

Isogenies of Abelian Anderson A -modules and A -motives

URS HARTL

Abstract. As a generalization of Drinfeld modules, Greg Anderson introduced Abelian t -modules and t -motives over a perfect base field. In this article we study relative versions of these defined over base rings. We investigate isogenies among them. Our main results state that every isogeny possesses a dual isogeny in the opposite direction, and that a morphism between Abelian t -modules is an isogeny if and only if the corresponding morphism between their associated t -motives is an isogeny. We also study torsion submodules of Abelian t -modules which in general are non-reduced group schemes. They can be obtained from the associated t -motive via the finite shtuka correspondence of Drinfeld and Abrashkin. The inductive limits of torsion submodules are the function field analogs of p -divisible groups. These limits correspond to the local shtukas attached to the t -motives associated with the Abelian t -modules. In this sense the theory of Abelian t -modules is captured by the theory of t -motives.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 11G09 (primary); 14K02, 13A35, 14L05 (secondary).

1. Introduction

In 1974 Drinfeld [12] defined “elliptic modules” as function field analogs of elliptic curves. These are today called *Drinfeld modules*. As higher dimensional generalizations of Drinfeld modules and function field analogs of Abelian varieties, Greg Anderson [2] introduced *Abelian t -modules* and *t -motives* over a perfect base field. In this article we study families, that is, relative versions of these defined over base rings, and we generalize them to *Abelian Anderson A -modules* and *A -motives*. The upshot of our results is that the entire theory of Abelian Anderson A -modules is contained in the theory of A -motives. More precisely, let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements, let C be a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over \mathbb{F}_q and let $\mathcal{Q} = \mathbb{F}_q(C)$ be its function field. Let $\infty \in C$

The author acknowledges support of the DFG (German Research Foundation) in form of SFB 878 and Germany’s Excellence Strategy EXC 2044–390685587 “Mathematics Münster: Dynamics–Geometry–Structure”.

Received December 6, 2016; accepted in revised form March 16, 2018.

Published online December 2019.

be a closed point and let $A = \Gamma(C \setminus \{\infty\}, \mathcal{O}_C)$ be the ring of functions which are regular outside ∞ . Let (R, γ) be an A -ring, that is a commutative unitary ring together with a ring homomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow R$. We consider the ideal $\mathcal{J} := (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a) : a \in A) = \ker(\gamma \otimes \text{id}_R : A_R \rightarrow R) \subset A_R := A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R$ and the endomorphism $\sigma := \text{id}_A \otimes \text{Frob}_{q,R} : a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes b^q$ of A_R . For an A_R -module M we set $\sigma^* M := M \otimes_{A_R, \sigma} A_R = M \otimes_{R, \text{Frob}_{q,R}} R$, and for an element $m \in M$ we write $\sigma^* m := m \otimes 1 \in \sigma^* M$. Also we let \mathbb{N}_0 be the set of non-negative integers.

Definition 1.1. An *effective A -motive of rank r over an A -ring R* is a pair $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ consisting of a locally free A_R -module M of rank r and an A_R -homomorphism $\tau_M : \sigma^* M \rightarrow M$ whose cokernel is annihilated by \mathcal{J}^n for some positive integer n . We say that \underline{M} has *dimension d* if $\text{coker } \tau_M$ is a locally free R -module of rank d and annihilated by \mathcal{J}^d . We write $\text{rk } \underline{M} = r$ and $\dim \underline{M} = d$ for the rank and the dimension of \underline{M} .

A *morphism $f : (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (N, \tau_N)$* between effective A -motives is an A_R -homomorphism $f : M \rightarrow N$ which satisfies $f \circ \tau_M = \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f$.

Note that τ_M is always injective and $\text{coker}(\tau_M)$ is always a finite locally free R -module by Proposition 2.3 below. We give explanations for this definition in Section 2 and also define non-effective A -motives. If R is a perfect field, $q = p$ is a prime, $A = \mathbb{F}_p[t]$ and in addition, M is finitely generated over the non-commutative polynomial ring $R\{\tau\} := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \tau^i : n \in \mathbb{N}_0, b_i \in R \right\}$ with $\tau b = b^p \tau$, which acts on $m \in M$ via $\tau : m \mapsto \tau_M(\sigma^* m)$, then (M, τ_M) is a t -motive in the sense of Anderson [2, Section 1.2].

Next let us define Abelian Anderson A -modules by first agreeing that all group schemes in this article are assumed to be commutative. In Section 3 we give some explanations on the terminology in the following

Definition 1.2. Let d and r be positive integers. An *Abelian Anderson A -module of rank r and dimension d over R* is a pair $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ consisting of a smooth affine group scheme E over $\text{Spec } R$ of relative dimension d , and a ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-groups}}(E)$, $a \mapsto \varphi_a$ such that

- (a) There is a faithfully flat ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ for which $E \times_R \text{Spec } R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d$ as \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes, where \mathbb{F}_q acts on E via φ and $\mathbb{F}_q \subset A$;
- (b) $(\text{Lie } \varphi_a - \gamma(a))^d = 0$ on $\text{Lie } E$ for all $a \in A$;
- (c) The set $M := M(\underline{E}) := M_q(\underline{E}) := \text{Hom}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(E, \mathbb{G}_{a,R})$ of \mathbb{F}_q -equivariant homomorphisms of R -group schemes is a locally free A_R -module of rank r under the action given on $m \in M$ by

$$A \ni a : M \longrightarrow M, m \mapsto m \circ \varphi_a$$

$$R \ni b : M \longrightarrow M, m \mapsto b \circ m.$$

A *morphism $f : (E, \varphi) \rightarrow (E', \varphi')$* between Abelian Anderson A -modules is a homomorphism of group schemes $f : E \rightarrow E'$ over R which satisfies $\varphi'_a \circ f = f \circ \varphi_a$ for all $a \in A$.

Remark 1.3. In particular, if $R = k$ is a perfect field, $q = p$ is a prime and $A = \mathbb{F}_p[t]$, then an Abelian Anderson A -module is nothing else than an Abelian t -module in the sense of Anderson [2, Section 1.1]. Indeed, Anderson requires that E is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_{a,k}^d$ over k . This is implied by our condition (a) by [28, Chapter VII, Proposition 11] and [29, XVII, Lemme 2.3 bis]. Our definition is the natural generalization to arbitrary A -rings R . Likewise our condition (c) that $M(\underline{E})$ is a locally free A_R -module generalizes Anderson's condition that $M(\underline{E})$ is a finite free module over the principal ideal domain $A_k = k[t]$ when $R = k$ is a perfect field; see [2, Section 1.1 and Lemma 1.4.5]. This is a severe restriction on \underline{E} , but was intended already by Anderson. Namely, we will see that for general A and R , the Abelian Anderson A -modules of dimension 1 over R are precisely the Drinfeld A -modules over R ; see Definition 3.7 and Theorem 3.9. It was Anderson's motivation to define and study higher dimensional generalizations of Drinfeld A -modules in the same spirit as Abelian varieties are higher dimensional generalizations of elliptic curves, the number field analogs of Drinfeld A -modules. Condition (c) is crucial for the intended analogy between Abelian Anderson A -modules and Abelian varieties, because it determines the structure of endomorphism rings and torsion points; see [2, Corollary 1.7.3 and Proposition 1.8.3] and our generalizations Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 6.4.

When q is not a prime and R is not a field, we do not know the answer to the following

Question 1.4. If we weaken Definition 1.2(a) and only require that there is an isomorphism of group schemes $E \times_{\mathrm{Spec} R} \mathrm{Spec} R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d$, do we get an equivalent definition?

Anderson's anti-equivalence [2, Theorem 1] between Abelian t -modules and t -motives directly generalizes to the following:

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a fixed A -ring. If $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ is an Abelian Anderson A -module over R , then $\underline{M}(\underline{E}) = (M, \tau_M)$ with $\tau_M: \sigma^* M \rightarrow M$, $\sigma_M^* m \mapsto \mathrm{Frob}_{q, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m$ is an effective A -motive over R of the same rank and dimension as \underline{E} . The contravariant functor $\underline{E} \mapsto \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ between Abelian Anderson A -modules over R and A -motives over R is fully faithful. Its essential image consists of all effective A -motives $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ over R for which there exists a faithfully flat ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ such that $M \otimes_R R'$ is a finite free left $R'\{\tau\}$ -module under the map $\tau: M \rightarrow M$, $m \mapsto \tau_M(\sigma_M^* m)$.*

The main purpose of this article is to study isogenies and their (co-)kernels over arbitrary A -rings R . Here a morphism $f: \underline{E} \rightarrow \underline{E}'$ between Abelian Anderson A -modules over R is an *isogeny* if it is finite and surjective. On the other hand, a morphism $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ between A -motives over R is an *isogeny* if f is injective and $\mathrm{coker} f$ is finite and locally free as R -module. We give equivalent characterizations in Propositions 5.2, 5.4 and 5.8. The following are our two main results.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{E}, \underline{E}')$ be a morphism between Abelian Anderson A -modules over an A -ring R , and let $\underline{M}(f) \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{M}', \underline{M})$ be the associated morphism between the associated effective A -motives $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ and $\underline{M}' = \underline{M}(\underline{E}')$ over R . Then*

- (a) *f is an isogeny if and only if $\underline{M}(f)$ is an isogeny;*
- (b) *If f is an isogeny, then $\ker f$ and $\operatorname{coker} \underline{M}(f)$ correspond to each other under the finite shtuka equivalence which we review in Section 4.*

Corollary 5.15. *If $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ is an isogeny between A -motives over an A -ring R , then there is an element $0 \neq a \in A$ and an isogeny $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{N}, \underline{M})$ with $f \circ g = a \cdot \operatorname{id}_{\underline{N}}$ and $g \circ f = a \cdot \operatorname{id}_{\underline{M}}$. The same is true for Abelian Anderson A -modules.*

This leads to the following result about torsion points in Section 6. Let $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be an ideal and let $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be an Abelian Anderson A -module over R . The \mathfrak{a} -torsion submodule $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ of \underline{E} is the closed subscheme of E defined by $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}](S) = \{P \in E(S) : \varphi_a(P) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$ on any R -algebra S .

Theorem 6.4. *$\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is a finite locally free group scheme over R . It is étale over R if and only if $\mathfrak{a} + \mathcal{J} = A_R$. If $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ is the associated A -motive then $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ and $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M}$ correspond to each other under the finite shtuka equivalence reviewed in Section 4.*

If $\mathfrak{a} + \mathcal{J} = A_R$ and $\bar{s} = \operatorname{Spec} \Omega$ is a geometric base point of $\operatorname{Spec} R$, then we also prove in Theorem 6.6 that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}](\Omega)$ is a free A/\mathfrak{a} -module of rank r which carries a continuous action of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\operatorname{Spec} R, \bar{s})$.

In the final Section 7 we turn towards the case where $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is a maximal ideal and where all elements of $\gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \subset R$ are nilpotent. In this case, we can associate with an A -motive \underline{M} over R a local shtuka $\hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M})$; see Example 7.2 and with an Abelian Anderson A -module \underline{E} a divisible local Anderson module $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}] := \varinjlim \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ in the sense of [19]; see Definition 7.3 and Theorem 7.6.

If $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ then $\hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M})$ and $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$ correspond to each other under the local shtuka equivalence from [19]; see Theorems 7.4 and 7.6.

Notation

Throughout this article we denote by

$\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and \mathbb{N}_0	the positive, respectively the non-negative integers,
\mathbb{F}_q	a finite field with q elements and characteristic p ,
C	a smooth projective geometrically irreducible curve over \mathbb{F}_q ,
$Q := \mathbb{F}_q(C)$	the function field of C ,
∞	a fixed closed point of C ,

\mathbb{F}_∞	the residue field of the point $\infty \in C$,
$A := \Gamma(C \setminus \{\infty\}, \mathcal{O}_C)$	the ring of regular functions on C outside ∞ ,
$(R, \gamma: A \rightarrow R)$	an A -ring, that is a ring R with a ring homomorphism $\gamma: A \rightarrow R$,
$A_R := A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R$,	
$\sigma := \text{id}_A \otimes \text{Frob}_{q,R}$	the endomorphism of A_R with $a \otimes b \mapsto a \otimes b^q$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in R$,
$\sigma^* M := M \otimes_{R, \text{Frob}_{q,R}} R = M \otimes_{A_R, \sigma} A_R$	the Frobenius pullback for an A_R -module M ,
$\sigma^* V := V \otimes_{R, \text{Frob}_{q,R}} R$	the Frobenius pullback more generally for an R -module V ,
$\sigma_V^* v := v \otimes 1 \in \sigma^* V$	for an element $v \in V$,
$\sigma^* f := f \otimes \text{id}: \sigma^* M \rightarrow \sigma^* N$	for a morphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ of A_R -modules,
$\mathcal{J} := \ker(\gamma \otimes \text{id}_R: A_R \rightarrow R) = (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a): a \in A) \subset A_R$.	

Note that γ makes R into an \mathbb{F}_q -algebra. Further note that \mathcal{J} is a locally free A_R -module of rank 1. Indeed, $\mathcal{J} = I \otimes_{A_A} A_R$ for the ideal $I := (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a: a \in A) \subset A_A = A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} A$. The latter is a locally free A_A -module of rank 1 by Nakayama's lemma, because $I \otimes_{A_A} A_A/I = I/I^2 = \Omega_{A/\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ is a locally free module of rank 1 over $A_A/I = A$.

We will sometimes reduce from the ring A to the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ by applying the following

Lemma 1.5. *Let $a \in A \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ and let $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ be the polynomial ring in the variable t . Then the homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_q[t] \rightarrow A$, $t \mapsto a$ makes A into a finite free $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module of rank equal to $-\lceil \mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_q \rceil \text{ord}_\infty(a)$, where ord_∞ is the normalized valuation of the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{C,\infty}$.*

Proof. If $\text{ord}_\infty(a) = 0$ then a would have no pole on the curve C , hence would be constant. Since C is geometrically irreducible this would imply $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ which was excluded. Therefore a is non-constant and defines a finite surjective morphism of curves $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ with $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[t] = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \setminus \{\infty'\}$, where $\infty' \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ is the pole of t . By [17, Proposition 15.31] its degree can be computed in the fiber $f^{-1}(\infty') = \{\infty\}$ as $\deg f = \lceil \mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_{\infty'} \rceil \cdot e_f(\infty)$ where $\mathbb{F}_{\infty'} = \mathbb{F}_q$ and $e_f(\infty) = \text{ord}_\infty f^*(\frac{1}{t}) = -\text{ord}_\infty(a)$ is the ramification index of f at ∞ . Since $\text{Spec } A = f^{-1}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q[t])$ we conclude that A is a finite (locally) free $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module of rank $-\lceil \mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_q \rceil \text{ord}_\infty(a)$. \square

2. A -Motives

We keep the notation introduced in the introduction and generalize Definition 1.1 to not necessarily effective A -motives.

Definition 2.1. An A -motive of rank r over an A -ring R is a pair $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ consisting of a locally free A_R -module M of rank r and an isomorphism outside the zero locus $V(\mathcal{J})$ of \mathcal{J} between the induced finite locally free sheaves $\tau_M: \sigma^* M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})}$.

A morphism $f: (M, \tau_M) \rightarrow (N, \tau_N)$ between A -motives is an A_R -homomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ which satisfies $f \circ \tau_M = \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f$. We write $\text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ for the A -module of morphisms between \underline{M} and \underline{N} . The elements of $\text{QHom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N}) := \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N}) \otimes_A Q$ are called *quasi-morphisms*. We also define the endomorphism ring $\text{End}_R(\underline{M}) := \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{M})$ and $\text{QEnd}_R(\underline{M}) := \text{QHom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{M}) = \text{End}_R(\underline{M}) \otimes_A Q$.

