \mathbb{P}^2$. In particular, π_2 is dominant, and a general set Γ_{13} possesses a singular triad.

The rest of the section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.10. Before we proceed with the proof in Subsection 6.5.2, we set some notation and outline the idea of the proof in 6.5.1.

Definition 6.11. Let x_0, x_1, x_2 denote three fixed non-collinear points in \mathbb{P}^2 and set $l_{i,j} := \overline{x_i x_j}$ forming the coordinate triangle.

Let $X := Bl_{\{x_0, x_1, x_2\}} \mathbb{P}^2$, and let E_i denote the exceptional divisor over x_i , i = 1, 2, 3. Set $L_{i,j}$ to be the proper transforms of the lines $l_{i,j} := \overline{x_i, x_j}$. We let H denote the hyperplane class on \mathbb{P}^2 and its pullback on X. By a line in X, we mean an element of the linear system |H| on X.

6.5.1. The idea of the Proof of Theorem 6.10

In order to prove Theorem 6.10, we will construct a particular set $[\Gamma_{13}] \in \text{Hilb}_{13} \mathbb{P}^2$ which we will be able to see is isolated in its fiber under the map π_2 . The construction is as follows. Start by choosing a general line M and a general point p_7 not on M. Then, choose points

$$p_1, p_2 \in \ell_{0,1}$$

$$p_3, p_4 \in \ell_{0,2}$$

$$p_5, p_6 \in \ell_{1,2}$$

$$p_8, p_9, p_{10} \in M$$

all general with respect to the above conditions. We will then see that there is an element $((x_0, y_0, z_0), \Gamma_{13}) \in \Phi$ so that $p_1 \cup \cdots \cup p_{10} \subset \Gamma_{13}$, and further that the remaining degree three scheme of Γ_{13} is supported on M. The hard part of the proof will be seeing that this configuration lies in Φ . This is done in Corollary 6.19. Once we know this configuration does lie in Φ , it is not difficult to see it is isolated. Since Γ_{13} intersects M with degree 6, every quintic containing Γ_{13} must contain M. We are then looking for a pencil of quartics with base locus containing p_1, \ldots, p_6, p_7 and having three additional singular nodes. If the three singular nodes do not lie on M, then this can only happen if the pencil of quartics contains curves in its base locus. A case by case analysis shows that if the three nodes are not collinear, the only possibility, up to permutation of the points, is that the base locus of this pencil of quartics is $\ell_{0,1} \cup \ell_{0,2} \cup \ell_{1,2} \cup p_7$ and the moving part of this pencil is the pencil of lines containing p_7 . This will be isolated in its fiber. Then, this means π_2 is dominant because both varieties are irreducible and dim $\Phi = 26 = \dim \operatorname{Hilb}_{13} \mathbb{P}^2$, as shown in Lemma 6.13. This concludes our sketch of the idea of the proof.

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward, and we omit its proof.

Lemma 6.12. Let $\Gamma_{10} \subset X$ be ten general points. Then there is a unique pencil in the linear system $|5H - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3|$ containing Γ_{10} in its base locus. Furthermore, the base locus of this pencil consists of the union of Γ_{10} , and three residual points $\{a, b, c\} \subset S$ disjoint from Γ_{10} .

Lemma 6.13. Φ *is* 26-*dimensional*.

Proof. First select three general points $\{x, y, z\}$ in \mathbb{P}^2 , giving 6 dimensions. Using Lemma 6.12, a general pencil of quintics singular at $\{x, y, z\}$ is determined by choosing ten general points to be in its base locus. The remaining three points of

the base locus are determined by the initial choice of 10, by Lemma 6.12. In total, we have that Φ is $26 = 6 + 2 \cdot 10$ dimensional.

Let $\Gamma_{10}(t) = \{p_1(t), p_2(t), \dots, p_{10}(t)\} \subset X \times \Delta$ be a family of ten points, parameterized by $\Delta := \text{Spec } k[[t]]$, general among those with the following properties:

- (1) Over the generic point $\eta \in \Delta$, the points $p_i(\eta)$ are general in the sense of Lemma 6.12;
- (2) Over the special point t = 0, the ten points $p_i(0)$ are situated as follows:
 - (a) $p_1(0), p_2(0)$ are general in $L_{0,1}$;
 - (b) $p_3(0), p_4(0)$ are general in $L_{0,2}$;
 - (c) $p_5(0)$, $p_6(0)$ are general in $L_{1,2}$;
 - (d) $p_7(0)$ is general in X;
 - (e) $p_8(0)$, $p_9(0)$, $p_{10}(0)$ are general on a general line $M \subset X$.

By Lemma 6.12, there are three residual points $\{a(\eta), b(\eta), c(\eta)\}$ defined by the ten points $\{p_i(\eta)\}_{i=1,...,10}$. We let $\{a(t), b(t), c(t)\}$ denote the closures of these points. (Note: a base change may be required to say the three residual basepoints $\{p_i(\eta)\}_{i=1,...,10}$ are defined over Spec k((t)). Performing such a base change does not affect the rest of the arguments.)

Now let \mathscr{X} be the threefold which is the blow up of $X \times \Delta$ at the union of the three curves $L_{i,j} \subset X \times \{0\}$, and let $\beta : \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow X \times \Delta$ be the blow up map. Let $f : \mathscr{X} \longrightarrow \Delta$ denote the composition of β with the projection onto the second factor of $X \times \Delta$. \mathscr{X}_{η} and \mathscr{X}_{0} will denote the general and special fibers of f. Note that $\mathscr{X}_{\eta} = X_{\eta} := X \times \text{Spec } k((t))$.

There are three exceptional divisors $F_{i,j}$ lying over the corresponding curves $L_{i,j} \subset X \times \{0\}$. The map f is a flat family of surfaces, with generic fiber $\mathscr{X}_{\eta} = X_{\eta}$ and with special fiber \mathscr{X}_0 a simple normal crossing union of four surfaces: the exceptional divisors $F_{i,j}$, and X. Their incidence is as follows: The surfaces $F_{i,j}$ are pairwise disjoint and $F_{i,j} \cap X = L_{i,j}$.

Each exceptional divisor $F_{i,j}$ is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_1 . This is because each rational curve $L_{i,j} \subset X_0$ has self-intersection (-1), and therefore has normal bundle $N_{L_{i,j}/X \times \Delta} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}$.

On \mathbb{F}_1 , we let *S* denote the divisor class of a codirectrix, a section class having self-intersection +1. We denote by *R* the ruling line class. We let $S_{i,j}$ and $R_{i,j}$ denote the corresponding divisor classes on $F_{i,j}$.

Let \mathscr{L} be the line bundle $\beta^*(\mathscr{O}_{X \times \Delta}(5H - 2E_1 - 2E_2 - 2E_3))$, and let $p'_i(t) \subset \mathscr{X}$ denote the lifts of $p_i(t)$ to \mathscr{X} . In other words, $\{p'_i(t)\}_{i=1,\dots,10}$ are the closures of the points $\{p_i(\eta)\} \in X_\eta = \mathscr{X}_\eta$ in \mathscr{X} .

By the generality assumptions on the 1-parameter family of points $\{p_i(t)\}_{i=1,...,10}$ in $X \times \Delta$, we may assume the following about the central configuration of points $p'_i(0)$ in \mathscr{X}_0 :

(1) The points $p'_1(0)$, $p'_2(0)$ are general in $F_{0,1}$;

(2) The points $p'_3(0)$, $p'_4(0)$ are general in $F_{0,2}$;

- (3) The points $p'_5(0)$, $p'_6(0)$ are general in $F_{1,2}$;
- (4) The points $m_{i,j} := M \cap L_{i,j}$ are general in $F_{i,j}$ with respect to the other two points mentioned in each part above.

Figure 6.1. A pictorial summary of relevant schemes.

Set

$$\mathscr{L}' := \mathscr{L}(-F_{0,1} - F_{0,2} - F_{1,2}).$$

The following lemma is straightforward to prove.