To explain the relation between Definitions 1.1 and 2.1 we begin with a

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism between finite locally free A_R -modules M and N of the same rank, and assume that $\text{coker } f$ is a finitely generated R -module, then f is injective and $\text{coker } f$ is a finite locally free R -module.*

Proof. To make the proof more transparent, we choose an element $t \in A \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Then A is a finite free $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module by Lemma 1.5, and M and N are finite locally free modules over $R[t]$. Also t acts as an endomorphism of the finite R -module $\text{coker } f$. By the Cayley-Hamilton Theorem [15, Theorem 4.3] there is a monic polynomial $g \in R[t]$ which annihilates $\text{coker } f$. This implies on the one hand that

$$M/gM \longrightarrow N/gN \longrightarrow \text{coker } f \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact, and therefore $\text{coker } f$ is an R -module of finite presentation, because $R[t]/(g)$ is a finite free R -module of rank $\deg_t g$. On the other hand it implies that $M[\frac{1}{g}] \rightarrow N[\frac{1}{g}]$ is an epimorphism, whence an isomorphism by [17, Corollary 8.12], because M and N are finite locally free over $R[t]$ of the same rank. Since g is a non-zero divisor on $R[t]$ and thus also on M , the localization map $M \rightarrow M[\frac{1}{g}]$ is injective, and hence also f is injective.

We obtain the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{coker } f \rightarrow 0$, which yields for every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ with residue field $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}_1^R(k, \text{coker } f) \longrightarrow M \otimes_R k \longrightarrow N \otimes_R k \longrightarrow (\text{coker } f) \otimes_R k \longrightarrow 0.$$

Again the $k[t]$ -modules $M \otimes_R k$ and $N \otimes_R k$ are locally free of the same rank and $(\text{coker } f) \otimes_R k$ is a torsion $k[t]$ -module, annihilated by g . Since $k[t]$ is a PID, this implies that $M \otimes_R k \rightarrow N \otimes_R k$ is injective and so $\text{Tor}_1^R(k, \text{coker } f) = (0)$. Since $\text{coker } f$ is finitely presented, it is locally free of finite rank by Nakayama's Lemma; e.g., [15, Exercise 6.2]. \square

For the next proposition note that \mathcal{J} is an invertible sheaf on $\text{Spec } A_R$ as we remarked before Lemma 1.5.

Proposition 2.3.

- (a) Let (M, τ_M) be an A -motive. Then there exist integers $e, e' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \tau_M(\sigma^*M) \subset M$ and $\mathcal{J}^{e'} \cdot \tau_M^{-1}(M) \subset \sigma^*M$. For any such e, e' the induced A_R -homomorphism $\tau_M: \mathcal{J}^e \cdot \sigma^*M \rightarrow M$ is injective, and the quotient $M/\tau_M(\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \sigma^*M)$ is a locally free R -module of finite rank, which is annihilated by $\mathcal{J}^{e+e'}$;
- (b) An A -motive (M, τ_M) is an effective A -motive, if and only if $\tau_M(\sigma^*M) \subset M$;
- (c) Let (M, τ_M) be an effective A -motive over R . Then $(M, \tau_M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})})$ is an A -motive. Moreover, $\tau_M: \sigma^*M \rightarrow M$ is injective and $\text{coker } \tau_M$ is a finite locally free R -module;
- (d) Let $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ be an effective A -motive over a field k . Then \underline{M} has dimension $\dim_k \text{coker } \tau_M$.

Proof. (a) Working locally on affine subsets of $\text{Spec } A_R$ we may assume that \mathcal{J} is generated by a non-zero divisor $h \in \mathcal{J}$. By [14, I, Théorème 1.4.1(d1)] we obtain for every generator m of the A_R -module σ^*M an integer n such that locally $h^n \cdot \tau_M(m) \in M$. Taking e as the maximum of the n when m runs through a finite generating system of σ^*M , yields $\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \tau_M(\sigma^*M) \subset M$. The inclusion $\mathcal{J}^{e'} \cdot \tau_M^{-1}(M) \subset \sigma^*M$ is proved analogously.

Let e and e' be any integers with $\tau_M(\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \sigma^*M) \subset M$ and $\tau_M^{-1}(\mathcal{J}^{e'} \cdot M) \subset \sigma^*M$, whence $\mathcal{J}^{e+e'} \cdot M \subset \tau_M(\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \sigma^*M)$. Then $M/\tau_M(\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \sigma^*M)$ is annihilated by $\mathcal{J}^{e+e'}$, and hence a finite module over $A_R/\mathcal{J}^{e+e'}$ and over R . Therefore the map $\tau_M: \mathcal{J}^e \cdot \sigma^*M \rightarrow M$ is injective, and the quotient $M/\tau_M(\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \sigma^*M)$ is a finite locally free R -module by Lemma 2.2.

(c) Since $\mathcal{J}^n \cdot \text{coker } \tau_M = (0)$, the map $\tau_M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})}$ is an epimorphism between locally free sheaves of the same rank, and hence an isomorphism by [17, Corollary 8.12]. Thus \underline{M} is an A -motive and the remaining assertions follow from (a). Also (b) follows directly.

(d) Set $d := \dim_k \text{coker } \tau_M$. Since every $h \in \mathcal{J}$ which generates \mathcal{J} locally on $\text{Spec } A_k$ is nilpotent on the k -vector space $\text{coker } \tau_M$, it satisfies $h^d = 0$ by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem from linear algebra. We conclude that $\mathcal{J}^d \cdot \text{coker } \tau_M = (0)$ and \underline{M} has dimension d . \square

Proposition 2.4.

- (a) If S is an R -algebra, then $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M) \mapsto \underline{M} \otimes_R S := (M \otimes_R S, \tau_M \otimes \text{id}_S)$ defines a functor from (effective) A -motives of rank r (and dimension d) over R to (effective) A -motives of rank r (and dimension d) over S ;
- (b) Every A -motive over R and every morphism $f \in \text{Hom}(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ between A -motives over R can be defined over a subring R' of R , which via $\gamma: A \rightarrow R' \subset R$ is a finitely generated A -algebra, hence noetherian.

Proof. (a) This is obvious.

(b) Every A -motive $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ has a presentation given by a short exact sequence $A_R^{\oplus n_1} \xrightarrow{U} A_R^{\oplus n_0} \xrightarrow{\rho} M \rightarrow 0$. Since M is locally free over A_R , there is a section s of the epimorphism ρ . It corresponds to an endomorphism S of $A_R^{\oplus n_0}$ with $SU = 0$ such that there is a map $W: A_R^{\oplus n_0} \rightarrow A_R^{\oplus n_1}$ with $S - \text{Id} = UW$. The isomorphism τ_M gives rise to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (\sigma^* A_R^{\oplus n_1})|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} & \xrightarrow{\sigma^* U} & (\sigma^* A_R^{\oplus n_0})|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} & \xrightarrow{\sigma^* \rho} & \sigma^* M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 T_1 \downarrow & & T_0 \downarrow & & \tau_M \downarrow & & \\
 A_R^{\oplus n_1}|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} & \xrightarrow{U} & A_R^{\oplus n_0}|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array} \tag{2.1}$$

for suitable morphisms T_0 and T_1 . Likewise τ_M^{-1} lifts to a similar diagram with vertical morphism T'_0 and T'_1 . The equations $\tau_M \circ \tau_M^{-1} = \text{id}$ and $\tau_M^{-1} \circ \tau_M = \text{id}$ imply the existence of matrices V and V' in the space of $n_1 \times n_0$ -matrices $A_R^{n_1 \times n_0}|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})}$ with $T_0 \circ T'_0 - \text{Id} = U \circ V$ and $T'_0 \circ T_0 - \text{Id} = \sigma^* U \circ V'$. Let $R' \subset R$ be the A -algebra generated by the finitely many elements of R which occur in the entries of the matrices $U, S, W, T_0, T_1, T'_0, T'_1, V$ and V' . Define M' as the $A_{R'}$ -module which is the cokernel of $U \in A_{R'}^{n_0 \times n_1}$, and define $\tau_{M'}: \sigma^* M'|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} \rightarrow M'|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})}$ and $\tau_{M'}^{-1}: M'|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} \rightarrow \sigma^* M'|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})}$ as the $A_{R'}$ -homomorphisms given by diagram (2.1) and its analog for τ_M^{-1} . Then M' is via S a direct summand of $A_R^{\oplus n_0}$, hence a finite locally free $A_{R'}$ -module, and $\tau_{M'}$ and $\tau_{M'}^{-1}$ are inverse to each other. It follows from diagram (2.1) that $M' \otimes_{R'} R = M$ and $\tau_{M'} \otimes \text{id}_R = \tau_M$.

Finally, the assertion for the morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ follows from a diagram similar to (2.1) for f instead of τ_M . \square

We end this section with the following observation in which we denote the residue field of a point $s \in \text{Spec } R$ by $\kappa(s)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let \underline{M} and \underline{N} be A -motives over R and let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ be a morphism. Then the set X of points $s \in \text{Spec } R$ such that $f \otimes \text{id}_{\kappa(s)} = 0$ in $\text{Hom}_{\kappa(s)}(\underline{M} \otimes_R \kappa(s), \underline{N} \otimes_R \kappa(s))$ is open and closed, but possibly empty. Let $\text{Spec } \tilde{R} \subset \text{Spec } R$ be this set, then $f \otimes \text{id}_{\tilde{R}} = 0$ in $\text{Hom}_{\tilde{R}}(\underline{M} \otimes_R \tilde{R}, \underline{N} \otimes_R \tilde{R})$. In particular if $\text{Spec } R$ is connected and $S \neq (0)$ is an R -algebra, then the map $\text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\underline{M} \otimes_R S, \underline{N} \otimes_R S)$, $f \mapsto f \otimes \text{id}_S$ is injective.*

Proof. We fix an element $t \in A \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Then A is a finite free $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module. By Proposition 2.3 we can find integers e, e' with $\mathcal{J}^e \cdot \tau_N(\sigma^* N) \subset N$ and $\mathcal{J}^{e'} \cdot \tau_M^{-1}(M) \subset \sigma^* M$, such that $d := e + e'$ is a power of q . We obtain morphisms $(t - \gamma(t))^e \tau_N: \sigma^* N \rightarrow N$ and $(t - \gamma(t))^{e'} \tau_M^{-1}: M \rightarrow \sigma^* M$. So the equation

$f \circ \tau_M = \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f$ implies $(t^d - \gamma(t)^d)f = (t - \gamma(t))^e \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f \circ (t - \gamma(t))^{e'} \tau_M^{-1}$. We view M and N as modules over $R[t]$ and replace A_R by $R[t]$. Since M and N are finite projective $R[t]$ -modules there are split epimorphisms $R[t]^{\oplus n'} \twoheadrightarrow M$ and $R[t]^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow N$. Then $R[t]^{\oplus n'} \twoheadrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N \hookrightarrow R[t]^{\oplus n}$ is given by a matrix $F \in R[t]^{n \times n'}$ whose entries are polynomials in t . Let $I \subset R$ be the ideal generated by the coefficients of all these polynomials and set $\bar{R} := R/I$. A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ belongs to the set X if and only if $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$. In particular $X = V(I) := \text{Spec } \bar{R} \subset \text{Spec } R$ is closed.

On the other hand, we consider the map

$$R[t]^{\oplus n} \twoheadrightarrow \sigma^* N \xrightarrow{(t-\gamma(t))^e \tau_N} N \hookrightarrow R[t]^{\oplus n}$$

as a matrix $T \in R[t]^{n \times n}$ and the map

$$R[t]^{\oplus n'} \twoheadrightarrow M \xrightarrow{(t-\gamma(t))^{e'} \tau_M^{-1}} \sigma^* M \hookrightarrow R[t]^{\oplus n'}$$

as a matrix $V \in R[t]^{n' \times n'}$. The formula

$$(t^d - \gamma(t)^d)f = (t - \gamma(t))^e \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f \circ (t - \gamma(t))^{e'} \tau_M^{-1}$$

implies $(t^d - \gamma(t)^d)F = T \sigma(F) V$, and it follows that the entries of the matrix $(t^d - \gamma(t)^d)F$ are polynomials in t whose coefficients lie in I^q . If $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} b_i t^i$ is an entry of F then $(t^d - \gamma(t)^d) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} b_i t^i = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell+d} (b_{i-d} - \gamma(t)^d b_i) t^i$ is the corresponding entry of $(t^d - \gamma(t)^d)F$ and all $b_{i-d} - \gamma(t)^d b_i \in I^q$. By descending induction on $i = \ell + d, \dots, 0$ we see that all $b_i \in I^q$. It follows that the finitely generated ideal $I \subset R$ satisfies $I = I^q$. By Nakayama's lemma [15, Corollary 4.7] there is an element $b \in 1 + I$ such that $b \cdot I = (0)$. Now let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ be a prime ideal which lies in X , that is $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Then \mathfrak{p} lies in the open subset $\text{Spec } R[\frac{1}{b}] \subset \text{Spec } R$ on which $F = 0$ and hence $f = 0$. In particular $X \subset \text{Spec } R[\frac{1}{b}] \subset X$. Therefore X is open and closed and $f = 0$ on X .

Now let $\text{Spec } R$ be connected and $S \neq (0)$ be an R -algebra. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ be such that $f \otimes \text{id}_S = 0$ in $\text{Hom}_S(\underline{M} \otimes_R S, \underline{N} \otimes_R S)$. Let $s \in \text{Spec } S$ be a point and let $s' \in \text{Spec } R$ be its image. Then $f \otimes \text{id}_{\kappa(s')} = 0$ and the set X from above is non-empty. Since it is open and closed and $\text{Spec } R$ is connected, it follows that $X = \text{Spec } R$ and $f = 0$. This proves the injectivity. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let \underline{M} and \underline{N} be A -motives over R with $\text{Spec } R$ connected. Then $\text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ is a finite projective A -module of rank less or equal to $(\text{rk } \underline{M}) \cdot (\text{rk } \underline{N})$.*

Proof. If $R = k$ is a field and \underline{M} and \underline{N} are effective, the result is due to Anderson [2, Corollary 1.7.2]. For general R we apply Proposition 2.5 with $S = R/\mathfrak{m}$ for $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ a maximal ideal, and use that over the Dedekind ring A every submodule of a finite projective module is itself finite projective. \square

3. Abelian Anderson A-modules

We recall Definition 1.2 of *Abelian Anderson A-modules* from the introduction. Let us give some explanations. All group schemes in this article are assumed to be commutative.

Definition 3.1. Let \mathcal{O} be a commutative unitary ring. An \mathcal{O} -module scheme over R is a commutative group scheme E over R together with a ring homomorphism $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_R(E)$.

For a group scheme E over $\text{Spec } R$ we let $E^n := E \times_R \dots \times_R E$ be the n -fold fiber product over R . We denote by $e: \text{Spec } R \rightarrow E$ its zero section and by $\text{Lie } E := \text{Hom}_R(e^* \Omega_{E/R}^1, R)$ the tangent space of E along e . If E is smooth over $\text{Spec } R$, then $\text{Lie } E$ is a locally free R -module of rank equal to the relative dimension of E over R . In particular $\text{Lie } E^n = (\text{Lie } E)^{\oplus n}$. For a homomorphism $f: E \rightarrow E'$ of group schemes over $\text{Spec } R$ we denote by $\text{Lie } f: \text{Lie } E \rightarrow \text{Lie } E'$ the induced morphism of R -modules. Also we define the *kernel of f* as the R -group scheme $\ker f := E \times_{f, E', e'} \text{Spec } R$ where $e': \text{Spec } R \rightarrow E'$ is the zero section. There is a canonical isomorphism

$$E \times_{f, E', f} E \xrightarrow{\sim} E \times_R \ker f \quad (3.1)$$

given by $(P, Q) \mapsto (P, Q - P)$ on T -valued points $P, Q \in E(T)$ for any R -scheme T . If $P \in E(k)$ for a field k and $P' = f(P) \in E'(k)$, pulling back (3.1) under $P: \text{Spec } k \rightarrow E$ yields an isomorphism of the fiber $\text{Spec } k \times_{P', E', f} E$ of f over P' with $\text{Spec } k \times_R \ker f$.

On $\mathbb{G}_{a,R} = \text{Spec } R[x]$ the elements $b \in R$, and in particular $\gamma(a) \in R$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, act via $b^*: R[x] \rightarrow R[x]$, $x \mapsto bx$. This makes $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}$ into an \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme. In addition let $\tau := \text{Frob}_{q, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}}$ be the relative q -Frobenius endomorphism of $\mathbb{G}_{a,R} = \text{Spec } R[x]$ given by $x \mapsto x^q$. It satisfies $\text{Lie } \tau = 0$ and $\tau \circ b = b^q \circ \tau$. We let

$$R\{\tau\} := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \tau^i : n \in \mathbb{N}_0, b_i \in R \right\} \quad \text{with} \quad \tau b = b^q \tau \quad (3.2)$$

be the non-commutative polynomial ring in τ over R . For an element $f = \sum_i b_i \tau^i \in R\{\tau\}$ we set $f(x) := \sum_i b_i x^{q^i}$.

Lemma 3.2. *There is an isomorphism of R -modules*

$$R\{\tau\}^{d' \times d} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}} (\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^d, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{d'}),$$

which sends the matrix $F = (f_{ij})_{i,j}$ to the \mathbb{F}_q -equivariant morphism $f: \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{d'}$ of group schemes over R with $f^*(y_i) = \sum_j f_{ij}(x_j)$ where $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^d = \text{Spec } R[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{d'} = \text{Spec } R[y_1, \dots, y_{d'}]$. Under this isomorphism the map $f \mapsto \text{Lie } f$ is given by the map $R\{\tau\}^{d' \times d} \rightarrow R^{d' \times d}$, $F = \sum_n F_n \tau^n \mapsto F_0$.

Proof. This is straight forward to prove using Lucas's theorem [23] on congruences of binomial coefficients which states that $\binom{pn+t}{pm+s} \equiv \binom{n}{m} \binom{t}{s} \pmod{p}$ for all $n, m, t, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and implies that $\binom{n}{i} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $0 < i < n$ if and only if $n = p^e$ for an $e \in \mathbb{N}_0$. \square

Remark 3.3. The affine group scheme E and its multiplication map $\Delta: E \times_R E \rightarrow E$ are described by its coordinate ring $B_E := \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ together with the comultiplication $\Delta^*: B_E \rightarrow B_E \otimes_R B_E$. If we write $\mathbb{G}_{a,R} = \text{Spec } R[\xi]$ the map

$$M(\underline{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ x \in B_E : \Delta^*x = x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \text{ and } \varphi_a^*x = \gamma(a)x \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{F}_q \right\} \\ m \longmapsto m^*(\xi)$$

is an isomorphism of A_R -modules. Choosing an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\mathbb{F}_q = \mathbb{F}_p(\lambda)$ we obtain an exact sequence of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M(\underline{E}) \longrightarrow B_E \longrightarrow B_E \otimes_R B_E \oplus B_E \\ m \longmapsto m^*(\xi), \quad x \longmapsto (\Delta^*x - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x, \varphi_\lambda^*x - \gamma(\lambda)x). \quad (3.3)$$

This shows that for every flat R -algebra R' we have a canonical isomorphism $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R' = M(\underline{E} \times_R \text{Spec } R')$, because $\Gamma(E \times_R R', \mathcal{O}_{E \times_R R'}) = B_E \otimes_R R'$. In particular, if R' satisfies condition (a) of Definition 1.2 then $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R' \cong R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$ by Lemma 3.2.

From this we see that for any R -algebra S the tensor product of the sequence (3.3) with S stays exact and $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R S = M(\underline{E} \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } S)$. Namely, we choose a faithfully flat morphism $R \rightarrow R'$ as in Definition 1.2(a) and tensor (3.3) with $S \otimes_R R'$. This tensor product stays exact by Lemma 3.2 because $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R' \cong R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$. Since $S \rightarrow S \otimes_R R'$ is faithfully flat, already the tensor product of (3.3) with S was exact.

Definition 3.4. If \underline{E} is an Abelian Anderson A -module we consider in addition on $M(\underline{E})$ the map $\tau: m \mapsto \text{Frob}_{q, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m$. Since $\tau(bm) = b^q \tau(m)$ the map τ is σ -semilinear and induces an A_R -linear map $\tau_M: \sigma^*M \rightarrow M$. We set $\underline{M}(\underline{E}) := (M(\underline{E}), \tau_M)$ and call it the (effective) A -motive associated with \underline{E} .

This definition is justified by the following relative version of Anderson's theorem [2, Theorem 1].

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a fixed A -ring. If $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ is an Abelian Anderson A -module of rank r and dimension d over R , then $\underline{M}(\underline{E}) = (M, \tau_M)$ is an effective A -motive of rank r and dimension d over R . There is a canonical isomorphism of R -modules*

$$\text{coker } \tau_M \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Lie } E, R), \quad m \bmod \tau_M(\sigma^*M) \longmapsto \text{Lie } m. \quad (3.4)$$

The contravariant functor $\underline{E} \mapsto \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ between Abelian Anderson A -modules over R and A -motives over R is fully faithful. Its essential image consists of all effective

A-motives $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ over R of some dimension d , for which there exists a faithfully flat ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ such that $M \otimes_R R'$ is a finite free left $R'\{\tau\}$ -module under the map $\tau: M \rightarrow M$, $m \mapsto \tau_M(\sigma^* m)$.

Proof. We first establish the isomorphism (3.4). If $m = \tau_M(\sum_i m_i \otimes b_i) = \sum_i b_i \circ \text{Frob}_{q, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m_i$ with $m_i \in M$ and $b_i \in R$, then $\text{Lie } m = 0$ because $\text{Lie } \text{Frob}_{q, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} = 0$. So the map (3.4) is well defined. To prove that it is an isomorphism one can apply a faithfully flat base change $R \rightarrow R'$, see [14, Section 0₁.6.6], such that $E \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d$ and $\text{Lie } E \otimes_R R' \cong (R')^{\oplus d}$. Then $M \otimes_R R' \cong R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$ by Remark 3.3, and the inverse map is given by the natural inclusion $(R')^{1 \times d} \subset R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$, $F_0 \mapsto F_0 \tau^0$.

As a consequence, $\text{coker } \tau_M$ is a locally free R -module of rank equal to $d = \dim \underline{E}$ and annihilated by \mathcal{J}^d because of condition (b) in Definition 1.2. This implies $\text{coker } \tau_M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} = (0)$, and therefore the morphism $\tau_M: \sigma^* M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} \rightarrow M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})}$ is surjective. By [17, Corollary 8.12] it is an isomorphism, because M and $\sigma^* M$ are finite locally free over A_R of the same rank. Thus $\underline{M}(\underline{E})$ is an *A*-motive and even an effective *A*-motive of dimension d by Proposition 2.3.

Let $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ and $\underline{E}' = (E', \varphi')$ be two Abelian Anderson *A*-modules over R and let $\underline{M}(\underline{E})$ and $\underline{M}(\underline{E}')$ be the associated effective *A*-motives. To prove that the map

$$\text{Hom}_R(\underline{E}, \underline{E}') \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}(\underline{E}'), \underline{M}(\underline{E})), \quad f \longmapsto (m' \mapsto m' \circ f) \quad (3.5)$$

is bijective, we again apply a faithfully flat base change $R \rightarrow R'$, over which there are isomorphisms $E \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d$ and $E' \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^{d'}$. Then

$$\text{Hom}_{R'}(\underline{E} \otimes_R R', \underline{E}' \otimes_R R') \cong \{F \in R'\{\tau\}^{d' \times d}: \varphi'_a \circ F = F \circ \varphi_a \forall a \in A\}$$

by Lemma 3.2. Also $\underline{M}(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R' \cong R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$ and $\underline{M}(\underline{E}') \otimes_R R' \cong R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d'}$. The condition $h \circ \tau_{M'} = \tau_M \circ \sigma^* h$ on an element $h \in \text{Hom}_{R'}(\underline{M}(\underline{E}') \otimes_R R', \underline{M}(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R')$ implies that $h: R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d'} \rightarrow R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$ is a homomorphism of left $R'\{\tau\}$ -modules, hence given by multiplication on the right by a matrix $H \in R'\{\tau\}^{d' \times d}$. Then $m' \circ \varphi'_a \circ H = h((a \otimes 1) \cdot m') = (a \otimes 1) \cdot h(m') = m' \circ H \circ \varphi_a$ implies $\varphi'_a \circ H = H \circ \varphi_a$ for all $a \in A$. It follows that the map (3.5) is bijective over R' . So every element $h \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}(\underline{E}'), \underline{M}(\underline{E}))$ gives rise to a morphism $f' \in \text{Hom}_{R'}(\underline{E} \otimes_R R', \underline{E}' \otimes_R R')$ which carries a descent datum because h was defined over R . Since by [7, Section 6.1, Theorem 6(a)] the descent of morphisms relative to the faithfully flat morphism $R \rightarrow R'$ is effective, f' descends to the desired $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{E}, \underline{E}')$. This shows that the functor $\underline{E} \mapsto \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ is fully faithful.

Let $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ be an effective *A*-motive of dimension d over R for which there exists a faithfully flat ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ such that $M \otimes_R R' \cong R'\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$. Observe that $\text{coker}(\tau_M \otimes \text{id}_{R'}) \cong (R'\{\tau\}/R'\{\tau\}\tau)^{1 \times d} = (R')^{1 \times d}$. For all $a \in A$ we have $\tau \cdot (a \otimes 1)m = \sigma(a \otimes 1) \cdot \tau(m) = (a \otimes 1)\tau m$. Therefore the map $m \mapsto (a \otimes 1)m$ is a homomorphism of left $R'\{\tau\}$ -modules, and

hence given by $(a \otimes 1)m = m \cdot \varphi'_a$ for a matrix $\varphi'_a \in R'\{\tau\}^{d \times d}$. Then $\underline{E}' := (E' = \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d, \varphi': A \rightarrow R'\{\tau\}^{d \times d}, a \mapsto \varphi'_a)$ satisfies $\underline{M}(\underline{E}') = \underline{M} \otimes_R R'$. Again $(a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a))^d = 0$ on $\text{coker } \tau_M$ implies $(\text{Lie } \varphi'_a - \gamma(a))^d = 0$ on $\text{Lie } E'$. So \underline{E}' is an Abelian Anderson A -module over R' with $\underline{M}(\underline{E}') \cong \underline{M} \otimes_R R'$. Consider the ring $R'' := R' \otimes_R R'$ and the two maps $p_1, p_2: R' \rightarrow R''$ given by $p_1(b') = b' \otimes 1$ and $p_2(b') = 1 \otimes b'$. The canonical isomorphism $p_1^*(\underline{M} \otimes_R R') = p_2^*(\underline{M} \otimes_R R')$ induces an isomorphism $p_1^* \underline{E}' \cong p_2^* \underline{E}'$ which is a descend datum on \underline{E}' relative to $R \rightarrow R'$. Since faithfully flat descend on affine schemes is effective by [7, Section 6.1, Theorem 6(b)] there exists a group scheme E over R with a ring homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-groups}}(E)$ such that $(E, \varphi) \otimes_R R' \cong \underline{E}'$. By [14, IV₂, Proposition 2.7.1 and IV₄, Corollaire 17.7.3] the group scheme E is affine and smooth over R and hence (E, φ) is an Abelian Anderson A -module with $\underline{M}(E, \varphi) \cong \underline{M}$. \square

The theorem implies the following:

Corollary 3.6. *The assertions of Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 also hold for Abelian Anderson A -modules. In particular, for Abelian Anderson A -modules \underline{E} and \underline{E}' over R , the A -module $\text{Hom}_R(\underline{E}, \underline{E}')$ is finite projective of rank less or equal to $(\text{rk } \underline{E}) \cdot (\text{rk } \underline{E}')$. \square*

An important class of examples are Drinfeld modules. We recall their definition from [12, Section 5] and [27, Section 1].

Definition 3.7. A Drinfeld A -module of rank $r \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ over R is a pair $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ consisting of a smooth affine group scheme E over $\text{Spec } R$ of relative dimension 1 and a ring homomorphism $\varphi: A \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-groups}}(E)$, $a \mapsto \varphi_a$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) Zariski-locally on $\text{Spec } R$ there is an isomorphism $\alpha: E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{a,R}$ of \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes such that
- (b) the coefficients of the τ -polynomial $\Phi_a := \alpha \circ \varphi_a \circ \alpha^{-1} = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i(a) \tau^i \in \text{End}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(\mathbb{G}_{a,R}) = R\{\tau\}$ satisfy $b_0(a) = \gamma(a)$, $b_{r(a)}(a) \in R^\times$ and $b_i(a)$ is nilpotent for all $i > r(a) := -r[\mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_q] \text{ord}_\infty(a)$.

If $b_i(a) = 0$ for all $i > r(a)$ we say that \underline{E} is in standard form.

It is well known that every Drinfeld A -module over R can be put in standard form; see [12, Section 5] or [24, Section 4.2]. This is a consequence of the following lemma of Drinfeld [12, Propositions 5.1 and 5.2] which we will need again below. For the convenience of the reader we recall the proof.

Lemma 3.8.

- (a) Let $b = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \tau^i \in R\{\tau\}$ and let r be a positive integer such that $b_r \in R^\times$ and b_i is nilpotent for all $i > r$. Then there is a unique unit $c = \sum_{i \geq 0} c_i \tau^i \in R\{\tau\}^\times$ with $c_0 = 1$ and c_i nilpotent for $i > 0$, such that $c^{-1}bc = \sum_{i=0}^r b'_i \tau^i$ with $b'_r \in R^\times$;

- (b) Let $\text{Spec } R$ be connected and let $b = \sum_{i=0}^m b_i \tau^i$ and $c = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \tau^i \in R\{\tau\}$ with $m, n > 0$ and $b_m, c_n \in R^\times$. Let $d \in R\{\tau\} \setminus \{0\}$ satisfy $db = cd$. Then $m = n$ and $d = \sum_{i=0}^r d_i \tau^i$ with $d_r \in R^\times$.

Proof. (a) was also reproved in [22, Lemma 1.1.2] and [24, Proposition 1.4].

(b) We write $d = \sum_{i=0}^r d_i \tau^i$ with $d_r \neq 0$. The equation $db = cd$ implies $\sum_j (d_{i-j} b_j^{q^{i-j}} - c_j d_{i-j}^{q^j}) = 0$ for all i , where the sum runs over $j = \max\{0, i - r\}, \dots, \min\{i, \max\{m, n\}\}$. We now distinguish three cases.

If $m > n$ then $i = m + r$ yields $d_r b_m^{q^r} = 0$, whence $d_r = 0$ which is a contradiction.

If $m < n$ then $i = n + r$ yields $c_n d_r^{q^n} = 0$, whence $d_r \in \mathfrak{p}$ for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$. For $n + r > i \geq n$ we obtain $c_n d_{i-n}^{q^n} = \sum_{0 \leq j < n} (d_{i-j} b_j^{q^{i-j}} - c_j d_{i-j}^{q^j})$ and by descending induction on i it follows that $d_{i-n} \in \mathfrak{p}$ for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ for all $i - n = r, \dots, 0$. So the ideal $I := (d_i : 0 \leq i \leq r) \subset R$ is contained in every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$. Now $i = m + r$ yields $d_r b_m^{q^r} = \sum_{j=m}^{m+r} c_j d_{m+r-j}^{q^j}$, whence $d_r \in I^q$. For $m + r > i \geq m$ we obtain $d_{i-m} b_m^{q^{i-m}} = \sum_{0 \leq j < m} d_{i-j} b_j^{q^{i-j}} - \sum_{0 \leq j \leq n} c_j d_{i-j}^{q^j}$ and by descending induction on i it follows that $d_{i-m} \in I^q$ for all $i - m = r, \dots, 0$. Therefore the finitely generated ideal I satisfies $I = I^q$ and by Nakayama's lemma [15, Corollary 4.7] there is an element $f \in 1 + I$ such that $f \cdot I = (0)$. Since $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$ for all prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$, the element $1 - f$ is a unit in R and $I = 0$. Therefore $d_i = 0$ for all i which is a contradiction.