Lemma 6.14. The line bundle \mathscr{L}' restricts to $\mathscr{O}_{F_{i,j}}(S_{i,j} + R_{i,j})$ on the exceptional divisors $F_{i,j} \subset \mathscr{X}_0$ and restricts to $\mathscr{O}_X(2H)$ on $X \subset \mathscr{X}_0$.

Remark 6.15. For the benefit of the reader, we give an alternate description of the linear system |S + R| on \mathbb{F}_1 appearing in the above lemma. If we view \mathbb{F}_1 as the blow up of \mathbb{P}^2 at a point $q \in \mathbb{P}^2$, then the linear system |S + R| is the system of conics through the point q.

In particular, if three more general points are chosen on \mathbb{F}_1 , there will be a unique pencil of curves in |S + R| containing them.

Now consider the sheaf $\mathscr{F} := \mathscr{I}_{\{p'_i(t)\}_{i=1,\dots,10}} \otimes \mathscr{L}'.$

Lemma 6.16. The k[[t]]-module $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ is free of rank 2. Furthermore, the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathscr{X},\mathscr{F}) \longrightarrow H^0\bigl(\mathscr{X}_0,\mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0}\bigr)$$

is surjective.

Proof. \mathscr{F} is a torsion free sheaf, hence $H^0(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{F})$ is a torsion free k[[t]]-module, *i.e.*, it is free. Lemma 6.12 tells us that the rank must be 2.

By Grauert's theorem, it suffices to show

$$h^0(\mathscr{X}_0,\mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0})=2.$$

A section s of $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0}$ is a section of $\mathscr{L}'|_{\mathscr{X}_0}$ vanishing at the ten points $p'_i(0)$. We will now analyze what the zero locus of s must be on each of the four components of \mathscr{X}_0 , beginning with X.

The restriction $s|_X$ vanishes on a conic containing $p'_7(0)$, $p'_8(0)$, $p'_9(0)$, and $p'_{10}(0)$. Since the latter three points are collinear lying on the line M, such a conic is degenerate, of the form $M \cup N$, where N is any line containing $p'_7(0)$.

The restriction $s|_{F_{0,1}}$ vanishes on a divisor of class $|S_{0,1} + R_{0,1}|$ containing the pair of points $p'_1(0)$, $p'_2(0)$. Similar descriptions hold for the remaining two components.

A section *s* of $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0}$ consists of sections on each component which agree on the intersection curves $L_{i,j}$. We claim that such a global section is determined, up to scaling, by its restriction to the component X. Indeed, by choosing a conic of the form $M \cup N$, we determine two points $m_{i,j}$, $n_{i,j}$ on each line $L_{i,j}$, namely the intersections $M \cap L_{i,j}$, $N \cap L_{i,j}$.

From the generality assumptions we have imposed, we get that there is a unique curve in the class $|S_{0,1} + R_{0,1}|$ containing the four points $p'_1(0)$, $p'_2(0)$, $m_{0,1}$, and $n_{0,1}$. Similarly for the other components $F_{i,j}$. It follows that any global section of \mathscr{F} is determined, up to scaling, by its restriction to X. But the restriction to X is a degenerate conic of the form $M \cup N$ as described above, and therefore $h^0(\mathscr{X}_0, \mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0}) = 2$, as we claimed.

Lemma 6.17. The common zero locus of all sections of $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0}$ is $M \cup \{p'_1(0), \ldots, p'_6(0), p'_7(0)\}$.

Proof. This follows from the description of the zero loci of sections of $\mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0}$ found in the proof of Lemma 6.16.

Let $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ be a k[[t]]-basis for $H^0(\mathscr{X}, \mathscr{F})$. Note that, $\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle$ restricts to a basis $H^0(\mathscr{X}_0, \mathscr{F}|_{\mathscr{X}_0})$ by Lemma 6.16.

Lemma 6.18. *Maintain the notation above, and let* $\mathscr{Y} \subset \mathscr{X}$ *defined by* $f_1 = f_2 = 0$ *be the common zero scheme. Then, as schemes,* $\mathscr{Y} \cap \mathscr{X}_0 = M \cup \{p'_1(0), \ldots, p'_6(0), p'_7(0)\}$ and $\mathscr{Y} \cap \mathscr{X}_\eta = \{p_1(\eta), \ldots, p_{10}(\eta), a(\eta), b(\eta), c(\eta)\}.$

Proof. The generality assumptions on the original family of points $p_i(t)$ and Lemma 6.12 ensure the statement regarding $\mathscr{Y} \cap \mathscr{X}_{\eta}$. Then, $\mathscr{Y} \cap \mathscr{X}_{0} = M \cup \{p'_1(0), \ldots, p'_6(0), p'_7(0)\}$ follows from Lemma 6.17.

Now let $\{a'(t), b'(t), c'(t)\}$ denote the closures of $\{a(\eta), b(\eta), c(\eta)\}$ in \mathscr{X} .

Corollary 6.19. The scheme $\{p'_8(t), p'_9(t), p'_{10}(t), a'(t), b'(t), c'(t)\} \cap \mathscr{X}_0$ is contained in the line $M \subset X \subset \mathscr{X}_0$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 6.18. Indeed,

$$\{p'_8(t), p'_9(t), p'_{10}(t), a'(t), b'(t), c'(t)\} \cap \mathscr{X}_0$$

must be a subscheme of $\mathscr{Y} \cap \mathscr{X}_0 = M \cup \{p'_1(0), \ldots, p'_6(0), p'_7(0)\}$. The sections $\{a'(t), b'(t), c'(t)\}$ cannot limit to any of the seven isolated points $\{p'_1, \ldots, p'_7\}$, since these seven points occur with multiplicity one in the scheme $\mathscr{Y} \cap \mathscr{X}_0$. Therefore, the points $\{a'(0), b'(0), c'(0)\}$ must limit to M.

6.5.2. Proof of Theorem 6.10

Proof. A one parameter family of thirteen points

$$\{p_1(t), \ldots, p_{10}(t), a(t), b(t), c(t)\}$$

discussed above limits, at t = 0, to a configuration which we call $\Gamma_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. (Technically, Γ_{13} is a set in X, but we view it as a set in \mathbb{P}^2 , since Γ_{13} avoids the exceptional divisors in X.)

Now we argue that the pair ({ x_0, y_0, z_0 }, Γ_{13}) is isolated in its fiber under the projection $\pi_2: \Phi \longrightarrow \text{Hilb}_{13} \mathbb{P}^2$.

It suffices to show that there are only finitely many noncollinear triads $T \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ disjoint from Γ_{13} for which there is a pencil of quintics C_t all singular at T and containing Γ_{13} .

Any pencil of quintics containing Γ_{13} must contain the line M in its base locus, since 6 of the points of Γ_{13} , { $p_8(0)$, $p_9(0)$, $p_{10}(0)$, a(0), b(0), c(0)} lie on this line (Corollary 6.19). Therefore, the residual quartic curves of the pencil, denoted C'_t , form a pencil of curves singular at T, and containing { $p_1(0)$, ..., $p_6(0)$, $p_7(0)$ } in its base locus. Note that the set { $p_1(0)$, ..., $p_6(0)$, $p_7(0)$ } is a general set of seven points in the plane.

By degree considerations, a pencil of quartics C'_t singular at T and having 7 remaining points in its base locus is forced to have an entire curve B in its base locus. The curve B must have degree 1, 2, or 3.

A straightforward combinatorial check shows that if the three points of T are not collinear, the curve B must be the union of three lines joined by three pairs of points among the set { $p_1(0), \ldots, p_6(0), p_7(0)$ }, and the triad T is the vertices of the triangle B. All told, there are only finitely many possibilities for T, which in turn implies that ({ x_0, y_0, z_0 }, Γ_{13}) is isolated in its fiber under projection $\pi_2 : \Phi \longrightarrow$ Hilb₁₃ \mathbb{P}^2 .

Remark 6.20. The method of proof for Theorem 6.10 actually shows that there are at least 630 3-Veronese surfaces through thirteen general points in \mathbb{P}^9 . The reason for this is that we made several choices in constructing an isolated point of the incidence correspondence. We choose one of the points p_1, \ldots, p_6, p_7 to not lie in the triangle containing the nodal base locus, and we then chose a division of the remaining six points into three pairs of two points. In total there are $7 \cdot \frac{6!}{2! \cdot 2! \cdot 2!} = 630$ such choices, and hence at least 630 isolated points. Then it follows that there are at least 630 3-Veronese surfaces through a general set of 13 points by [29, II.6.3, Theorem 3].

6.6. The remaining del Pezzo surfaces and tricanonical genus 3 curves

6.6.1. Degree 8.

Weak interpolation for degree 8, type 1 del Pezzo surfaces asks whether such surfaces pass through 12 general points $\Gamma_{12} \subset \mathbb{P}^8$, by Table 1.1. In fact, weak interpo-

lation for degree 8, type 1 del Pezzo surfaces follows almost immediately from our knowledge of interpolation for degree 9 del Pezzos.

Corollary 6.21. Degree 8 del Pezzos isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_1 satisfy weak interpolation.

Proof. Indeed, Let $A(\Gamma_{12}) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the associated set. Now append a general thirteenth point $p \in \mathbb{P}^2$ and let $B_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be the union.

As follows from Proposition 6.6, association for B_{13} is induced by the linear system of sextics having triple points at a singular triad for B_{13} . Now we take the subsystem of such sextics with further basepoint at the chosen point p. The resulting subsystem induces association for $A(\Gamma_{12})$, and maps \mathbb{P}^2 birationally to a degree 8 del Pezzo containing Γ_{12} , abstractly isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface \mathbb{F}_1 .

Remark 6.22. The parameter count suggests that there will be a two dimensional family of del Pezzo 8's through a general Γ_{12} . The argument above also has two dimensions of freedom in the choice of auxiliary point *p*.

6.6.2. Degree 7 del Pezzo surfaces

Corollary 6.23. Degree 7 del Pezzo surfaces satisfy weak interpolation.

Proof. The parameter count says that weak interpolation for such surfaces is equivalent to asking them to pass through 11 general points $\Gamma_{11} \subset \mathbb{P}^7$. We now proceed analogously to the previous case: We now append two general auxiliary points $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^2$ to the associated set $A(\Gamma_{11}) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

Remark 6.24. Paralleling the degree 8 case, the dimension of del Pezzo 7's through eleven general points is four dimensional, as is the dimension of the space of auxiliary pairs $p, q \in \mathbb{P}^2$.

Remark 6.25. The reason why this method fails for degree 6 del Pezzos is that the number of points required by weak interpolation is not 10, as the current pattern would suggest. Rather, the required number of points is eleven, and therefore we needed a separate argument.

6.6.3. Genus 3 tricanonical curves

As a bonus, we show that the closed locus of the Hilbert scheme of degree 12 genus 3 curves in \mathbb{P}^9 which are tricanonically embedded satisfy interpolation.

Corollary 6.26. The closed locus of the Hilbert scheme of degree 12 genus 3 curves in \mathbb{P}^9 which are tricanonically embedded satisfy interpolation.

Proof. First, note that there is a 105 = 99 + 6 dimensional space of tricanonically embedded curve, where $99 = \dim PGL_{10}$ and $6 = \dim \mathcal{M}_3$. In this case, by (10), we have to show that there is a 1 dimensional family of such curves through 13 points, sweeping out a surface. But, since we know a 3-Veronese surface passes through these 13 points, we have a 1 dimensional family of tricanonical genus 3 curves sweeping out this Veronese surface passing through 13 points, as desired.

6.7. Enumerating singular triads: observations and obstacles

We now discuss the obstacle we face in the computation of the number of singular triads for a general set $\Gamma_{13} \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. Set $S = \operatorname{Bl}_{\Gamma_{13}} \mathbb{P}^2$, and let $\mathscr{L} = \mathscr{O}_S(5H - E_1 - \dots - E_{13})$.

We should set up the problem on a compact, smooth space. A natural choice is the Hilbert scheme Hilb₃ S parameterizing length three subschemes of S.

The universal scheme $\mathscr{Z} \subset \operatorname{Hilb}_3 S \times S$ has two obvious projections $\pi_1 : \mathscr{Z} \longrightarrow$ Hilb₃ S and $\pi_2 : \mathscr{Z} \longrightarrow S$. Next, we consider the sheaf

$$\mathscr{F} = \pi_{1*} \left(\pi_2^* \mathscr{L} / \left(\mathscr{I}_{\mathscr{Z}}^2 \otimes \pi_2^* \mathscr{L} \right) \right).$$

Unfortunately, the sheaf \mathscr{F} , which has generic rank 9, fails to be locally free precisely along the locus $F \subset \text{Hilb}_3 S$ parameterizing degree 3 schemes of the form Spec $k[x, y]/(x^2, xy, y^2)$, also known as the "fat points".

There is a natural restriction map

$$\rho\colon \mathscr{O}_{\operatorname{Hilb}_3 S}^{\oplus 8} \longrightarrow \mathscr{F}.$$

If \mathscr{F} were locally free of rank 9, we could attempt to use Porteous' formula to find the locus where the rank of ρ drops to 6. Since \mathscr{F} is not locally free, this approach fails from the outset.

One fix would be to work on a blow up of Hilb₃ S along the locus F, but then it's unclear what should replace the sheaf \mathscr{F} . What's more, we would need to identify the Chern classes of the replacement sheaf in the Chow ring of Bl_F(Hilb₃ S), which is challenging in its own right. See [13].

Another potential fix would be to work in the nested Hilbert scheme Hilb_{2,3} *S* parameterizing, $X_2 \,\subset\, X_3 \,\subset\, S$, pairs of length 2 subschemes contained in length 3 subschemes. It is known that Hilb_{2,3} *S* is smooth, and it has a generically finite, degree 3 map to Hilb₃ *S* given by forgetting X_2 , which has one dimensional fibers (isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^1) precisely over $F \,\subset\,$ Hilb₃ *S*. This space Hilb_{2,3} *S* might be better suited for replacing the problematic sheaf \mathscr{F} above. Finding a solution to these issues is the subject of ongoing work. As further references for enumerative geometry in the Hilbert scheme of three points, see [25,26], and [16].

7. Further questions

We conclude with some interesting open interpolation problems.

Since weak interpolation is equivalent to interpolation for del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 3, 4, 5, and 9, it is immediate from Theorem 1.1 that del Pezzo surfaces of degree 3, 4, 5 and 9 surfaces satisfy interpolation, while the remaining del Pezzo surfaces satisfy weak interpolation.

Question 7.1. Do all del Pezzo surfaces satisfy strong interpolation? If so, how many del Pezzo surfaces meet a collection of points and a linear space, as given in Table 1.1?

It was mentioned in the introduction that plane conics constitute all anticanonically embedded Fano varieties of dimension 1 and del Pezzo surfaces constitute those of dimension 2. As we have seen these both satisfy weak interpolation. Further, there is a complete classification of Fano varieties in dimension 3 [18]. Unfortunately, it is immediately clear that not all Fano varieties in dimension more than 3 satisfy interpolation. A counterexample is provided by the complete intersection of a quadric and cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^5 . This leads to the following question:

Question 7.2. Which Fano threefolds, embedded by their anticanonical sheaf, satisfy weak interpolation? Which Fano threefolds, embedded by their anticanonical sheaf, satisfy interpolation? Which Fano varieties in dimension more than 3 satisfy interpolation?

In another direction, we may note that surfaces of minimal degree satisfy interpolation, that is, surfaces of degree d - 1 in \mathbb{P}^d satisfy interpolation by Theorem 1.5. In this paper, we show that all smooth surfaces of one more than minimal degree, which are not projections of surfaces of degree d from \mathbb{P}^{d+1} as described in [8, Theorem 2.5]. satisfy interpolation. That is, surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^d satisfy interpolation.