If $m = n$ then $c_m d_r^{q^m} = d_r b_m^{q^m}$ and we consider the ideal $I = (d_r) \subset R$. Again $I = I^{q^m}$ and by [15, Corollary 4.7] there is an element $f \in 1 + I$ such that $f \cdot d_r = 0$. Now assume that $d_r \in \mathfrak{p}$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$. Then $f \notin \mathfrak{p}$, whence $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R[\frac{1}{f}] \subset \text{Spec } R$ and $d_r = 0$ on the open neighborhood $\text{Spec } R[\frac{1}{f}]$ of \mathfrak{p} . Since the set of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ with $d_r \in \mathfrak{p}$ is closed in $\text{Spec } R$ and the latter is connected, it follows that $d_r = 0$ on all of $\text{Spec } R$. This is a contradiction and so our assumption was false. In particular d_r is not contained in any prime ideal and so $d_r \in R^\times$ as desired. \square

Theorem 3.9. *The Abelian Anderson A -modules of dimension 1 and rank r over R are precisely the Drinfeld A -modules of rank r over R .*

Proof. Let \underline{E} be a Drinfeld A -module of rank r over R . Choose a Zariski covering as in Definition 3.7(a) such that \underline{E} is in standard form. Since $\text{Spec } R$ is quasi-compact this Zariski covering can be refined to a covering by finitely many affines. Their disjoint union is of the form $\text{Spec } R'$ and the ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow R'$ is faithfully flat. So \underline{E} satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Definition 1.2. Choose an element $t \in A \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$. Then A is a finite free $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module of rank equal to $-(\mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_q) \text{ord}_\infty(t)$ by Lemma 1.5. Writing $\Phi_t = \sum_{i=0}^{r(t)} b_i(t) \tau^i$ with

$r(t) = -r [\mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_q] \text{ord}_\infty(t)$ and $b_{r(t)}(t) \in (R')^\times$, we make the following

$$\text{Claim. As an } R'[t]\text{-module } M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R' = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{r(t)-1} R'[t] \cdot \tau^\ell. \quad (3.6)$$

By Remark 3.3 and Lemma 3.2 we have $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R' = M(\underline{E} \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } R') = R'\{\tau\}$. We prove by induction on n that for every $c = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i \tau^i \in R'\{\tau\} = M(\underline{E})$ there are uniquely determined elements $f_\ell(t) \in R'[t]$ with $c = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r(t)-1} f_\ell(t) \cdot \tau^\ell$. If $n < r(t)$ then we take $f_\ell(t) = c_\ell$. If $n \geq r(t)$, dividing c by Φ_t on the right produces uniquely determined $g = \sum_{i=0}^{n-r(t)} g_i \tau^i$ and $h = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r(t)-1} h_\ell \tau^\ell \in R'\{\tau\}$ with $c = g\Phi_t + h$. Namely, starting with $g_i = 0$ for $i > n - r(t)$ we can and must take $g_i = b_{r(t)}^{-q^i} (c_{i+r(t)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{i+r(t)} g_j b_{i+r(t)-j}^{q^j})$ for $i = n - r(t), \dots, 0$ and $h_\ell = c_\ell - \sum_{j=0}^\ell g_j b_{\ell-j}^{q^j}$ for $\ell = r(t) - 1, \dots, 1$. The induction hypothesis implies $g = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r(t)-1} \tilde{f}_\ell(t) \cdot \tau^\ell$. Now $f_\ell(t) := \tilde{f}_\ell(t) \cdot t + h_\ell$ satisfies $c = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r(t)-1} f_\ell(t) \cdot \tau^\ell$. This proves the claim.

By faithfully flat descent [14, IV₂, Proposition 2.5.2] with respect to $R[t] \rightarrow R'[t]$ and by the claim, $M(\underline{E})$ is finite, locally free over $R[t]$ and in particular flat over R . We next show that it is finitely presented over A_R . Namely, let $(m_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite generating system of $M(\underline{E})$ over $R[t]$. Using it as a generating system over A_R we obtain an epimorphism $\rho: A_R^I \twoheadrightarrow M(\underline{E})$, where $A_R^I = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_R$. Since A_R is a finite free $R[t]$ -module, also A_R^I is a finite free $R[t]$ -module and so the kernel of ρ is a finitely generated $R[t]$ -module, whence a finitely generated A_R -module. This shows that $M(\underline{E})$ is a finitely presented A_R -module. From [14, IV₃, Théorème 11.3.10] it follows that $M(\underline{E})$ is finite locally free over A_R , because for every point $s \in \text{Spec } R$ the finite $A_{\kappa(s)}$ -module $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R \kappa(s)$ is a free $\kappa(s)[t]$ -module and hence a torsion free and flat $A_{\kappa(s)}$ -module. Its rank is r as can be computed by comparing the ranks of $A_{R'}$ and $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R'$ over $R'[t]$. This proves that \underline{E} is an Abelian Anderson A -module of dimension 1 and rank r over R .

Conversely let $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be an Abelian Anderson A -module of dimension 1 and rank r over R . Let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a faithfully flat ring homomorphism and let $\alpha: E \times_R \text{Spec } R' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{a, R'}$ be an isomorphism of \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes as in Definition 1.2(a). For $a \in A$ write

$$\Phi_a := \sum_{i=0}^{n(a)} b_i(a) \tau^i := \alpha \circ \varphi_a \circ \alpha^{-1} \in \text{End}_{R'\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(\mathbb{G}_{a, R'}) = R'\{\tau\},$$

where $n(a) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $b_i(a) \in R'$. For $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we obtain $\Phi_a = \gamma(a) \cdot \tau^0$. For $t := a \in A \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ we consider A as a finite free $\mathbb{F}_q[t]$ -module of rank $-[\mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_q] \text{ord}_\infty(a)$ by Lemma 1.5. Then $M(\underline{E})$ is a finite locally free $R[t]$ -module of rank $r(a) := -r [\mathbb{F}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_q] \text{ord}_\infty(a)$ by condition (c) of Definition 1.2. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset R'$ be a prime ideal, set $k = \text{Frac}(R'/\mathfrak{p})$, and consider the Abelian Anderson A -module $\underline{E} \times_R \text{Spec } k$ over k and the free $k[t]$ -module $M(\underline{E}) \otimes_R k = M(\underline{E} \times_R \text{Spec } k)$ of rank

$r(a)$. By an argument similarly to our claim (3.6) we see that $\deg_\tau(\Phi_a \otimes_{R'} 1_k) = r(a)$, that is $b_{r(a)}(a) \otimes 1_k \in k^\times$ and $b_i(a) \otimes 1_k = 0$ for all $i > r(a)$. This implies that $b_{r(a)}(a) \in (R')^\times$ and $b_i(a)$ is nilpotent for all $i > r(a)$ by [15, Corollary 2.12]. By Lemma 3.8(a) we may change the isomorphism α such that $\Phi_a = \sum_{i=0}^{r(a)} b_i(a) \tau^i$ with $b_{r(a)}(a) \in (R')^\times$ for one $a \in A$, and by Lemma 3.8(b) this then holds for all $a \in A$, because $\Phi_a \Phi_b = \Phi_{ab} = \Phi_b \Phi_a$. By condition (b) of Definition 1.2 we have $b_0(a) = \gamma(a)$. Thus $\underline{E} \times_R \operatorname{Spec} R'$ is a Drinfeld A -module of rank r over R' in standard form.

It remains to show that we can replace the faithfully flat covering $\operatorname{Spec} R' \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$ by a Zariski covering. For this purpose consider $R'' := R' \otimes_R R'$ and the two projections $pr_i: \operatorname{Spec} R'' \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R'$ onto the i -th factor for $i = 1, 2$. Then $h := \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i \tau^i := pr_2^* \alpha \circ pr_1^* \alpha^{-1} \in R''\{\tau\}^\times$ satisfies $h_0 \in (R'')^\times$ and h_i is nilpotent for all $i > 0$; see [24, Proposition 1.4]. By Lemma 3.8(b) the equation $pr_2^* \Phi_a \circ h = h \circ pr_1^* \Phi_a$ implies that $h_i = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and $h = h_0 \in (R'')^\times \subset R''\{\tau\}^\times$. The cocycle $\underline{h} := (\operatorname{Spec} R' \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R, h)$ defines an element in the Čech cohomology group $\check{H}_{fpqc}^1(\operatorname{Spec} R, \mathbb{G}_m)$. By Hilbert 90, see [25, Proposition III.4.9] we have $\check{H}_{fpqc}^1(\operatorname{Spec} R, \mathbb{G}_m) = \check{H}_{Zar}^1(\operatorname{Spec} R, \mathbb{G}_m)$. This means that there is a Zariski covering $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$, where $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R} = \coprod_i \operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R}_i$ is a disjoint union of open affine subschemes $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R}_i \subset \operatorname{Spec} R$, and a unit $\tilde{h} = (\tilde{h}_{ij})_{i,j} \in (\tilde{R} \otimes_R \tilde{R})^\times = \prod_{i,j} (\tilde{R}_i \otimes_R \tilde{R}_j)^\times$, such that $(\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R, \tilde{h}) = \underline{h}$. Let \tilde{E} be the smooth affine group and \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ with $\beta_i: \tilde{E}|_{\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R}_i} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{a, \tilde{R}_i}$ and $\beta_j = \tilde{h}_{ij} \circ \beta_i$ on $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R}_i \otimes_R \tilde{R}_j$. Then over $\operatorname{Spec} R' \otimes_R \tilde{R} = \coprod_i \operatorname{Spec} R' \otimes_R \tilde{R}_i$ we have an isomorphism $\tilde{\alpha} := (\beta_i^{-1} \circ \alpha)_i: E \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{E}$. Let $p_i: \operatorname{Spec}(R' \otimes_R \tilde{R}) \otimes_R (R' \otimes_R \tilde{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R' \otimes_R \tilde{R}$ be the projection onto the i -th factor for $i = 1, 2$. Then $p_2^* \tilde{\alpha} \circ p_1^* \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} = (\tilde{h}_{ij}^{-1} h)_{i,j} = 1$. This shows that $\tilde{\alpha}$ descends to an isomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}: E \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{E}$ over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ by [7, Section 6.1, Theorem 6(a)]. On $\operatorname{Spec} \tilde{R}_i$, now $\beta_i \circ \tilde{\alpha}: E \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{a, \tilde{R}_i}$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes. Moreover $\tilde{\Phi}_a := \beta_i \tilde{\alpha} \circ \varphi_a \circ \tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \beta_i^{-1} \in \tilde{R}_i\{\tau\}$ satisfies $\tilde{\Phi}_a \otimes 1_{R'} = \Phi_a \otimes 1_{\tilde{R}_i}$ in $(R' \otimes_R \tilde{R}_i)\{\tau\} \supset \tilde{R}_i\{\tau\}$ and by what we proved for Φ_a above, this implies that \underline{E} is a Drinfeld A -module of rank r over R which by \tilde{R} and $(\beta_i \circ \tilde{\alpha})_i$ is put in standard form. \square

4. Review of the finite shtuka equivalence

In preparation for our main results in Sections 5 and 6 we need to recall Drinfeld's functor [13, Section 2] and the equivalence it defines between finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtukas and finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes; see also [1], [31, Section 1], [22, Section B.3] and [19, Sections 3-5].

Definition 4.1. A finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtuka over R is a pair $\underline{V} = (V, F_V)$ consisting of a finite locally free R -module V and an R -module homomorphism $F_V: \sigma^* V \rightarrow V$.

A morphism $f: (V, F_V) \rightarrow (V', F_{V'})$ of finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtukas is an R -module homomorphism $f: V \rightarrow V'$ satisfying $f \circ F_V = F_{V'} \circ \sigma^* f$.

We say that F_V is *nilpotent* if there is an integer n such that the composition $F_V^n := F_V \circ \sigma^* F_V \circ \dots \circ \sigma^{(n-1)*} F_V = 0$. A finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtuka over R is called *étale* if F_V is an isomorphism. If $\underline{V} = (V, F_V)$ is étale, we define for any R -algebra R' the τ -invariants of \underline{V} over R' as the \mathbb{F}_q -vector space

$$\underline{V}^\tau(R') := \{v \in V \otimes_R R' : v = F_V(\sigma_V^* v)\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Recall that an R -group scheme $G = \operatorname{Spec} B$ is *finite locally free* if B is a finite locally free R -module. By [14, I_{new}, Proposition 6.2.10] this is equivalent to G being finite, flat and of finite presentation over $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Every finite locally free R -group scheme $G = \operatorname{Spec} B$ is a relative complete intersection by [29, III.4.15]. This means that locally on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ we can choose a presentation $B = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ where the ideal I is generated by a regular sequence; compare [14, IV₄, Proposition 19.3.7]. The zero section $e: \operatorname{Spec} R \rightarrow G$ defines an augmentation $e_B := e^*: B \rightarrow R$ of the R -algebra B . Set $I_B := \ker e_B$. For the polynomial ring $R[\underline{X}] = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ set $I_{R[\underline{X}]} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $e_{R[\underline{X}]}: R[\underline{X}] \rightarrow R, X_v \mapsto 0$. Faltings [16] and Abrashkin [1] consider the deformation $B^b := R[\underline{X}]/(I \cdot I_{R[\underline{X}]})$ and the canonical epimorphism $B^b \twoheadrightarrow B$. They remark that there is a unique morphism

$$\Delta^b: B^b \longrightarrow (B \otimes_R B)^b := R[\underline{X} \otimes 1, 1 \otimes \underline{X}]/(I \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes I)(I_{R[\underline{X}]} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes I_{R[\underline{X}]})$$

lifting the comultiplication $\Delta: B \rightarrow B \otimes_R B$ and satisfying $(\operatorname{id}_{B^b} \otimes e_B^b) \circ \Delta^b = \operatorname{id}_{B^b} = (e_B^b \otimes \operatorname{id}_{B^b}) \circ \Delta^b$, where $e_B^b: B^b \rightarrow R$ is the augmentation map; see [1, Section 1.2] or [19, remark after Definition 3.5]. It satisfies $\Delta^b(x) - x \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x \in I_{B^b} \otimes I_{B^b}$ for all $x \in I_{B^b}$. Set $\mathcal{G} = (G, G^b) := (\operatorname{Spec} B, \operatorname{Spec} B^b)$. The *co-Lie complex of \mathcal{G} over $\operatorname{Spec} R$* (that is, the fiber at the zero section of G of the cotangent complex; see [20, Section VII.3.1]) is the complex of finite locally free R -modules of rank n

$$\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\operatorname{Spec} R}^\bullet: 0 \longrightarrow (I/I^2) \otimes_{B, e_B} R \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{R[\underline{X}]/R}^1 \otimes_{R[\underline{X}], e_{R[\underline{X}]}} R \longrightarrow 0 \quad (4.2)$$

concentrated in degrees -1 and 0 with d being the differential map. Note that $(I/I^2) \otimes_{B, e_B} R = \ker(B^b \twoheadrightarrow B)$ and $\Omega_{R[\underline{X}]/R}^1 \otimes_{R[\underline{X}], e_{R[\underline{X}]}} R = \ker(e_B^b)/\ker(e_B^b)^2$ can be computed from (B, B^b) . Up to homotopy equivalence it only depends on G and not on the presentation $B = R[\underline{X}]/I$. The *co-Lie module of G over R* is defined as $\omega_G := H^0(\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\operatorname{Spec} R}^\bullet) := \operatorname{coker} d$. We can now recall the definition of strict \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes from Faltings [16] and Abrashkin [1]; see also [19, Section 4].

Definition 4.2. Let $(G, [\cdot])$ be a pair, where $G = \operatorname{Spec} B$ is an affine flat commutative group scheme over R which is a relative complete intersection and where $[\cdot]: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{R\text{-groups}}(G)$, $a \mapsto [a]$ is a ring homomorphism. Then $(G, [\cdot])$ is called a *strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme* if there exists a presentation $B = R[\underline{X}]/I$ and a

lift $[\cdot]^b: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-algebras}}(B^b)$, $a \mapsto [a]^b$ of the \mathbb{F}_q -action on G , such that the induced action on $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^\bullet$ is equal to the scalar multiplication via $\gamma: \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow R$, and such that $[1]^b = \text{id}_{B^b}$ and $[0]^b = e_B^b$, as well as $[a\tilde{a}]^b = [a]^b \circ [\tilde{a}]^b$ and $[a + \tilde{a}]^b = m \circ ([a]^b \otimes [\tilde{a}]^b) \circ \Delta^b$, where $m: (B \otimes_R B)^b \rightarrow B^b$ is induced by the multiplication map $B^b \otimes_R B^b \rightarrow B^b$ in the ring B^b and the homomorphism $[a]^b \otimes [\tilde{a}]^b: B^b \otimes_R B^b \rightarrow B^b \otimes_R B^b$ induces a homomorphism $(B \otimes_R B)^b \rightarrow (B \otimes_R B)^b$ denoted again by $[a]^b \otimes [\tilde{a}]^b$. If G is finite locally free, such a lift $a \mapsto [a]^b$ then exists for every presentation and is uniquely determined by [19, Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7].