Question 7.3. Do all smooth surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^d satisfy interpolation? Equivalently, using [8, Theorem 2.5] Theorema 1.1, do projections of surfaces of minimal degree from a point satisfy interpolation?

While all smooth linearly normal nondegenerate surfaces of degree d in \mathbb{P}^d satisfy weak interpolation, note that not all surfaces of degree d + 2 in \mathbb{P}^d will satisfy interpolation. This is because the complete intersection of a quadric and cubic hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^4 does not satisfy interpolation. So, in some way, surfaces of degree d+1 in \mathbb{P}^d are the turning point between surfaces satisfying interpolation and surfaces not satisfying interpolation. This leads naturally to the following question.

Question 7.4. Do surfaces of degree d + 1 in \mathbb{P}^d satisfy interpolation?

From Theorem 1.5, we know that varieties of dimension k and degree d in \mathbb{P}^{d+k-1} satisfy interpolation. In this paper we have seen that varieties of degree 2 and dimension 2 (which are nondegenerate and not projections of varieties of minimal degree) in $\mathbb{P}^{d+2-2} = \mathbb{P}^d$ satisfy interpolation. This too offers an immediate generalization.

Question 7.5. Do varieties of dimension k and degree d in \mathbb{P}^{d+k-2} satisfy interpolation?

Similarly, we have seen the very beginnings of interpolation for Veronese embeddings. That is, by the discussion in 1.2.1, all rational normal curves which are the Veronese embeddings of \mathbb{P}^1 satisfy interpolation. In general, interpolation of the *r*-Veronese embedding of \mathbb{P}^n which is the image of $\mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+r}{n}-1}$ is equivalent to the question of whether the Veronese surface passes through $\binom{n+r}{r} + n + 1$ points. Unlike the del Pezzo surfaces and rational normal scrolls, Veronese embeddings are a class of varieties for which interpolation only imposes point conditions, and not an additional linear space condition. Perhaps this coincidence may be helpful in finding the solution to the following question. **Question 7.6.** Does the image of the *r*-Veronese embedding $\mathbb{P}^n \to \mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+r}{r}-1}$ satisfy interpolation? That is, is there a Veronese embedding containing $\binom{n+r}{r} + n + 1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+r}{r}-1}$?

If the answer to Question 7.6 is affirmative, it would be very interesting to know how many Veronese varieties pass through the correct number of points. Using 1.2.1, we know there is precisely one *r*-Veronese \mathbb{P}^1 through $\binom{r+1}{1} + 1 + 1 = r + 3$ points in \mathbb{P}^r . Additionally, Theorem 5.6 tells us there are 4 2-Veronese surfaces through 9 general points in \mathbb{P}^5 . In this paper, we have shown that there are at least 630 3-Veronese surfaces through 13 general points in \mathbb{P}^9 . See Remark 6.20.

Question 7.7. How many *r*-Veronese varieties of dimension *n* pass through $\binom{n+r}{r} + n + 1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+r}{r}-1}$?

We have also seen in Coble's work that any two 2-Veronese surfaces through 9 general points in \mathbb{P}^5 intersect along a genus 1 curve through those 9 points. This leads to the question:

Question 7.8. Suppose there are at least two *r*-Veronese varieties of dimension *n* passing through $\binom{n+r}{r} + n + 1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{n+r}{r}-1}$. Do they have positive dimensional intersection?

Appendix A. Interpolation in general

In this section, we define various notions of interpolation, and prove they are all equivalent under mild hypotheses in Theorem A.7. Many of the results are likely well-known to experts, but we could not find precise references, so we give proofs for completeness.

A.1. Definition and equivalent characterizations of interpolation

We now lay out the key definitions of interpolation. First, we describe a more formal way of expressing interpolation in Definition A.3. This comes in two flavors: interpolation, and pointed interpolation. The latter also keeps track of the points at which the planes meet the given variety. Then, we give a cohomological definition in Definition A.5.

Definition A.1. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be projective scheme with a fixed embedding into projective space which lies on a unique irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme. Define \mathscr{H}_X to be the irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme on which [X] lies, taken with reduced scheme structure. If \mathscr{H} is the Hilbert scheme of closed subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n over Spec k and \mathscr{V} is the universal family over \mathscr{H} , then define define \mathscr{V}_X to be the universal family over \mathscr{H}_X , defined as the fiber product



Definition A.2. Given an integral subscheme of the Hilbert scheme U parameterizing subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n of dimension k, call a sequence

$$\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$$

admissible if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) λ is a weakly decreasing sequence. That is, $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_m$;
- (2) For all $1 \le i \le m$, we have $0 \le \lambda_i \le n k$;

(3) And

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \leq \dim U.$$

Definition A.3. Let U be an integral subscheme of the Hilbert scheme parameterizing subschemes of \mathbb{P}^n of dimension k and let $\mathscr{V}(U)$ denote the universal family over U. Let λ be admissible and let Λ_i be a plane of dimension $n - k - \lambda_i$ for $1 \le i \le m$. Define

$$\Psi := \left(\mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_1} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{V}(U)\right) \times_U \cdots \times_U \left(\mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_m} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{V}(U)\right).$$

Then, since $\mathscr{H}_{\Lambda_i} \cong Gr(n-k-\lambda_i+1, n+1)$, define Φ to be the scheme theoretic image of the composition

$$\Psi \longrightarrow U \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} Gr(n-k-\lambda_i+1,n+1) \times (\mathbb{P}^n)^m$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$U \times \prod_{i=1}^{m} Gr(n-k-\lambda_i+1,n+1).$$

We have natural projections



and



Define q and r so that dim $U = q \cdot (n - k) + r$ with $0 \le r < n - k$. Then, U satisfies

- (1) λ -interpolation if the projection map π_2 is surjective;
- (2) Weak interpolation if U satisfies $((n k)^q)$ -interpolation;
- (3) Interpolation if U satisfies $((n k)^q, r)$ -interpolation;
- (4) Strong interpolation if U satisfies λ -interpolation for all admissible λ .

We define λ -pointed interpolation, weak pointed interpolation, pointed interpolation, strong pointed interpolation similarly. More precisely, we say that U satisfies

- (1) λ -pointed interpolation if η_2 is surjective;
- (2) Weak pointed interpolation if U satisfies $((n k)^q)$ -pointed interpolation;
- (3) Pointed interpolation if U satisfies $((n k)^q, r)$ -pointed interpolation;
- (4) Strong pointed interpolation if U satisfies λ-pointed interpolation for all admissible λ.

If $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ lies on a unique irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme \mathscr{H}_X , we say X satisfies λ -interpolation (and all variants as above) if \mathscr{H}_X satisfies λ -interpolation.

Remark A.4. Note that U satisfies λ -interpolation if and only if it satisfies λ -pointed interpolation: η_2 factors through Φ , and the restriction map $\Psi \rightarrow \Phi$ is surjective, so η_2 is surjective if and only if π_2 is. Nevertheless, it is useful to refer to these two notions separately, which is why we give them two separate names.

Definition A.5 (Interpolation of locally free sheaves, see [3, Definition 3.1]). Let λ be admissible and let E be a locally free sheaf on a scheme X with $H^1(X, E) = 0$. Choose points p_1, \ldots, p_m on X and vector subspaces $V_i \subset E|_{p_i}$ for $1 \le i \le m$ with codim $V_i = \lambda_i$. Then, define E' so that we have an exact sequence of coherent sheaves on X

$$0 \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} E|_{p_i}/V_i \longrightarrow 0.$$
 (A.1)

We say E satisfies λ -interpolation if there exist points p_1, \ldots, p_n as above and subspaces $V_i \subset E|_{p_i}$ as above so that

$$h^{0}(E) - h^{0}(E') = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}.$$

Write $h^0(E) = q \cdot \operatorname{rk} E + r$ with $0 \le r < \operatorname{rk} E$. We say E satisfies

- (1) Weak interpolation if it satisfies $((rk E)^q)$ interpolation;
- (2) Interpolation if it satisfies $((\operatorname{rk} E)^q, r)$ interpolation;
- (3) Strong interpolation if it satisfies λ -interpolation for all admissible λ .

Remark A.6. See [2, Section 4] for further useful properties of interpolation. While some of the discussion there is specific to curves, much of it generalizes immediately to higher dimensional varieties.

We now come to the main result of the section. Because it has so many moving parts, after stating it, we postpone its proof until Subsection A.5, after we have developed the tools necessary to prove it.

Perhaps the most nontrivial consequence of Theorem A.7 is that it implies the equivalence of interpolation and strong interpolation for \mathscr{H}_X when X is a smooth projective scheme with $H^1(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$, over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0.

Theorem A.7. Assume $X \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is an integral projective scheme lying on a unique irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme. Write dim $\mathscr{H}_X = q \cdot \operatorname{codim} X + r$ with 0 < r < codim X. The following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathscr{H}_X satisfies interpolation;
- (2) \mathscr{H}_X satisfies pointed interpolation;
- (3) The map π_2 given in Definition A.3 for $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$ is dominant;
- (4) The map π_2 given in Definition A.3 for $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$ is generically finite:
- (5) The scheme Φ defined in Definition A.3 for $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$ has a closed point x which is isolated in its fiber $\pi_2^{-1}(\pi_2(x))$;
- (6) The map η_2 given in Definition A.3 for $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$ is dominant;
- (7) The map η_2 given in Definition A.3 for $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$ is generically finite;
- (8) The scheme Ψ defined in Definition A.3 for $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$ has a closed point x which is isolated in its fiber $\eta_2^{-1}(\eta_2(x))$; (9) For any set of q points in \mathbb{P}^n and an (codim X - r)-dimensional plane $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}^n$,
- there exists an element $[Y] \in \mathscr{H}_X$ so that Y contains those points and meets Λ ;
- (10) For any set of q points in \mathbb{P}^n , the subscheme of \mathbb{P}^n swept out by varieties of \mathscr{H}_X containing those points is dim X + r dimensional.

Secondly, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) \mathscr{H}_X satisfies strong interpolation;
- (ii) \mathscr{H}_X satisfies λ -interpolation for all λ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = \dim \mathscr{H}_X$;
- (iii) \mathscr{H}_X satisfies strong pointed interpolation;
- (iv) \mathscr{H}_X satisfies λ -pointed interpolation for all λ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = \dim \mathscr{H}_X$;
- (v) For any collection of planes $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m$ with $(\dim \Lambda_1, \ldots, \dim \Lambda_n)$ admissible, there is some $[Y] \in \mathscr{H}_X$ meeting all of $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m$;
- (vi) For any collection of planes $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m$ with $(\dim \Lambda_1, \ldots, \dim \Lambda_n)$ admissible, with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = \dim \mathcal{H}_X$, there is some $[Y] \in \mathcal{H}_X$ meeting all of $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m.$

Also, (i)-(vi) imply (1)-(10). Thirdly, further assume $H^1(X, N_X) = 0$ and X is a local complete intersection. Then, the following properties are equivalent:

- (a) The sheaf N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} satisfies interpolation;
- (b) There is a subsheaf $E' \to N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}$ whose cokernel is supported at q+1 points if r > 0 and q points if r = 0, so that the scheme theoretic support at q of these points has dimension equal to $rk N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}$ and $H^0(X, E') = H^1(X, E') = 0$;

- (c) The sheaf N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} satisfies strong interpolation;
- (d) For every $d \ge 1$, there exist points $p_1, \ldots, p_d \in X$ so that

$$\dim H^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1, \dots, p_d}) = \max \left\{ 0, h^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) - dn \right\}$$

(cf. [2, Definition 4.1]);

(e) For every $d \ge 1$, a general collection of points p_1, \ldots, p_d in X satisfies either

$$h^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1, \dots, p_d}) = 0 \quad or \quad h^1(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1, \dots, p_d}) = 0$$

(cf. [2, Proposition 4.5]);

(f) A general set of q points p_1, \ldots, p_q satisfy $h^1(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1,\ldots,p_q}) = 0$ and a general set of q + 1 points q_1, \ldots, q_{q+1} satisfy $h^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1,\ldots,p_q}) = 0$ (cf. [2, Proposition 4.6]).

Additionally, retaining the assumptions that $H^1(X, N_X) = 0$ and X is a local complete intersection, and further assuming X is generically smooth, the equivalent conditions (a)-(f) imply the equivalent conditions (1)-(10) and the equivalent conditions (a)-(f) imply the equivalent conditions (i)-(vi).

Finally, still retaining the assumptions that $H^1(X, N_X) = 0$ and that X is a local complete intersection, in the case that k has characteristic 0, all statements (1)-(10), (i)-(vi), (a)-(f) are equivalent.

We develop the tools to prove Theorem A.7 in Subsections A.2, A.3, and A.4, and then give a proof of Theorem A.7 in Subsection A.5.

Remark A.8. Note that if $H^1(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$ and X is a local complete intersection, (the latter condition is satisfied for all smooth X,) then X has no local obstructions to deformation by [17, Corollary 9.3]. So, by [17, Corollary 6.3], [X] is a smooth point of the Hilbert scheme.

Remark A.9. We note that the equivalence of all conditions from Theorem A.7 requires the characteristic 0 hypothesis, as it does not hold in characteristic 2.

The 2-Veronese surface over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2 provides an example of a variety which satisfies interpolation but whose normal bundle does not satisfy interpolation, as is shown in [21, Corollary 7.2.9].

A.2. Tools for irreducibility of incidence correspondences

A key ingredient for establishing the equivalence of conditions (1)-(10) is the irreducibility of the incidence correspondences Φ , Ψ (Definition A.3). We use this to establish that the following properties of the map π_2 (Definition A.3) are equivalent:

- (1) It is surjective;
- (2) It is dominant;
- (3) It is generically finite;
- (4) It has an isolated point in some fiber.

Our goal for this subsection is to prove Proposition A.11.

We start with a general upper semicontinuity result, which we will use in Proposition A.11 to show that if X is integral than so is \mathscr{H}_X , which we will then use in Proposition A.11 to conclude that Φ and Ψ are irreducible of the same dimension.

Proposition A.10. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a flat proper map of finite type schemes over an arbitrary field so that the fibers over the closed points of Y are geometrically reduced. Then, the number of irreducible components of the geometric fiber of a point in Y is upper semicontinuous on Y.

This proof is that outlined in nfdc23's comments in [19].

Proof. To start, note that by [15, Théorème 12.2.4(v)], the set of points in Y with geometrically reduced fiber is open, and hence all fibers of f are geometrically reduced, as all closed fibers are. Then, by [15, Théorème 12.2.4(ix)], since the geometric fibers of f are reduced and hence have no embedded points, we obtain that the total multiplicity, as defined in [14, Définition 4.7.4], is upper semicontinuous. Since the total multiplicity of a reduced scheme over an algebraically closed field is equal to the number of irreducible components, the number of irreducible components of the geometric fibers is upper semicontinuous on the target.

Proposition A.11. Suppose X is an integral scheme. Then, Φ , Ψ as defined in Definition A.3 are irreducible and dim $\Phi = \dim \Psi$.

Proof. We start by verifying that a general member of \mathscr{H}_X is integral if X is. The map $\mathscr{V}_X \to \mathscr{H}_X$ has general member which is reduced by [15, Théorème 12.2.4(v)]. Therefore, applying Proposition A.10, the general point of \mathscr{H}_X has preimage in \mathscr{V}_X which is integral.

We now complete the proof in the case that m = 1 as the general case is completely analogous. We write $\lambda := \lambda_1$, $\Lambda := \Lambda_1$, $p := p_1$ for notational convenience. Observe that we have a commutative diagram of natural projections



Observe that since the map ρ_2 is surjective, once we know Ψ is irreducible, Φ will be too.