Example 4.3. The group scheme $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^d$ is a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme for any d , because we can choose $B = R[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ and so $I = (0)$ and $B^b = B$, and $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ acts as $[a]^* X_i = a \cdot X_i$. Moreover, every \mathbb{F}_q -linear group homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,R}^{d'}$ is strict in the sense of [16, Definition 1], meaning that the homomorphism lifts to a homomorphism between the B^b which is equivariant for the \mathbb{F}_q -action via $[\cdot]^b$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a finite locally free group scheme over R , let $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-groups}}(G)$ be a ring homomorphism, and let $R \rightarrow R'$ be a faithfully flat ring homomorphism. Then G is a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme if and only if $G \times_R R'$ is.*

Proof. Let $pr: \text{Spec } R' \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ be the induced morphism and let $pr_i: \text{Spec } R' \otimes_R R' \rightarrow \text{Spec } R'$ be the projection onto the i -th factor. Let $G = \text{Spec } B$, let $R'[\underline{X}] \twoheadrightarrow B \otimes_R R'$ be a presentation, and let $\mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \text{End}_{R\text{-algebras}}((B \otimes_R R')^b)$, $a \mapsto [a]^b$ be a lift of the \mathbb{F}_q -action on G as in Definition 4.2, which makes $G \times_R R'$ into a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme over R' . Moreover, let $f: R[\underline{Y}] \twoheadrightarrow B$ be an arbitrary presentation and let $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = (\text{Spec } B, \text{Spec } R[\underline{Y}]/(\underline{Y}) \cdot \ker(f))$ be the corresponding deformation. By [19, Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7] there exists a unique lift $a \mapsto [\tilde{a}]^b$ on the deformation $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \times_R R' = pr^* \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$. By the uniqueness the two lifts $pr_1^* [\tilde{a}]^b$ and $pr_2^* [\tilde{a}]^b$ on the deformation $pr_1^* pr^* \tilde{\mathcal{G}} = pr_2^* pr^* \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ coincide. By faithfully flat descent [7, Section 6.1, Theorem 6] this lift descends to a lift on the deformation $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, which makes G into a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme over R . \square

To explain the equivalence between finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtukas and finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes over R we recall Drinfeld's functor.

Definition 4.5. Let $\underline{V} = (V, F_V)$ be a pair consisting of a (not necessarily finite locally free) R -module V and a morphism $F_V: \sigma^* V \rightarrow V$ of R -modules. Following Drinfeld [13, Section 2] we define

$$\text{Dr}_q(\underline{V}) := \text{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Sym}_R^n V \right) / I$$

where the ideal I is generated by the elements $v^{\otimes q} - F_V(\sigma_V^* v)$ for all $v \in V$. (Here $v^{\otimes q}$ lives in $\text{Sym}^q V$ and $F_V(\sigma_V^* v)$ in $\text{Sym}^1 V$.) Then $\text{Dr}_q(\underline{V})$ is a group scheme

over R via the comultiplication $\Delta: v \mapsto v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$ and an \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme via $[a]: v \mapsto av$ for $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$. It has a canonical deformation

$$\mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{V})^b := \mathrm{Spec} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}_R^n V \right) / (I \cdot I_0),$$

where $I_0 = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathrm{Sym}_R^n V$ is the ideal generated by the $v \in V$. This deformation is equipped with the comultiplication $\Delta^b: v \mapsto v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v$ and the \mathbb{F}_q -action $[a]^b: v \mapsto av$. We set $\mathcal{D}r_q(\underline{V}) := (\mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{V}), \mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{V})^b)$. On its co-Lie complex $[a]$ acts by scalar multiplication with a because $(av)^{\otimes q} - F_V(\sigma_V^*(av)) = a^q(v^{\otimes q} - F_V(\sigma_V^*v))$. Therefore $\mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{V})$ is a finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme if V is a finite locally free R -module. Every morphism $(V, F_V) \rightarrow (W, F_W)$, that is, every R -homomorphism $f: V \rightarrow W$ with $f \circ F_V = F_W \circ \sigma^* f$, induces a morphism $\mathrm{Dr}_q(f): \mathrm{Dr}_q(W, F_W) \rightarrow \mathrm{Dr}_q(V, F_V)$. So Dr_q is a contravariant functor. If f is surjective then $\mathrm{Dr}_q(f)$ is a closed immersion.

Conversely, with a (not necessarily finite locally free) \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme G over R we associate the pair $\underline{M}_q(G) := (M_q(G), F_{M_q(G)})$ consisting of the R -module

$$M_q(G) := \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(G, \mathbb{G}_{a,R})$$

and the R -homomorphism $F_{M_q(G)}: \sigma^* M_q(G) \rightarrow M_q(G)$ which is induced from $M_q(G) \rightarrow M_q(G)$, $m \mapsto \mathrm{Frob}_{q, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m$. Every morphism of \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes $f: G \rightarrow G'$ induces an R -homomorphism $\underline{M}_q(G') \rightarrow \underline{M}_q(G)$, $m' \mapsto m' \circ f$. Note that by an argument as in Remark 3.3 we have $\underline{M}_q(G) \otimes_R S = \underline{M}_q(G \times_{\mathrm{Spec} R} \mathrm{Spec} S)$ for every R -algebra S .

There is a natural morphism $\underline{V} \rightarrow \underline{M}_q(\mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{V}))$, $v \mapsto f_v$, where $f_v: \mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{V}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,R} = \mathrm{Spec} R[\xi]$ is given by $f_v^*(\xi) = v$. There is also a natural morphism of group schemes $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{M}_q(G))$ given by $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}_R^n M_q(G)/I \rightarrow \Gamma(G, \mathcal{O}_G)$, $m \mapsto m^*(\xi)$, which is well defined because $F_{M_q(G)}(\sigma^* m)^*(\xi) = (\mathrm{Frob}_{q, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m)^*(\xi) = m^*(\xi^q) = (m^*(\xi))^q$.

Example 4.6. For example if $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ is an Abelian Anderson A -module of dimension d , then $\underline{M}_q(\underline{E}) = (M_q(\underline{E}), F_{M_q(\underline{E})})$ was denoted $\underline{M}(\underline{E}) = (M(\underline{E}), \tau_{M(\underline{E})})$ in Definition 1.2. There is a canonical isomorphism $\underline{E} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{M}_q(\underline{E}))$ which is constructed as follows. We set $\mathbb{G}_{a,R} = \mathrm{Spec} R[\xi]$ and consider for each $m \in M_q(\underline{E}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(E, \mathbb{G}_{a,R})$ the element $m^*(\xi) \in \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Sym}_R^n M_q(\underline{E}) \right) / \left(m^{\otimes q} - F_{M_q(\underline{E})}(\sigma_{M_q(\underline{E})}^* m) : m \in M_q(\underline{E}) \right) \\ & \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E), \quad m \mapsto m^*(\xi) \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

is an isomorphism of R -algebras. To prove that it is an isomorphism we may apply a faithfully flat base change $R \rightarrow R'$ over which we have an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism $\alpha: E \otimes_R R' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d = \mathrm{Spec} R'[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. Let $m_i := pr_i \circ \alpha \in$

$M_q(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R'$ where $pr_i: \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}$ is the projection onto the i -th factor. Then $M_q(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R' = \bigoplus_{i=0}^d R'\{\tau\} \cdot m_i$ by Remark 3.3 and the inverse of (4.3) sends $\alpha^*(x_i)$ to m_i . This is indeed the inverse, because (4.3) sends each of the generators $\tau^j m_i = \text{Frob}_{q^j, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m_i$ of the R' -module $M_q(\underline{E}) \otimes_R R'$ to $(\text{Frob}_{q^j, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m_i)^*(\xi) = m_i^*(\xi^{q^j}) = \alpha^*(x_i)^{q^j}$, and this inverse sends it back to $m_i^{\otimes q^j} = \text{Frob}_{q^j, \mathbb{G}_{a,R}} \circ m_i = \tau^j m_i$.

The following theorem goes back to Abrashkin [1, Theorem 2]. Statements (b)-(d) were proved in [19, Theorem 5.2].

Theorem 4.7.

- (a) *The contravariant functors Dr_q and \underline{M}_q are mutually quasi-inverse anti-equivalences between the category of finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtukas over R and the category of finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes over R . Both functors are \mathbb{F}_q -linear and exact.*

Let $\underline{V} = (V, F_V)$ be a finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtuka over R and let $G = \text{Dr}_q(\underline{V})$. Then

- (b) *The \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme $\text{Dr}_q(\underline{V})$ is étale over R if and only if \underline{V} is étale;*
 (c) *The natural morphisms $\underline{V} \rightarrow \underline{M}_q(\text{Dr}_q(\underline{V}))$, $v \mapsto f_v$ and $G \rightarrow \text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}_q(G))$ are isomorphisms;*
 (d) *The co-Lie complex $\ell_{\text{Dr}_q(\underline{V})/S}^\bullet$ is canonically isomorphic to the complex of R -modules $0 \rightarrow \sigma^* V \xrightarrow{F_V} V \rightarrow 0$.*

5. Isogenies

Definition 5.1. A morphism $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{E}, \underline{E}')$ between two Abelian Anderson A -modules \underline{E} and \underline{E}' over R is an *isogeny* if $f: E \rightarrow E'$ is finite and surjective. If there exists an isogeny between \underline{E} and \underline{E}' then they are called *isogenous*. (Being isogenous is an equivalence relation; see Corollary 5.16 below.)

An isogeny $f: \underline{E} \rightarrow \underline{E}'$ is *separable* if f is étale, or equivalently if the group scheme $\ker f$ is étale over R . Indeed, since f is flat by Proposition 5.2(b) it suffices to see that all fibers of f over E' are étale by [7, Section 2.4, Proposition 8]. Now all fibers are isomorphic to $\ker f$ by the remarks after (3.1).

We recall the following well known criterion for being an isogeny. For the convenience of the reader we include a proof.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $f: E \rightarrow E'$ be a morphism between two affine, smooth R -group schemes E of relative dimension d and E' of relative dimension d' , such that the fibers of E' over all points of $\text{Spec } R$ are connected. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *f is finite and faithfully flat, that is flat and surjective; see [14, 0_I.6.7.8];*
 (b) *$\ker f$ is finite and f is flat;*

- (c) $\ker f$ is finite and f is surjective;
- (d) $\ker f$ is finite and $d = d'$;
- (e) $\ker f$ is finite and f is an epimorphism of sheaves for the fpqc-topology.

If $R = k$ is a field, then these conditions are equivalent to

- (f) f is surjective and $d = d'$.

Proof. We show that (a) implies all other conditions. This is obvious for (b), (c) and (e). To prove that $d = d'$ let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be a maximal ideal and consider the base change to $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Then $f \times \text{id}_k: E \times_R k \rightarrow E' \times_R k$ is a finite surjective morphism, and hence $d = \dim E \times_R k = \dim E' \times_R k = d'$; see [15, Corollary 9.3].

Conversely, clearly (e) \implies (c). We now show (f) \implies (c) and (b) \implies (c) \implies (d) \implies (b) \implies (a). Generally note that by the remarks after (3.1) all non-empty fibers of f are isomorphic to $\ker f$.

First assume (f) and note that when $R = k$ is a field, the ring $\Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'})$ is an integral domain by our assumptions on E' . The surjectivity of f implies that $f^*: \Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E)$ is injective of relative transcendence degree $d - d' = 0$. Since all fibers of f are isomorphic to $\ker f$, [15, Corollary 14.6] implies that $\ker f$ is finite over $\text{Spec } k$ and (c) holds.

We next show for general R that (b) implies (c). Namely, f is of finite presentation by [14, IV₁, Proposition 1.6.2(v)], because E and E' are of finite presentation over R . Therefore (b) implies that f is universally open by [14, IV₂, Théorème 2.4.6]. In particular $(f \times \text{id}_k)(E \times_R k) \subset E' \times_R k$ is open for every point $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ of $\text{Spec } R$. Since $E' \times_R k$ was assumed to be connected, it possesses no proper open subgroup, and hence $f \times \text{id}_k$ is surjective. This establishes (c).

To prove that (c) implies (d) again consider the morphism $f \times \text{id}_k: E \times_R k \rightarrow E' \times_R k$ over a point $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ of $\text{Spec } R$. Since the map $f \times \text{id}_k$ is surjective, $f^* \otimes \text{id}_k: \Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R k \hookrightarrow \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_R k$ is injective, because otherwise its kernel would define a proper closed subscheme of $E' \times_R k$ through which $f \times \text{id}_k$ factors. Since all fibers of f are isomorphic to $\ker f$, and hence finite, [15, Corollary 13.5] shows that $d' = \dim \Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R k = \dim \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_R k = d$.

We prove the implication (d) \implies (b). Consider the fiber $f \times \text{id}_k: E \times_R k \rightarrow E' \times_R k$ over a point $\text{Spec } k \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ of $\text{Spec } R$ and the inclusion $(\Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R k) / \ker(f^* \otimes \text{id}_k) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_R k$. Since all fibers of f are finite, [15, Corollary 13.5] implies $\dim \Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R k = d' = d = \dim \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_R k = \dim(\Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R k) / \ker(f^* \otimes \text{id}_k)$. It follows that $\ker(f^* \otimes \text{id}_k) = (0)$ and $f^* \otimes \text{id}_k: \Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R k \hookrightarrow \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_R k$ is injective. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \Gamma(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \otimes_R k$ be a maximal ideal. Then $(f^* \otimes \text{id}_k)^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \subset \Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R k$ is a maximal ideal by [15, Theorem 4.19]. Since the fiber of f over \mathfrak{m} is finite, [15, Theorem 18.16(b)] implies that $f \otimes \text{id}_k$ is flat at \mathfrak{m} . Since E and E' are smooth over R it follows from [14, IV₃, Théorème 11.3.10] that f is flat.

Finally we show that (b) and (c) together imply (a). By (b) and (c) the morphism $f: E \rightarrow E'$ is faithfully flat. Whether f is finite can be tested after the faithfully flat base change $E \rightarrow E'$. By (3.1) the finiteness of the projection $E \times_{E'} E \rightarrow E$ onto the first factor follows from the finiteness of $\ker f$ over $\operatorname{Spec} R$. This proves (a). \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{E}, \underline{E}')$ be an isogeny between Abelian Anderson A -modules over R . Then*

- (a) *The kernel $\ker f$ of f is a finite locally free group scheme and a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme over R ;*
- (b) *f induces an isomorphism between E' and the quotient $E / \ker f$.*

Proof. (a) Since f is flat of finite presentation by [14, IV₁, Proposition 1.6.2(v)], $\ker f$ is flat of finite presentation over R . Since it is also finite, it is finite locally free. Over a faithfully flat R -algebra R' both E and E' become isomorphic to powers of $\mathbb{G}_{a, R'}$ and hence are strict \mathbb{F}_q -module schemes by Example 4.3. Therefore $(\ker f) \otimes_R R'$ is a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme over R' by [16, Proposition 2] and $\ker f$ is a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme over R by Lemma 4.4.

(b) This follows from [29, Théorème V.4.1]. \square

Note that two isogenous Abelian Anderson A -modules have the same dimension by Proposition 5.2. We will see in Corollary 5.10 below that they also have the same rank. For Drinfeld modules there is a further characterization of isogenies as follows.

Proposition 5.4.

- (a) *If \underline{E} and \underline{E}' are Drinfeld A -modules over R with $\operatorname{Spec} R$ connected and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_k(\underline{E}, \underline{E}')$, then f is an isogeny if and only if $f \neq 0$;*
- (b) *If this is the case then f is separable if and only if $\operatorname{Lie} f \in R^\times$.*

Proof. (a) Let $f: \underline{E} \rightarrow \underline{E}'$ be an isogeny, then $f \neq 0$ because the zero morphism is not surjective. Conversely let $f \neq 0$. By Proposition 5.2(d) we must show that $\ker f$ is finite. This question is local on $\operatorname{Spec} R$, so we may assume that $E = E' = \mathbb{G}_{a, R}$ and that $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ and $\underline{E}' = (E', \psi)$ are in standard form. Let $t \in A \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$, and hence $\deg_\tau \varphi_t > 0$ and $\deg_\tau \psi_t > 0$. By Lemma 3.8(b) applied to $f \circ \varphi_t = \psi_t \circ f$ we have $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \tau^i \in R\{\tau\}$ with $f_n \in R^\times$. It follows that $\ker f = \operatorname{Spec} R[x] / (\sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^{q^i})$ which is finite over R .