Note that the map ρ_3 is flat. The assumption that the general member of \mathscr{H}_X is irreducible precisely says that the general fiber of ρ_3 is irreducible. If we have a flat map to an irreducible base, so that the general fiber is irreducible, then the source is irreducible (see, for example, [21, Lemma 3.2.1]). Hence, \mathscr{V}_X is irreducible.

If we knew ρ_1 were a Grassmannian bundle, we would then obtain that Ψ is also irreducible. To see this, we have a fiber square



The left vertical map is a Grassmannian bundle because the right vertical map is a Grassmannian bundle, so Ψ and Φ are irreducible.

To conclude, we check that $\dim \Psi = \dim \Phi$. Note that if we take the point (Y, Λ) in Φ chosen so that Λ meets Y at finitely many points, the fiber of ρ_2 over that point is necessarily 0 dimensional. By upper semicontinuity of fiber dimension for proper maps, there is an open set of Φ on which the fiber is 0 dimensional. Hence the map is generically finite, so dim $\Phi = \dim \Psi$.

A.3. Tools for showing equality of dimensions of the source and target

In this subsection we develop some more technical tools for proving Theorem A.7. Our goal for this subsection is to prove Lemma A.13. Before embarking on this task, we start with a simple tool for proving the equivalence of (3) and (5).

Lemma A.12. Let $\pi : X \to Y$ be a proper morphism of locally Noetherian schemes of the same pure dimension. If there is some point $x \in X$ which is isolated in its fiber, then dim $im \pi = \dim Y$.

Proof. By Zariski's Main Theorem in Grothendieck's form [33, Theorem 29.6.1(a)] there is a nonempty open subscheme $X_0 \subset X$ so that all closed point of X_0 are isolated in their fibers. Therefore, the map restricted to this open subset is generically finite, and so its image has the same dimension as Y.

Lemma A.13. With notation as in Definition A.3, if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = \dim \mathscr{H}_X$, we have $\dim \Phi = \dim \prod_{i=1}^{m} Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n + 1)$. In particular, the source and target of the map π_2 have the same dimension.

Proof. This is purely a dimension counting argument. With notation as in Definition A.3, Φ is a fiber product of incidence correspondences, Φ_i , where Φ_i is the scheme theoretic image of

$$\Psi_i := \mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_i} \times_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{V}(U)$$

under projection map $\Psi_i \to \mathscr{H}_X \times Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n + 1)$. We have natural projections



with

$$\Phi = \Phi_1 \times_{\mathscr{H}_X} \Phi_2 \times_{\mathscr{H}_X} \cdots \times_{\mathscr{H}_X} \Phi_m.$$

The dimension of any fiber of π_1^i is dim $X + \dim Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i, n)$, and hence

$$\dim \Phi = \dim \mathscr{H}_X + \sum_{i=1}^m (\dim X + \dim Gr (\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i, n))$$

$$= \dim \mathscr{H}_X + \sum_{i=1}^m (\dim X + (\dim Gr (\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n + 1))$$

$$- n - \operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i))$$

$$= \dim \mathscr{H}_X - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \dim Gr (\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n + 1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \dim Gr (\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n + 1).$$

A.4. Deformation theory tools

In this subsection, we prove a result from deformation theory crucial to proving the equivalence of the distinct groups of conditions in Theorem A.7.

The following proposition is important for establishing the equivalence between interpolation of a locally free sheaf and interpolation of a Hilbert scheme; although it might be obvious for experts, we could not find a reference, so we include it for completeness.

Proposition A.14. Let Ψ , η_2 and $[X] \in U$ be as in Definition A.3 and let

$$\overline{p} := (X, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m, p_1, \dots, p_m) \in \Psi$$

be a closed point of Ψ , so that Λ_i meets X quasi-transversely and so that the p_i are distinct smooth points of X. Choose subspaces $V_i \subset N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i}$ where V_i is the image of the composition

$$N_{p_i/\Lambda_i} \longrightarrow N_{p_i/\mathbb{P}^n} \longrightarrow N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i}.$$

For any closed point \overline{q} of Ψ , let

$$d\eta_2|_{\overline{q}}: T_{\overline{q}}\Psi \to T_{\eta_2(\overline{q})} \prod_{i=1}^m Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n+1)$$

be the induced map on tangent spaces. Then, $d\eta_2|_{\overline{p}}$ is surjective if and only if the map

$$H^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n})\tau \longrightarrow H^0(X, \oplus_{i=1}^m N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i}/V_i)$$

is surjective.

Proof. To set things up properly, we will need some definitions. Recall that \mathscr{V}_{Λ_i} is the universal family over the Hilbert scheme of dim Λ_i planes in \mathbb{P}^n . That is, it is the universal family over $Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n + 1)$. Next, take \mathscr{H} to be the Hilbert scheme with Hilbert polynomial equal to that of X and let \mathscr{V} be the universal family over \mathscr{H} . Next, define the scheme

$$egin{aligned} \mathscr{F} &:= ig(\mathscr{H} imes_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_1}ig) imes_{\mathscr{V}} \cdots imes_{\mathscr{V}}ig(\mathscr{H} imes_{\mathbb{P}^n} \mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_m}ig) \ &\cong ig(\mathscr{U} imes_{\mathscr{H}} \cdots imes_{\mathscr{H}} \mathscr{U}ig) imes_{(\mathbb{P}^n)^m}ig(\mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_1} imes \cdots imes \mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_m}ig), \end{aligned}$$

where there are *m* copies of \mathcal{U} in the first parenthesized expression on the second line.

Note that here \mathscr{F} is not necessarily the same as Ψ because we need not have $\mathscr{H} = \mathscr{H}_X$: The former is the connected component of the Hilbert scheme containing *X* while \mathscr{H}_X is the irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme containing *X*. However, we will later explain why the tangent spaces of these two schemes are identical, which is enough for our purposes.

Now, under our assumption that p_1, \ldots, p_n are distinct, we have a diagram

in which every square is a fiber square.

First, let us justify why the four small squares of (A.2) are fiber squares. The lower right hand square of (A.2) is a fiber square by elementary linear algebra and the assumption that Λ_i meet X quasi-transversely. The upper right square of (A.2) is a fiber square for each *i* by [28, Remark 4.5.4(ii)], as the universal family over the Hilbert scheme is precisely the Hilbert flag scheme of points inside that Hilbert scheme. Next, the lower left hand square of (A.2) is a fiber square because when the points p_1, \ldots, p_n are distinct, the tangent space to this *n*-fold fiber product of universal families over the Hilbert scheme is the same as the tangent space to the Hilbert flag scheme of degree *n* schemes inside schemes with the same Hilbert polynomials as X. Then, the fiber square follows from [28, Remark 4.5.4(ii)] for this flag Hilbert scheme. Finally, the upper left square of (A.2) is a fiber square because \mathscr{F} is defined as a fiber product of $(\mathscr{U} \times \mathscr{H} \cdots \times \mathscr{H} \mathscr{U})$ and $(\mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_1} \times \cdots \times \mathscr{V}_{\Lambda_m})$, and the fiber product of the tangent spaces is the tangent space of the fiber product.

Now, observe that the composition $f_2 \circ f_1$ is precisely the map on tangent spaces $d\eta_2|_{\overline{p}}$. To make this identification, we need to know that we can naturally identify $T_{\overline{p}} \mathscr{F} \cong T_{\overline{p}} \Psi$. However, the assumptions that $H^1(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}) = 0$ and that X is lci imply that [X] is a smooth point of the Hilbert scheme. Because the fiber over [X] of the projection $\Psi \to \mathscr{H}_X$ is smooth, it follows that Ψ is smooth at \overline{p} . For the same reason, it follows that \mathscr{F} is smooth at the corresponding point \overline{p} . Therefore, both \mathscr{F} and Ψ are smooth on some open neighborhood U containing \overline{p} . Now, since both Ψ and \mathscr{F} are defined in terms of fiber products, which agree on some open neighborhood V contained in U, it follows that on V we have an isomorphism $\mathscr{F}|_V \cong \Psi|_V$, and in particular their tangent spaces are isomorphic. So, we can identify $f_2 \circ f_1$ with $d\eta_2|_{\overline{p}}$.

Since all four subsquares of (A.2) are fiber squares, the full square (A.2) is a fiber square, and hence $f_2 \circ f_1$ is an isomorphism if and only if $g_2 \circ g_1$ is an isomorphism.

To complete the proof, we only need identify the map $g_2 \circ g_1$ with τ . But this follows from the identifications

$$T_{[X]}\mathscr{H} \cong H^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n})$$

 $T_{\mathbb{P}_i}\mathbb{P}^n/T_{p_i}X \cong H^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i})$
 $T_{\mathbb{P}_i}\mathbb{P}^n/(T_{p_i}X \oplus T_{p_i}Y) \cong H^0(X, N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i}/V_i).$

The first isomorphism follows from [17, Theorem 1.1(b)]. The second isomorphism holds because the normal exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{p_i} X \longrightarrow T_{p_i} \mathbb{P}^n \longrightarrow N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact on global sections, as all sheaves are supported at p_i . The third isomorphism holds because $(T_{p_i}\mathbb{P}^n/(T_{p_i}X\oplus T_{p_i}\Lambda_i))$ can be viewed as the quotient of $T_{p_i}\mathbb{P}^n$ first by $T_{p_i}X$ and then by the image of $T_{p_i}\Lambda_i$ in that quotient. However, $T_{p_i}\mathbb{P}^n/T_{p_i}X \cong N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i}$, and then V_i is by definition the image of $T_{p_i}\Lambda_i$ in $N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}|_{p_i}$.

A.5. Proof of Theorem A.7

Proof of Theorem A.7. The structure of proof is as follows:

- (1) Show equivalence of conditions (1)-(10);
- (2) Show equivalence of conditions (i)-(vi);
- (3) Show equivalence of conditions (a)-(f);
- (4) Demonstrate the implications that (a)-(f) imply (1)-(10), (a)-(f) imply (i)-(vi), and (i)-(vi) imply (1)-(10), in all characteristics. Further, all statements are equivalent in characteristic 0.

A.5.1. Equivalence of conditions (1)-(10)

First, (1) and (2) are equivalent as mentioned in Remark A.4, applied to the case $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$.

Next, note that a proper map of irreducible schemes of the same dimension is surjective if and only if it is dominant if and only if it is generically finite if and only if there is some point isolated in its fiber. The first three equivalences are immediate, the last follows from Lemma A.12. Since dim $\prod_{i=1}^{m} Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n+1) = \dim \Phi$, by Lemma A.13, we have that (1), (3), (4), (5) are equivalent.

Next, since dim $\Phi = \dim \Psi$, and Ψ is irreducible, we have

$$\dim \prod_{i=1}^{m} Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n+1) = \dim \Psi.$$

So, by reasoning analogous to that of the previous paragraph, we obtain that (2), (6), (7), and (8) are equivalent.

Next, (1) is equivalent to (9) because surjectivity of a proper map of varieties is equivalent to surjectivity on closed points of the varieties. Since the fibers of the map π_2 precisely consist of those elements of \mathscr{H}_X meeting a specified collection of q points and a plane Λ , being surjective is equivalent to there being some element of \mathscr{H}_X passing through these q points and meeting Λ .

Finally, (9) is equivalent to (10) because the condition that the variety swept out by the elements of \mathscr{H}_X containing q points meet a general plane Λ of dimension codim X - r is equivalent to the variety swept out by the elements of \mathscr{H}_X being dim X + r dimensional. This is using the fact that a variety of dimension d in \mathbb{P}^n meets a general plane of dimension d' if and only if $d + d' \ge n$. But, of course, the dimension swept out by the elements of \mathscr{H}_X containing q general points is at most dim X + r dimensional, because there is at most an r dimensional space of varieties in \mathscr{H}_X containing r general points. This shows the equivalence of properties (1) through (10).

A.5.2. Equivalence of Conditions (i)-(vi)

Since η_2 factors through Φ , and the restriction map $\Psi \to \Phi$ is surjective, for all λ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = \dim \mathscr{H}_X$, λ -interpolation is equivalent to λ -pointed interpolation. This establishes the equivalence of (ii) and (iv) and the equivalence of (i) and (iii).

Next, (i) is equivalent to (v), because the map π_2 contains a point corresponding to a collection of planes $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m$ in its image if and only if there is some element of the Hilbert schemes meeting those planes. Similarly, (ii) is equivalent to (vi).

To complete these equivalences, we only need show (v) is equivalent to (vi). Clearly (v) implies (vi). For the reverse implication, observe that if we start with a collection of planes $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_s$ with $\Lambda_i \in Gr(\operatorname{codim} X - \lambda_i + 1, n + 1)$, so that $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \lambda_i < \dim \mathscr{H}_X$, we can extend the sequence λ to a sequence $\mu =$ (μ_1, \ldots, μ_m) for m > s, with $0 \le \mu_i \le \operatorname{codim} X$, $\mu_i = \lambda_i$ for $i \le s$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i = \dim \mathscr{H}_X$. Then, if some element of \mathscr{H}_X meets planes $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_m$ corresponding to the sequence μ , it certainly also meets $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_s$. Hence, (vi) implies (v).

A.5.3. Equivalence of conditions (a)-(f)

The equivalence of (a) and (b) is immediate from the definitions. The equivalence of (d) and (e) is a generalization of [2, Proposition 4.5] to higher dimensional varieties, and the equivalence of (e) and (f) is a generalization of [2, Proposition 4.6]

to higher dimensional varieties. The equivalence of (a) and (c) is an immediate generalization of [3, Theorem 8.1] to higher dimensional varieties. To complete the proof, we only need check the equivalence of Definition (a) and (e). The forward implication follows immediately from a couple standard applications of exact sequences, so we concentrate on the reverse implication. This essentially follows from a generalization of [2, Proposition 4.23], with one minor issue: We need to check that if we start with a sequence of sheaves

$$0 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

where A has zero dimensional support, then for a general collection of points p_1, \ldots, p_d the twisted sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1, \dots, p_d} \longrightarrow E \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1, \dots, p_d} \longrightarrow A \otimes \mathscr{I}_{p_1, \dots, p_d} \longrightarrow 0$$

remains exact. This held automatically in the case of [2, Proposition 4.23], because they were only dealing with the case that the points were divisors, and hence the ideal sheaves were locally free. However, here, the resulting sequence is still exact, since $Tor^1(\mathscr{I}_{p_1,\ldots,p_d}, A) = 0$, so long as the points p_1, \ldots, p_d are chosen to be disjoint from the support of A. We apply this generalization of [2, Proposition 4.23], and, in that statement, take $B := Gr(r, \operatorname{rk} N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}), E := N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}, F := N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} \otimes \mathscr{I}_p, G_b := N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} |_p / V_b$, where V_b is the subspace for the corresponding element of $b \in B$. We then see that all twists of G by the ideal sheaf of a general set of points either have vanishing 0 or 1st cohomology, implying that N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} satisfies interpolation, as in (a).

A.5.4. Implications among all conditions

By definition (i) implies (1).

To complete the proof, we only need to show (a) implies (iii) and (2) (in all characteristics) and that the reverse implications hold true in characteristic 0.

For this, choose λ with $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = \dim \mathscr{H}_X$. We will show that λ -interpolation of N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} implies λ -pointed interpolation in all characteristics, and the reverse implication holds in characteristic 0. It suffices to prove this, as this will yield the desired implications. For example, this implies the relation between (2) and (a), by taking $\lambda = ((\operatorname{codim} X)^q, r)$.

To see this statement about λ -pointed interpolation and λ -interpolation of N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n} , let $\overline{p} := (Y, \Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m, p_1, \dots, p_m), V_i, \tau$ be as in Proposition A.14.