(b) By the Jacobi criterion [7, Section 2.2, Proposition 7],

$$\ker f = \operatorname{Spec} R[x] / \left(\sum_{i=0}^n f_i x^{q^i} \right)$$

is étale if and only if $\operatorname{Lie} f = f_0 = \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x} \in R^\times$. \square

Next we turn to A -motives.

Definition 5.5. A morphism $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ between A -motives over R is an *isogeny* if f is injective and $\operatorname{coker} f$ is finite and locally free as R -module. If there exists an isogeny between \underline{M} and \underline{N} then they are called *isogenous*. (Being isogenous is an equivalence relation; see Corollary 5.16 below.) A quasi-morphism $f \in \operatorname{QHom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ which is of the form $g \otimes c$ for an isogeny $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ and a $c \in \mathcal{Q}$ is called a *quasi-isogeny*.

If f is an isogeny and \underline{M} and \underline{N} are effective, then the snake lemma yields the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \ker(\tau_{\operatorname{coker} f}) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \scriptstyle \cap \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \sigma^* M & \xrightarrow{\sigma^* f} & \sigma^* N & \longrightarrow & \sigma^*(\operatorname{coker} f) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \tau_M & & \downarrow \tau_N & & \downarrow \tau_{\operatorname{coker} f} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{f} & N & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{coker} f \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\tau_{\operatorname{coker} f}) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{coker} \tau_M \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \tau_N \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(\tau_{\operatorname{coker} f}) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array} \tag{5.1}$$

Namely, by local freeness of $\operatorname{coker} f$ the upper row is again exact and identifies $\sigma^*(\operatorname{coker} f)$ with $\operatorname{coker}(\sigma^* f)$.

An isogeny $f: \underline{M} \rightarrow \underline{N}$ between effective A -motives is *separable* if $\tau_{\operatorname{coker} f}: \sigma^*(\operatorname{coker} f) \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} f$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 5.6. If $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ is an isogeny and S is an R -algebra, then the base change $f \otimes \operatorname{id}_S \in \operatorname{Hom}_S(\underline{M} \otimes_R S, \underline{N} \otimes_R S)$ of f to S is again an isogeny. This follows from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \underline{M} \xrightarrow{f} \underline{N} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} f \rightarrow 0$ because $\operatorname{coker} f$ is a flat R -module.

Example 5.7. For $0 \neq a \in A$ the morphism $a: \underline{M} \rightarrow \underline{M}$ is an isogeny with $\operatorname{coker} a = M/aM$. Let \underline{M} be effective. Then a is separable if and only if $\ker(\tau_{\operatorname{coker} a}) = \operatorname{coker}(\tau_{\operatorname{coker} a}) = (0)$. That is, if and only if multiplication with a is an automorphism of $\operatorname{coker} \tau_M$. Since $a - \gamma(a)$ is nilpotent on $\operatorname{coker} \tau_M$ this is the case if and only if $\gamma(a) \in R^\times$. For the corresponding result about Abelian Anderson A -modules see Corollary 5.11.

Proposition 5.8. Let \underline{M} and \underline{N} be A -motives over R . If \underline{M} and \underline{N} are isogenous then $\operatorname{rk} \underline{M} = \operatorname{rk} \underline{N}$, and if, moreover, \underline{M} and \underline{N} are effective, then $\operatorname{rk}_R \operatorname{coker} \tau_M = \operatorname{rk}_R \operatorname{coker} \tau_N$. Conversely assume $\operatorname{rk} \underline{M} = \operatorname{rk} \underline{N}$ and let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ be a morphism such that $\operatorname{coker} f$ is a finitely generated R -module. Then f is an isogeny.

Proof. Let $f: \underline{M} \rightarrow \underline{N}$ be an isogeny. Since M , respectively $\operatorname{coker} \tau_M$, are finite locally free over A_R , respectively over R , we can compute their ranks by choosing

a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ and applying the base change from R to $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Then $f \otimes \text{id}_k$ is still an isogeny by Remark 5.6. Since $\text{coker}(f \otimes \text{id}_k)$ is a torsion A_k -module it follows that

$$\text{rk } \underline{M} = \text{rk}_{A_R} M = \text{rk}_{A_k}(M \otimes_R k) = \text{rk}_{A_k}(N \otimes_R k) = \text{rk}_{A_R} N = \text{rk } \underline{N}.$$

If \underline{M} and \underline{N} are effective, we consider diagram (5.1) for the isogeny $f \otimes \text{id}_k$. Since $\text{coker}(f \otimes \text{id}_k)$ and $\sigma^* \text{coker}(f \otimes \text{id}_k)$ are finite dimensional k -vector spaces of the same dimension, the right vertical column and the bottom row of diagram (5.1) imply that

$$\text{rk}_R \text{coker } \tau_M = \dim_k \text{coker}(\tau_M \otimes \text{id}_k) = \dim_k \text{coker}(\tau_N \otimes \text{id}_k) = \text{rk}_R \text{coker } \tau_N.$$

The converse follows from Lemma 2.2. \square

After these preparations we are now able to formulate and prove our main theorem.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(E, E')$ be a morphism between Abelian Anderson A -modules over R , and let $\underline{M}(f) \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}', \underline{M})$ be the associated morphism between the associated effective A -motives $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(E)$ and $\underline{M}' = \underline{M}(E')$ over R . Then*

- (a) *f is an isogeny if and only if $\underline{M}(f)$ is an isogeny;*
- (b) *f is a separable isogeny if and only if $\underline{M}(f)$ is a separable isogeny;*
- (c) *If f is an isogeny there are canonical A -equivariant isomorphisms of finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtukas*

$$(\text{coker } \underline{M}(f), \tau_{\text{coker } \underline{M}(f)}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{M}_q(\ker f)$$

and of finite locally free R -group schemes

$$\text{Dr}_q(\text{coker } \underline{M}(f)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker f.$$

Proof. In the beginning we do neither assume that f nor that $\underline{M}(f)$ is an isogeny. We denote by ι the inclusion $\ker f \hookrightarrow E$. Consider the A_R -homomorphism $\underline{M}(E) \rightarrow \underline{M}_q(\ker f)$, $m \mapsto m \circ \iota$, which is compatible with the Frobenius maps $\tau_{\underline{M}(E)}$ and $F_{\underline{M}_q(\ker f)}$. Since $m = \underline{M}(f)(m') = m' \circ f$ implies $m' \circ f \circ \iota = 0$, it factors over

$$\text{coker } \underline{M}(f) \longrightarrow \underline{M}_q(\ker f), \quad m \bmod \text{im } \underline{M}(f) \mapsto m \circ \iota. \quad (5.2)$$

On the other hand we claim that there are A -equivariant morphisms

$$\text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}_q(\ker f)) \longrightarrow \text{Dr}_q(\text{coker } \underline{M}(f)) \hookrightarrow \ker f \hookrightarrow E, \quad (5.3)$$

where the last two morphisms are closed immersions. The first morphism is obtained from (5.2). Moreover, the epimorphism $\underline{M}(E) \twoheadrightarrow \text{coker } \underline{M}(f)$ induces by Example 4.6 an A -equivariant closed immersion $\alpha : \text{Dr}_q(\text{coker } \underline{M}(f)) \hookrightarrow \text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}(E)) = \underline{E}$.

We compose it with $f: E \rightarrow E'$ and show that the composition factors through the zero section $e': \text{Spec } R \rightarrow E'$. This will imply that α factors through $\ker f$. We can study this composition after a faithfully flat base change $R \rightarrow R'$ over which we have an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism $\beta: E' \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^{d'} = \text{Spec } R'[y_1, \dots, y_{d'}]$. Let $m'_i := pr_i \circ \beta \in M(\underline{E}') \otimes_R R'$ where $pr_i: \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^{d'} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,R'} = \text{Spec } R'[\xi]$ is the projection onto the i -th factor. Then $pr_i^*(\xi) = y_i$ and $\alpha^* f^* \beta^*(y_i) = \alpha^* f^* m'_i{}^*(\xi) = \alpha^* \circ \underline{M}(f)(m'_i)^*(\xi) = 0$ because $\underline{M}(f)(m'_i) = 0$ in $\text{coker } \underline{M}(f)$.

(a) Now assume that f is an isogeny. Then $\ker f$ is a finite locally free group scheme over R , and a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme by Corollary 5.3(a). So $\underline{M}_q(\ker f)$ is a finite locally free R -module by Theorem 4.7 and the morphism $\text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}_q(\ker f)) \rightarrow \ker f$ in (5.3) is an isomorphism. This shows that $\text{Dr}_q(\text{coker } \underline{M}(f)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ker f$. We next show that the map (5.2) is an isomorphism. Its cokernel is a finite R -module because $\underline{M}_q(\ker f)$ is. We apply again a faithfully flat base change $R \rightarrow R'$ such that $E \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d$ and $E' \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^{d'}$. Then f is given by a matrix $F \in R'\{\tau\}^{d' \times d}$ by Lemma 3.2. By faithfully flat descent and by Nakayama's lemma [15, Corollaries 2.9 and 4.8] the map (5.2) will be surjective if for all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}' \subset R'$ its tensor product with $k := R'/\mathfrak{m}'$ is surjective. By Remark 3.3 and its analog for $\underline{M}_q(\ker f)$ the tensor product of (5.2) with k equals $\text{coker } \underline{M}(f \times \text{id}_k) \rightarrow \underline{M}_q(\ker(f \times \text{id}_k))$, where $f \times \text{id}_k: \underline{E} \times_R k \rightarrow \underline{E}' \times_R k$ is given by the matrix $\bar{F} := F \otimes 1_k$. In particular $\ker(f \times \text{id}_k) = \text{Spec } k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(f^*(y_\ell): 1 \leq \ell \leq d)$. Since $\ker f$ is finite, $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(f^*(y_\ell): 1 \leq \ell \leq d)$ is a finite dimensional k -vector space. For fixed i this implies that $\{x_i, x_i^q, x_i^{q^2}, \dots\}$ is linearly dependent and there is a positive integer N and $b_{i,n} \in k$ such that $x_i^{q^{N+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^N b_{i,n} \cdot x_i^{q^n}$ in $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(f^*(y_\ell): 1 \leq \ell \leq d)$. We introduce the new variables $z_{i,n} := x_i^{q^n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $0 \leq n \leq N$. Then $f^*(y_\ell)$ is a k -linear relation between the $z_{i,n}$. Furthermore

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(f^*(y_\ell): 1 \leq \ell \leq d) \cong k[z_{i,n}: 1 \leq i \leq d, 0 \leq n \leq N]/I \quad \text{with} \\ I = \left(f^*(y_i), z_{i,N}^q - \sum_{n=0}^N b_{i,n} \cdot z_{i,n}, z_{i,n}^q - z_{i,n+1}: 1 \leq i \leq d, 0 \leq n < N \right).$$

Let $\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_r$ be a k -basis of $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \bigoplus_{n=0}^N k \cdot z_{i,n})/(f^*(y_\ell): 1 \leq \ell \leq d)$. Then there are elements $c_{ij} \in k$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ such that

$$k[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(f^*(y_\ell): 1 \leq \ell \leq d) \cong k[\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_r] / \left(\tilde{z}_i^q - \sum_{j=1}^r c_{ij} \tilde{z}_j: 1 \leq i \leq r \right) =: B.$$

Moreover, the group law on $\ker f$ is given by the comultiplication $\Delta^*: B \rightarrow B \otimes_k B$, $\Delta^*(\tilde{z}_i) = \tilde{z}_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{z}_i$ and the \mathbb{F}_q -action is given by $\varphi_\lambda: B \rightarrow B$, $\varphi_\lambda^*(\tilde{z}_i) = \gamma(\lambda) \cdot \tilde{z}_i$.

We are now ready to compute $\underline{M}_q(\ker(f \times \text{id}_k))$ from (3.3). If $\mathbb{G}_{a,k} = \text{Spec } k[\xi]$ then every element $\tilde{m} \in \underline{M}_q(\ker(f \times \text{id}_k))$ satisfies $\tilde{m}^*(\xi) = \sum_{\ell_i \in \{0 \dots q-1\}} d_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r} \cdot \tilde{z}_1^{\ell_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{z}_r^{\ell_r}$ with $d_{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_r} \in k$. Since the $\tilde{z}_1^{\ell_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \tilde{z}_r^{\ell_r}$ form a k -basis of B , the conditions $\Delta^* \tilde{m}^*(\xi) = \tilde{m}^*(\xi) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tilde{m}^*(\xi)$ in $B \otimes_k B$ and $\varphi_\lambda^* \tilde{m}^*(\xi) = m^*(\gamma(\lambda) \cdot \xi) = \gamma(\lambda) \cdot \tilde{m}^*(\xi)$ in B for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$ imply as in Lemma 3.2 that $\tilde{m}^*(\xi) = d_{1,0 \dots 0} \cdot \tilde{z}_1 + \dots + d_{0 \dots 0,1} \cdot \tilde{z}_r$. Since \tilde{z}_i is a k -linear combination of the $z_{j,n} = x_j^{q^n}$ the morphism $m: E \times_R k \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ with $m^*(\xi) = d_{1,0 \dots 0} \cdot \tilde{z}_1 + \dots + d_{0 \dots 0,1} \cdot \tilde{z}_r$ belongs to $\underline{M}(E \times_R k)$ and maps to \tilde{m} under the map $\text{coker } \underline{M}(f \times \text{id}_k) \rightarrow \underline{M}_q(\ker(f \times \text{id}_k))$. This proves that (5.2) is surjective.

In order to show that (5.2) is injective let $m \in M(\underline{E})$ be an element with $m \circ \iota = 0$. By [29, Théorème V.4.1] the morphism $m: E \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a,R}$ factors through $E/\ker f \xrightarrow{\sim} E'$ (use Corollary 5.3(b)) in the form $m = m' \circ f$ for an $m' \in M(\underline{E}')$. This shows that $m \bmod \text{im } \underline{M}(f) = 0$ in $\text{coker } \underline{M}(f)$. All together we have proved that $\text{coker } \underline{M}(f) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{M}_q(\ker f)$ is a finite locally free R -module. Moreover, $\underline{M}(f)$ is injective, because if $m' \in M(\underline{E}')$ satisfies $m' \circ f = \underline{M}(f)(m') = 0$ the surjectivity of f implies $m' = 0$. More precisely, f is an epimorphism of sheaves for the $f\text{pqc}$ -topology by Proposition 5.2(e). Now the injectivity of $\underline{M}(f)$ follows from the left exactness of the functor $\text{Hom}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(\bullet, \mathbb{G}_{a,R})$. This proves that $\underline{M}(f)$ is an isogeny, and it also proves (c).

Conversely assume that $\underline{M}(f)$ is an isogeny. Then $d := \dim \underline{E} = \dim \underline{E}'$ by Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 5.8. We prove that $\ker f$ is finite. For this purpose we apply a faithfully flat base change $R \rightarrow R'$ such that $E \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d = \text{Spec } R'[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ and $E' \otimes_R R' \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,R'}^d = \text{Spec } R'[y_1, \dots, y_d]$. Also when we write $\mathbb{G}_{a,R'} = \text{Spec } R'[\xi]$ then $\underline{M}(\underline{E} \times_R R') \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R'\{\tau\} \cdot m_i$ and $\underline{M}(\underline{E}' \times_R R') \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^d R'\{\tau\} \cdot m'_i$ where $m_i^*(\xi) = x_i$ and $m'_i(\xi) = y_i$. Consider the epimorphism of R' -modules

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \bigoplus_{n=0}^\infty R' \cdot \tau^n m_i \cong \underline{M}(\underline{E} \times_R R') \xrightarrow{\delta} \text{coker } \underline{M}(f \otimes \text{id}_{R'}).$$

Since $\text{coker } \underline{M}(f \otimes \text{id}_{R'})$ is finite locally free over R' , and hence projective, this epimorphism has a section s whose image lies in $\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \bigoplus_{n=0}^N R' \cdot \tau^n m_i$ for some N . It follows that $\tau^{N+1} m_i - s(\delta(\tau^{N+1} m_i))$ maps to zero in $\text{coker } \underline{M}(f \otimes \text{id}_{R'})$. That is, there are elements $b_{i,j,n} \in R'$ and $\tilde{m}'_i \in \underline{M}(\underline{E}' \times_R R')$ with $\tau^{N+1} m_i - \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{n=0}^N b_{i,j,n} \cdot \tau^n m_j = \underline{M}(f)(\tilde{m}'_i)$. Applying this equation to ξ yields

$$x_i^{q^{N+1}} - \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{n=0}^N b_{i,j,n} \cdot x_j^{q^n} = f^* \tilde{m}'_i{}^*(\xi) \in f^* R'[y_1, \dots, y_d] \cong f^* \Gamma(E', \mathcal{O}_{E'}) \otimes_R R'.$$

Thus $f \times \text{id}_{R'}: E \times_R R' \rightarrow E' \times_R R'$ is finite. By faithfully flat descent [14, IV₂, Proposition 2.7.1] also f is finite. By Proposition 5.2(d) this proves that f is an isogeny and establishes (a).

Finally (b) follows from (c) and Theorem 4.7(b). \square

Corollary 5.10. *If \underline{E} and \underline{E}' are isogenous Abelian Anderson A -modules over R , then $\mathrm{rk} \underline{E} = \mathrm{rk} \underline{E}'$.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorems 3.5, 5.9 and Proposition 5.8. \square

Corollary 5.11. *Let \underline{E} be an Abelian Anderson A -module over R and let $a \in A$. Then $\varphi_a: \underline{E} \rightarrow \underline{E}$ is an isogeny. It is separable if and only if $\gamma(a) \in R^\times$.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 5.9 and Example 5.7. The criterion for separability can also be proved without reference to A -motives; see our proof of Theorem 6.4(b) below. \square

We next come to our second main result.

Theorem 5.12. *Let \underline{M} and \underline{N} be two A -motives over R and let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ be a morphism. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *f is an isogeny;*
- (b) *There is an element $0 \neq a \in A$ such that f induces an isomorphism of $A_R[\frac{1}{a}]$ -modules $M[\frac{1}{a}] \xrightarrow{\sim} N[\frac{1}{a}]$.*

In particular, a quasi-morphism $f \in \mathrm{QHom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ is a quasi-isogeny if and only if it induces an isomorphism $f: M[\frac{1}{a}] \xrightarrow{\sim} N[\frac{1}{a}]$ for an element $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. (b) \implies (a) Clearly $\mathrm{rk} \underline{M} = \mathrm{rk} \underline{N}$. Since $\mathrm{coker} f$ is a finitely generated A_R -module, $(\mathrm{coker} f) \otimes_A A[\frac{1}{a}] = (0)$ implies that $a^n \cdot \mathrm{coker} f = (0)$ for some positive integer n . Therefore, $\mathrm{coker} f$ is a finitely generated module over $A_R/(a^n) = A/(a^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R$, whence over R . So (a) follows from Proposition 5.8.

(a) \implies (b) If R is a field this was proved in [6, Corollary 5.4] and also follows from [26, Proposition 3.4.5] and [30, Proposition 3.1.2]. We generalize the proof to the relative situation.

1. If f is an isogeny, then $\mathrm{coker} f$ is a finite locally free R -module, which we may assume to be free after passing to an open affine covering of $\mathrm{Spec} R$. Let $t \in A \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ and consider the finite flat homomorphism $\tilde{A} := \mathbb{F}_q[t] \hookrightarrow A$ from Lemma 1.5, under which we view \underline{M} and \underline{N} as \tilde{A} -motives by restriction of scalars. That is, we view M and N as locally free $R[t]$ -modules of rank $\tilde{r} = \mathrm{rk} \underline{M} \cdot \mathrm{rk}_{\tilde{A}} A$ and τ_M and τ_N as $R[t][\frac{1}{t-\gamma(t)}]$ -isomorphisms. By multiplying both τ_M and τ_N with $(t - \gamma(t))^e$ for $e \gg 0$ we may assume that \underline{M} and \underline{N} are effective \tilde{A} -motives. Then the equation $f \circ \tau_M = \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f$ is multiplied by $(t - \gamma(t))^e$, and so the map f continues to be an isogeny $f: \underline{M} \rightarrow \underline{N}$ between the (now effective) \tilde{A} -motives \underline{M} and \underline{N} . Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathrm{ann}_{R[t]}(\mathrm{coker} f) = \ker(R[t] \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_R(\mathrm{coker} f))$ be the annihilator of $\mathrm{coker} f$. By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem [15, Theorem 4.3] (applied with $I = R$), the monic characteristic polynomial χ_t of the endomorphism t of $\mathrm{coker} f$ lies in \mathfrak{a} .

This shows that $R[t]/\mathfrak{a}$ is a quotient of the finite R -module $R[t]/(\chi_t)$. In particular the closed subscheme $V := \operatorname{Spec} R[t]/\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{A}_R^1 = \operatorname{Spec} R[t]$ is finite over $\operatorname{Spec} R$. On its open complement $f: M \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism.

We now consider the exterior powers $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} M$ and $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} N$ of the $R[t]$ -modules M and N and set $\mathcal{L} := (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} M)^\vee \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} N$. These are invertible $R[t]$ -modules. The isogeny f induces a global section $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} f$ of the invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on \mathbb{A}_R^1 which provides an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_R^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$, $1 \mapsto \wedge^{\tilde{r}} f$ on $\mathbb{A}_R^1 \setminus V$. Likewise we obtain global sections $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* f$, respectively $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M$, respectively $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_N$ of the invertible sheaves $\sigma^* \mathcal{L}$, respectively $(\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* M)^\vee \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} M$, respectively $(\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* N)^\vee \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} N$ by the effectivity assumption on \underline{M} and \underline{N} . Diagram (5.1) implies that there is an equality of global sections

$$\wedge^{\tilde{r}} f \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M = \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_N \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* f \quad (5.4)$$

of $(\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* M)^\vee \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} N = \mathcal{L} \otimes (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* M)^\vee \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} M = ((\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* N)^\vee \otimes \wedge^{\tilde{r}} N) \otimes \sigma^* \mathcal{L}$.

Since V is proper over $\operatorname{Spec} R$ and the projective line \mathbb{P}_R^1 is separated, the map $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_R^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_R^1$ is a closed immersion which does not meet $\{\infty\} \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \operatorname{Spec} R$, where $\{\infty\} = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \setminus \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$. Thus we may glue \mathcal{L} with the trivial sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^1 \setminus V}$ on $\mathbb{P}_R^1 \setminus V$ along the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}$, $1 \mapsto \wedge^{\tilde{r}} f$ over $\mathbb{A}_R^1 \setminus V$. In this way we obtain an invertible sheaf $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ on the projective line \mathbb{P}_R^1 . By replacing $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ with $\bar{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^1}(m \cdot \infty)$ for a suitable integer m we may achieve that $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ has degree zero (see [7, Section 9.1, Proposition 2]) and induces an R -valued point of the relative Picard functor $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_q}^0$; cf. [7, Section 8.1]. Since $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{F}_q}^0$ is trivial, [7, Section 8.1, Proposition 4] shows that $\bar{\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathcal{K} \otimes_R \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_R^1}$ for an invertible sheaf \mathcal{K} on $\operatorname{Spec} R$. Replacing $\operatorname{Spec} R$ by an open affine covering which trivializes \mathcal{K} we may assume that there is an isomorphism $\alpha: \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\sim} R[t]$ of $R[t]$ -modules. Let $h := \alpha(\wedge^{\tilde{r}} f) \in R[t]$.

2. Let $d := \operatorname{rk}_R \operatorname{coker} \tau_M$. We claim that locally on $\operatorname{Spec} R$ there is a positive integer n_0 and for every integer $n \geq n_0$ an isomorphism of $R[t]$ -modules

$$((\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* M)^\vee \otimes_{R[t]} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} M)^{\otimes q^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} R[t] \quad \text{with} \quad (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M)^{\otimes q^n} \mapsto (t - \gamma(t))^{q^n d} \quad (5.5)$$

and similarly for \underline{N} . To prove the claim we apply Proposition 2.3(c) to the A -motive $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \underline{M}$ and derive that $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M: \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* M \rightarrow \wedge^{\tilde{r}} M$ is injective $\operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M$ is a finite locally free R -module, annihilated by a power of $t - \gamma(t)$. Consider the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\longrightarrow \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* M \otimes_{R[t]} (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} M)^\vee \xrightarrow{\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M \otimes \operatorname{id}_{(\wedge^{\tilde{r}} M)^\vee}} R[t] \\ &\longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M \otimes_{R[t]} (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} M)^\vee \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Choose an open affine covering of $\operatorname{Spec} R[t]$ which trivializes the locally free $R[t]$ -module $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} M$. Pulling back this covering under the section $\operatorname{Spec} R \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Spec} R[t]/$

$(t - \gamma(t)) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R[t]$ gives an open affine covering of $\operatorname{Spec} R$ on which we may find an isomorphism $\operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M \otimes_{R[t]} (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} M)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M$. We replace $\operatorname{Spec} R$ by this open affine covering and even shrink it further in such a way that $\operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M$ becomes a free R -module. By [15, Proposition 4.1(b)] the sequence (5.6) is then isomorphic to the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R[t] \xrightarrow{g} R[t] \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.7)$$

where $g \in R[t]$ is a monic polynomial of degree equal to $\operatorname{rk}_R(\operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M)$. We now tensor sequence (5.7) over R with $k := \operatorname{Frac}(R/\mathfrak{p})$ where $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$ is a prime ideal. It remains exact because $\operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M$ is free. Since $k[t]$ is a principal ideal domain the elementary divisor theorem applied to

$$0 \longrightarrow \sigma^* M \otimes_R k \xrightarrow{\tau_M \otimes \operatorname{id}_k} M \otimes_R k \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker} \tau_M \otimes_R k \longrightarrow 0$$

allows to write $\tau_M \otimes \operatorname{id}_k$ as a diagonal matrix. This shows that $\operatorname{coker} \wedge^{\tilde{r}} \tau_M \otimes_R k$ is a k -vector space of dimension equal to $\operatorname{rk}_R(\operatorname{coker} \tau_M) =: d$. Since $t - \gamma(t)$ is nilpotent on this vector space, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem from linear algebra implies $g \bmod \mathfrak{p} = (t - \gamma(t))^d$. In particular the coefficients of the difference $g' := g - (t - \gamma(t))^d$ lie in every prime ideal of R , and hence are nilpotent by [15, Corollary 2.12]. Therefore there is a positive integer n_0 with $(g')^{q^{n_0}} = 0$, whence $g^{q^n} = (t - \gamma(t))^{q^n d}$ for every $n \geq n_0$. The q^n -th tensor power of the isomorphism between (the left entries in) the sequences (5.6) and (5.7) provides the isomorphism in (5.5). This proves the claim.

3. Since $d = \operatorname{rk}_R \operatorname{coker} \tau_M = \operatorname{rk}_R \operatorname{coker} \tau_N$ by Proposition 5.8, equations (5.4) and (5.5) imply that for $n \gg 0$ there is an isomorphism $\beta: \sigma^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes q^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes q^n}$ of $R[t]$ -modules sending $(t - \gamma(t))^{q^n} (\sigma^* \wedge^{\tilde{r}} f)^{\otimes q^n}$ to $(t - \gamma(t))^{q^n} (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} f)^{\otimes q^n}$ and hence $(\sigma^* \wedge^{\tilde{r}} f)^{\otimes q^n}$ to $(\wedge^{\tilde{r}} f)^{\otimes q^n}$ because $t - \gamma(t)$ is a non-zero divisor. In particular the isomorphism

$$\alpha^{\otimes q^n} \circ \beta \circ (\sigma^* \alpha^{\otimes q^n})^{-1}: R[t] \xrightarrow{\sim} \sigma^* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes q^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes q^n} \xrightarrow{\sim} R[t],$$

which is given by multiplication with a unit $u \in R[t]^\times$, sends $\sigma(h^{q^n}) = \sigma^* \alpha^{\otimes q^n} (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} \sigma^* f)^{\otimes q^n}$ to $h^{q^n} = \alpha^{\otimes q^n} (\wedge^{\tilde{r}} f)^{\otimes q^n}$. We thus obtain the equation $h^{q^n} = u \cdot \sigma(h^{q^n})$ in $R[t]$.

By Lemma 5.13 below, $u = \sum_{i \geq 0} u_i t^i$ with $u_0 \in R^\times$ and $u_i \in R$ nilpotent for all $i \geq 1$. Let $R' = R[v_0]/(v_0^{q-1} u_0 - 1)$ be the finite étale R -algebra obtained by adjoining a $(q-1)$ -th root v_0 of u_0^{-1} . Then there is a unit $v = \sum_{i \geq 1} v_i t^i \in R'[t]^\times$ with $v = u \cdot \sigma(v)$. Indeed the latter amounts to the equations

$$v_i = \sum_{j=0}^i u_j v_{i-j}^q \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{v_i}{v_0} = \left(\frac{v_i}{v_0} \right)^q + \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{u_j}{u_0} \left(\frac{v_{i-j}}{v_0} \right)^q$$

which have the solutions $\frac{v_i}{v_0} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (\sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{u_j}{u_0} (\frac{v_{i-j}}{v_0})^q)^{q^n}$ because the u_j are nilpotent. Therefore the element $v^{-1}h^{q^n} \in R'[t]$ satisfies $\sigma(v^{-1}h^{q^n}) = v^{-1}h^{q^n}$. Working on each connected component of $\text{Spec } R'$ separately, Lemma 5.14 below shows that $a := v^{-1}h^{q^n} \in \mathbb{F}_q[t] \subset A$.

In the ring $R'[t][\frac{1}{a}]$ the element h becomes a unit. Therefore the homomorphism $\alpha^{-1} \circ h: R'[t][\frac{1}{a}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}[\frac{1}{a}]$, $1 \mapsto \wedge^{\tilde{r}} f$ is an isomorphism. This implies that $\wedge^{\tilde{r}} f: \wedge^{\tilde{r}} M[\frac{1}{a}] \rightarrow \wedge^{\tilde{r}} N[\frac{1}{a}]$ is an isomorphism, and hence also $f: M[\frac{1}{a}] \rightarrow N[\frac{1}{a}]$ by Cramer's rule (e.g., [8, III.8.6, Formulas (21) and (22)]). Thus we have established (b) étale locally on $\text{Spec } R$. Replacing a by the product of all the finitely many elements a obtained locally, establishes (b) globally on $\text{Spec } R$.

4. To prove the statement about quasi-morphisms $f \in \text{QHom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ assume first, that f induces an isomorphism $f: M[\frac{1}{a}] \xrightarrow{\sim} N[\frac{1}{a}]$ for some $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Then $g := a^n \cdot f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ for $n \gg 0$, because \underline{M} is finitely generated. In particular g is an isogeny and $f = g \otimes a^{-n}$ is a quasi-isogeny.

Conversely, if f is a quasi-isogeny, that is $f = g \otimes c$ for an isogeny $g \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ and $a \in Q$, there is an element $a \in A \setminus \{0\}$ such that $g: M[\frac{1}{a}] \xrightarrow{\sim} N[\frac{1}{a}]$. If d is the denominator of c it follows that $f: M[\frac{1}{ad}] \xrightarrow{\sim} N[\frac{1}{ad}]$. \square

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.12 we must demonstrate the following two lemmas.

Lemma 5.13. *An element $u = \sum_{i \geq 0} u_i t^i \in R[t]$ is a unit in $R[t]$ if and only if $u_0 \in R^\times$ and u_i is nilpotent for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. If the u_i satisfy the assertion then there is a positive integer n such that $u_i^{q^n} = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Therefore $u^{q^n} = u_0^{q^n}$ is a unit in $R[t]$ and so the same holds for u .