By Proposition A.14, we have that the map $d\eta_2|_{\overline{P}}$ is surjective if and only if the corresponding map τ is surjective. But this latter map is precisely that from (A.1) in the definition of interpolation for vector bundles, taking $E := N_{X/\mathbb{P}^n}$.

So, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that if $d\eta_2|_{\overline{p}}$ is surjective, then η_2 is surjective, and the converse holds in characteristic 0.

But now we have reduced this to a general statement about varieties. Note that η_2 is a map between two varieties of the same dimension, by Lemma A.13 and that \overline{p} is a smooth point of Ψ by assumption. So, it suffices to show that a map between

two proper varieties of the same dimension is surjective if it is surjective on tangent spaces, and that the converse holds in characteristic 0. For the forward implication, if the map is surjective on tangent spaces, the map is smooth of relative dimension 0 at \overline{p} . But, this means that \overline{p} is isolated in its fiber, and so by Lemma A.12, we obtain that η_2 is surjective.

To complete the proof, we only need to show that if η_2 is surjective and k has characteristic 0, then there is a point at which $d\eta_2|_{\overline{p}}$ is surjective. That is, we only need to show there is a point at which η_2 is smooth. But, this follows by generic smoothness, which crucially uses the characteristic 0 hypothesis!

A.6. Complete intersections

Definition A.15. Define $\mathscr{H}_{k,d,n}^{ci}$ to be the closure in the Hilbert scheme of the locus of complete intersections of k polynomials of degree d in \mathbb{P}^n .

Lemma A.16. Let k, d, n be positive integers. Then, $\mathscr{H}_{k,d,n}^{ci}$ satisfies interpolation. In particular, any Hilbert scheme of hypersurfaces $\mathscr{H}_{1,d,n}^{ci}$ satisfies interpolation. Furthermore, interpolation is equivalent to meeting $\binom{d+n}{d} - k$ general points in \mathbb{P}^n .

Proof. First, observe that dim $\mathscr{H}_{k,d,n}^{ci} = k(\binom{d+n}{d} - k)$ because a point of $\mathscr{H}_{k,d,n}^{ci}$ corresponds to the variety cut out by the intersection of all degree d polynomials in a k dimensional subspace of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$. In other words, there is a birational map between the locus of complete intersections and $G(k, H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)))$, which is $k(\binom{d+n}{d} - k)$ dimensional. So, to show $\mathscr{H}_{k,d,n}^{ci}$ satisfies interpolation, it suffices to show there exists such a complete intersection through $\binom{d+n}{d} - k$ general points. First, since points impose independent conditions on degree d hypersurfaces in \mathbb{P}^n , there will indeed be a k dimensional subspace of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ passing through the any collection of $\binom{d+n}{d} - k$ points.

It remains to verify that if the points are chosen generally, then the intersection of degree *d* hypersurfaces in the subspace passing through the points is a complete intersection. To see this, note that the map π_2 from Definition A.3 is a generically finite map between varieties of the same dimension. In particular, the element of $G(k, H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)))$ through a general collection of $\binom{d+n}{d} - k$ points will be general in $G(k, H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)))$. Then, since a general element of $G(k, H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathscr{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)))$ corresponds to a complete intersection, there will indeed be a complete intersection passing through a general collection of $\binom{d+n}{d} - k$ points. \Box

References

- E. ARBARELLO and J. HARRIS, Canonical curves and quadrics of rank 4, Compositio Math. 43 (1981), 145–179.
- [2] A. ATANASOV, E. LARSON and D. YANG, "Interpolation for Normal Bundles of General Curves", Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 257, 2019.

- [3] A. ATANASOV, Interpolation and vector bundles on curves, arXiv: 1404.4892v2, 2014.
- [4] E BALLICO, An interpolation problem for the normal bundle of curves of genus $g \ge 2$ and high degree in \mathbb{P}^r , Comm. Algebra **45** (2017), 822–827.
- [5] D. CHEN, G. FARKAS and I. MORRISON, *Effective divisors on moduli spaces of curves and abelian varieties*, In: "A Celebration of Algebraic Geometry", Clay Math. Proc., Vol. 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, 131–169.
- [6] A. B. COBLE, Associated sets of points, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1922), 1-20.
- [7] I. COSKUN, Degenerations of surface scrolls and the Gromov-Witten invariants of Grassmannians, J. Algebraic Geom. 15 (2006), 223–284.
- [8] I. COSKUN, The enumerative geometry of Del Pezzo surfaces via degenerations, Amer. J. Math. 128 (2006), 751–786.
- [9] I. V. DOLGACHEV, On certain families of elliptic curves in projective space, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 183 (2004), 317–331.
- [10] L. EIN and R. LAZARSFELD, Stability and restrictions of Picard bundles, with an application to the normal bundles of elliptic curves, In: "Complex Projective Geometry" (Trieste, 1989/Bergen, 1989), Vol. 179, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992, 149–156.
- [11] D. EISENBUD and J. HARRIS, On varieties of minimal degree (a centennial account), In: "Algebraic Geometry", Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Vol. 46, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, 3–13.
- [12] D. EISENBUD and S. POPESCU, *The projective geometry of the Gale transform*, J. Algebra 230 (2000), 127–173.
- [13] G. ELENCWAJG and P. LE BARZ, L'anneau de Chow des triangles du plan, Compositio Math. 71 (1989), 85–119.
- [14] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique, IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, II, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 24 (1965), 231.
- [15] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique, IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, III, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1966), 255.
- [16] J. HARRIS and R. PANDHARIPANDE, Severi degrees in cogenus 3, arXiv:alg-geom/ 9504003v1, 1995.
- [17] R. HARTSHORNE, "Deformation Theory", Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 257, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [18] V. A. ISKOVSKIKH and YU. G. PROKHOROV, *Fano varieties*, In: "Algebraic Geometry, V", Vol. 47, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., Springer, Berlin, 1999, 1–247.
- [19] A. LANDESMAN, Why is the number of irreducible components upper semicontinuous in nice situations?, MathOverflow, (http://mathoverflow.net/users/75970/aaron landesman) URL:http://mathoverflow.net/q/225534 (version: 2015-12-08).
- [20] A. LANDESMAN, Interpolation of varieties of minimal degree, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 13 (2018), 4063–4083.
- [21] A. LANDESMAN and A. PATEL, *Interpolation in algebraic geometry*, arXiv:1605.01117v1, 2016.
- [22] E. LARSON, *Interpolation for restricted tangent bundles of general curves*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), 931–938.
- [23] J. V. PEREIRA and L. PIRIO, "An Invitation to Web Geometry", Vol. 2, IMPA Monographs, Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [24] Z. RAN, Normal bundles of rational curves in projective spaces, Asian J. Math. 11 (2007), 567–608.
- [25] H. RUSSELL, *Counting singular plane curves via Hilbert schemes*, Adv. Math. **179** (2003), 38–58.
- [26] H. RUSSELL, Degenerations of monomial ideals, Math. Res. Lett. 11 (2004), 231–249.
- [27] G. SACCHIERO, Normal bundles of rational curves in projective space, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.) 26 (1980), 33–40 (1981).

- [28] E. SERNESI, "Deformations of Algebraic Schemes", Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Vol. 334, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [29] I. R. SHAFAREVICH, "Basic Algebraic Geometry 1, Varieties in Projective Space", Springer, Heidelberg, third edition, 2013.
- [30] J. STEVENS, On the number of points determining a canonical curve, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. 51 (1989), 485–494.
- [31] J. STEVENS, On the computation of versal deformations. Topology, 3, J. Math. Sci. 82 (1996), 3713–3720.
- [32] J. STEVENS, "Deformations of Singularities", Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1811, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [33] R. VAKIL, "MATH 216: Foundations of Algebraic Geometry", 2013, http://math.stanford. edu/~vakil/216blog

Dept. of Mathematics Stanford University Cambridge, MA 02138 USA aaronlandesman@stanford.edu

Dept. of Mathematics Boston College Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 USA anand.patel@bc.edu