Conversely if u is a unit then u_0 must be a unit in R . By [15, Corollary 2.12] the kernel of the map $R \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \subset R} R/\mathfrak{p}$ where \mathfrak{p} runs over all prime ideals of R , equals the nil-radical of R . Under this map u is sent to a unit in each factor $R/\mathfrak{p}[t]$. Since R/\mathfrak{p} is an integral domain, the u_i for $i \geq 1$ must be sent to zero in each factor R/\mathfrak{p} . This shows that u_i is nilpotent for $i \geq 1$. \square

Lemma 5.14. *Assume that R contains no idempotents besides 0 and 1, that is $\text{Spec } R$ is connected. Then $R^\sigma := \{x \in R: x^q = x\} = \mathbb{F}_q$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be a maximal ideal and let $\bar{x} \in R/\mathfrak{m}$ be the image of x . Then $\bar{x}^q = \bar{x}$ implies that \bar{x} is equal to an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \subset R/\mathfrak{m}$. Now $e := (x - \alpha)^{q-1}$ satisfies $e^2 = (x - \alpha)^{q-2}(x^q - \alpha^q) = (x - \alpha)^{q-1} = e$, that is e is an idempotent. Since $e \in \mathfrak{m}$ we cannot have $e = 1$ and must have $e = 0$. Therefore $x - \alpha = (x - \alpha)^q = (x - \alpha) \cdot e = 0$ in R , that is $x = \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$. \square

Corollary 5.15. *If $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ is an isogeny between A -motives over R then there is an element $0 \neq a \in A$ and an isogeny $g \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{N}, \underline{M})$ with*

$f \circ g = a \cdot \text{id}_{\underline{N}}$ and $g \circ f = a \cdot \text{id}_{\underline{M}}$. The same is true for Abelian Anderson A -modules.

Proof. Let $a \in A$ be the element from Theorem 5.12(b). As in the proof of (b) \implies (a) of this theorem there is a positive integer n such $a^n \cdot \text{coker } f = (0)$. Therefore there is a map $g: N \rightarrow M$ with $g \circ f = a^n \cdot \text{id}_M$ and $f \circ g = a^n \cdot \text{id}_N$. This implies that g is injective, because a^n is a non-zero divisor on N . From

$$f \circ g \circ \tau_N = a^n \cdot \tau_N = \tau_N \circ \sigma^* a^n \cdot \text{id}_N = \tau_N \circ \sigma^* f \circ \sigma^* g = f \circ \tau_M \circ g$$

and the injectivity of f we conclude that $g \circ \tau_N = \tau_M \circ \sigma^* g$ and that $g \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{N}, \underline{M})$. By construction g induces an isomorphism $N[\frac{1}{a}] \xrightarrow{\sim} M[\frac{1}{a}]$ after inverting a . So g is an isogeny by Theorem 5.12. The statement about Abelian Anderson A -modules follows from Theorems 3.5 and 5.9. \square

Corollary 5.16. *The relation of being isogenous is an equivalence relation for A -motives and for Abelian Anderson A -modules over R .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.12 and Corollary 5.15. \square

Corollary 5.17. *Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ be an isogeny between effective A -motives \underline{M} and \underline{N} over R and suppose that $\gamma(A \setminus \{0\}) \subset R^\times$. Then f is separable. The same is true for isogenies between Abelian Anderson A -modules over R .*

Proof. Consider diagram (5.1) and set $K := \text{coker}(\tau_{\text{coker } f})$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.12 there is an element $0 \neq a \in A$ and a positive integer n with $a^n \cdot \text{coker } f = (0)$, and hence $a^n \cdot K = (0)$. Let e be an integer with $q^e \geq \text{rk}_R \text{coker } \tau_N$ and $q^e \geq n$. Then $(a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a))^{q^e} \cdot \text{coker } \tau_N = (0)$. Therefore

$$0 = (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a))^{q^e} \cdot K = (a^{q^e} \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a)^{q^e}) \cdot K = -\gamma(a)^{q^e} \cdot K.$$

Since $\gamma(a) \in R^\times$ we have $K = (0)$, and since $\text{coker } f$ and $\sigma^*(\text{coker } f)$ are finite locally free R modules of the same rank, [17, Corollary 8.12] shows that $\tau_{\text{coker } f}$ is an isomorphism, that is f is separable. The statement about Abelian Anderson A -modules follows from Theorem 5.9(b). \square

Corollary 5.18. *If $f \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{M}, \underline{N})$ and $g \in \text{Hom}_R(\underline{N}, \underline{M})$ are isogenies between A -motives over R with $f \circ g = a \cdot \text{id}_{\underline{N}}$ and $g \circ f = a \cdot \text{id}_{\underline{M}}$ for an $a \in A$, then there is an isomorphism of Q -algebras $\text{QEnd}_R(\underline{M}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{QEnd}_R(\underline{N})$ given by $h \otimes b \mapsto f \circ h \circ g \otimes \frac{b}{a}$ for $h \in \text{End}_R(\underline{M})$.* \square

Example 5.19. Let R be an A -ring of finite characteristic \mathfrak{p} , that is $\gamma: A \rightarrow R$ factors through $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} := A/\mathfrak{p}$ for a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ be divisible by $[\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{F}_q]$. Then $\sigma^{\ell*}(\mathcal{J}) = (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a)^{q^\ell} : a \in A) = \mathcal{J} \subset A_R$, because the elements $\gamma(a) \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfy $\gamma(a)^{q^\ell} = \gamma(a)$. Let $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ be an A -motive over R . Then $\sigma^{\ell*}\underline{M} = (\sigma^{\ell*}M, \sigma^{\ell*}\tau_M)$ is also an A -motive over R ,

because $\sigma^{\ell*}\tau_M$ is an isomorphism outside $V(\sigma^{\ell*}\mathcal{J}) = V(\mathcal{J})$. If \underline{M} is effective, then the A_R -homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}} := \tau_M^\ell := \tau_M \circ \sigma^*\tau_M \circ \dots \circ \sigma^{(\ell-1)*}\tau_M : \sigma^{\ell*}\underline{M} \longrightarrow \underline{M} \quad (5.8)$$

satisfies $\tau_M \circ \sigma^*\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}} = \mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}} \circ \sigma^{\ell*}\tau_M$. Moreover, it is injective and its cokernel is a successive extension of the σ^{i*} coker τ_M for $i = 0, \dots, \ell - 1$, whence a finitely presented R -module. Therefore $\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}} \in \mathrm{Hom}_R(\sigma^{\ell*}\underline{M}, \underline{M})$ is an isogeny, called the q^ℓ -Frobenius isogeny of \underline{M} . It is always inseparable, because the ℓ -th power of τ_M , which equals $\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}}$ annihilates the cokernel of $\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}}$.

If \underline{M} is not effective, let $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ be such that $\mathfrak{p}^n = (a)$ is principal. Then $(a \otimes 1) \subset \mathcal{J}$ and $(a \otimes 1) \subset \sigma^{i*}\mathcal{J}$ for all i . This shows that

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}} := \tau_M^\ell := \tau_M \circ \sigma^*\tau_M \circ \dots \circ \sigma^{(\ell-1)*}\tau_M : \sigma^{\ell*}\underline{M} \left[\frac{1}{a} \right] \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{M} \left[\frac{1}{a} \right] \quad (5.9)$$

is a quasi-isogeny in $\mathrm{QHom}_R(\sigma^{\ell*}\underline{M}, \underline{M})$ by Theorem 5.12, called the q^ℓ -Frobenius quasi-isogeny of \underline{M} .

Finally if $R = k$ is a field contained in \mathbb{F}_{q^ℓ} then $\sigma^{\ell*}\underline{M} = \underline{M}$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}} \in \mathrm{QEnd}_k(\underline{M})$, respectively $\mathrm{Fr}_{q^\ell, \underline{M}} \in \mathrm{End}_k(\underline{M})$ if \underline{M} is effective. In this case, $A[\pi]$ lies in the center of $\mathrm{End}_k(\underline{M})$ and $Q[\pi]$ lies in the center of $\mathrm{QEnd}_k(\underline{M})$, because every $f \in \mathrm{End}_k(\underline{M})$ satisfies $f \circ \tau_M = \tau_M \circ \sigma^*f$ and $\sigma^{\ell*}f = f$. If $k = \mathbb{F}_{q^\ell}$, the center equals $A[\pi]$, respectively $Q[\pi]$, and the isogeny classes of A -motives are largely controlled by their Frobenius endomorphism; see [5, Theorems 8.1 and 9.1].

6. Torsion points

Definition 6.1. Let $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \subset A$ be an ideal and let $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be an Abelian Anderson A -module over R . Then

$$\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] := \ker(\varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n} := (\varphi_{a_1}, \dots, \varphi_{a_n}) : E \longrightarrow E^n)$$

is called the \mathfrak{a} -torsion submodule of \underline{E} .

This definition is independent of the generators (a_1, \dots, a_n) of \mathfrak{a} by the following

Lemma 6.2.

- (a) If $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \subset (b_1, \dots, b_m) \subset A$ are ideals then $\ker(\varphi_{b_1, \dots, b_m}) \hookrightarrow \ker(\varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n})$ is a closed immersion;
- (b) If $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_m)$ then $\ker(\varphi_{b_1, \dots, b_m}) = \ker(\varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n})$;
- (c) For any R -algebra S we have $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}](S) = \{P \in E(S) : \varphi_a(P) = 0 \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{a}\}$;
- (d) $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is an A/\mathfrak{a} -module via $A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_R(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}])$, $\bar{b} \mapsto \varphi_b$;
- (e) $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is a finite R -group scheme of finite presentation.

Proof. (a) By assumption there are elements $c_{ij} \in A$ with $a_i = \sum_j c_{ij} b_j$. Therefore $\varphi_{a_i} = \sum_j \varphi_{c_{ij}} \varphi_{b_j}$ and the composition of $\varphi_{b_1, \dots, b_m}: E \rightarrow E^m$ followed by $(\varphi_{c_{ij}})_{i,j}: E^m \rightarrow E^n$ equals $\varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}: E \rightarrow E^n$. This proves (a) and clearly (a) implies (b).

To prove (c) let $P: \operatorname{Spec} S \rightarrow \underline{E}$ be an S -valued point in $\underline{E}(S)$ with $0 = \varphi_a(P) := \varphi_a \circ P$ for all $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. If $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ then in particular $\varphi_{a_i} \circ P = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Therefore P factors through $\ker \varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n} = \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$.

Conversely let $P: \operatorname{Spec} S \rightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ be an S -valued point in $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}](S)$ and let $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. By (b) we may write $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with $a_1 = a$ to have $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] = \ker \varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$. Therefore $\varphi_a(P) := \varphi_a \circ P = 0$. This proves (c).

(d) The relation $ab = ba$ in A implies $\varphi_a \circ \varphi_b = \varphi_b \circ \varphi_a$. Using that the closed subscheme $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is uniquely determined by (c) it follows that the ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_R(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}])$, $b \mapsto \varphi_b|_{\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]}$ is well defined. If $b \in \mathfrak{a}$ then clearly $\varphi_b|_{\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]} = 0$ and so this ring homomorphism factors through A/\mathfrak{a} .

(e) If $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ then $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] = \ker \varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ is of finite presentation, because $\varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ is a morphism of finite presentation between the schemes E and E^n of finite presentation over R by [14, IV₁, Proposition 1.6.2]. The finiteness of $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ follows for $\mathfrak{a} = (a)$ from Corollaries 5.11 and 5.3, and for general \mathfrak{a} from (a) by considering some $(a) \subset \mathfrak{a}$. \square

The following lemma is a version of the Chinese remainder theorem in our context.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subset A$ be two ideals with $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} = A$.*

- (a) *For an Abelian Anderson A -module \underline{E} there is a canonical isomorphism $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] \times_R \underline{E}[\mathfrak{b}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}]$;*
- (b) *For an effective A -motive \underline{M} there is a canonical isomorphism $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}\underline{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M} \oplus \underline{M}/\mathfrak{b}\underline{M}$ of finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtukas.*

Proof. By the Chinese remainder theorem there is an isomorphism $A/\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \xrightarrow{\sim} A/\mathfrak{a} \times A/\mathfrak{b}$ whose inverse is given by $(x_{\mathfrak{a}}, x_{\mathfrak{b}}) \mapsto bx_{\mathfrak{a}} + ax_{\mathfrak{b}}$ for certain elements $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b \in \mathfrak{b}$ which satisfy $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}}$ and $b \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$, and hence $a + b \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$.

(b) follows directly from this, because $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M} = \underline{M} \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{a}$.

(a) By Lemma 6.2(a) the addition Δ on $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}]$ defines a canonical morphism $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] \times_R \underline{E}[\mathfrak{b}] \hookrightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}] \times_R \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}] \xrightarrow{\Delta} \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}]$. Its inverse is described as follows. The elements $a, b \in A$ from above satisfy $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$. By Lemma 6.2(c) the endomorphism φ_a of $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}]$ factors through $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{b}]$ and φ_b factors through $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$. So the inverse is the morphism $(\varphi_b, \varphi_a): \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}] \rightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] \times_R \underline{E}[\mathfrak{b}]$. Indeed, for $x \in \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}]$, we compute $\varphi_b(x) + \varphi_a(x) = \varphi_{a+b}(x) = \varphi_1(x) = x$, because $a + b \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}$. On the other hand, for $x \in \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ and $y \in \underline{E}[\mathfrak{b}]$, we compute $\varphi_b(x + y) = \varphi_b(x) = x$ and $\varphi_a(x + y) = \varphi_a(y) = y$, because $b \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}}$. \square

Theorem 6.4. *Let \underline{E} be an Abelian Anderson A -module over R and let $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be an ideal.*

- (a) *Then $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is a finite locally free group scheme over $\mathrm{Spec} R$ and a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme;*
- (b) *$\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is étale over R if and only if $R \cdot \gamma(\mathfrak{a}) = R$, that is if and only if $\mathfrak{a} + \mathcal{J} = A_R$;*
- (c) *If $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ is the associated effective A -motive then there are canonical A -equivariant isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M} &\xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]) && \text{of finite } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-shtukas and} \\ \mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] && \text{of finite locally free } R\text{-group schemes.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since A is a Dedekind domain, $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_r^{e_r}$ for prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_i \in A$ and positive integers e_i . By Lemma 6.3 and the exactness of the functors Dr_q and \underline{M}_q , see Theorem 4.7(a), it suffices to treat the case $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^e$. Let $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the localization of A at \mathfrak{p} . Since $A/\mathfrak{p}^e = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^e A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ there is an element $z \in A$ which is congruent modulo \mathfrak{a} to a uniformizer of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Moreover, since $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e]$ is an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}^e A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, every φ_s with $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is an automorphism of $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e]$. Let $0 \leq n \leq e$. We denote the inclusion $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n] \hookrightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e]$ of Lemma 6.2(a) by $i_{n,e}$. By Lemma 6.2(c) the endomorphism φ_z^{e-n} of $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e]$ has kernel $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{e-n}]$ and factors through the closed subscheme $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ via a morphism $j_{e,n}: \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e] \rightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ with $\varphi_z^{e-n} = i_{n,e} \circ j_{e,n}$. We claim that $j_{e,n}$ is an epimorphism in the category of sheaves on the big $fpqc$ -site over $\mathrm{Spec} R$, and we therefore have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{e-n}] \xrightarrow{i_{e-n,e}} \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e] \xrightarrow{j_{e,n}} \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n] \longrightarrow 0. \quad (6.1)$$

To prove the claim let S be an R -algebra and let $P: \mathrm{Spec} S \rightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ be an S -valued point in $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n](S)$. Since $\varphi_{z^{e-n}}: \underline{E} \rightarrow \underline{E}$ is an isogeny by Corollary 5.11, hence an epimorphism of $fpqc$ -sheaves by Proposition 5.2(e), there exists a faithfully flat S -algebra S' and a point $P' \in E(S')$ with $\varphi_{z^{e-n}}(P') = P$. We have to show that $P' \in \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e](S')$. For this purpose let $a \in \mathfrak{p}^e$. Then $\frac{a}{1} = \frac{c}{s}(\frac{z}{s})^e$ in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $c \in A$, $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. We compute

$$\varphi_a(P') = \varphi_s^{-1} \circ \varphi_c \circ \varphi_{z^n} \circ \varphi_{z^{e-n}}(P') = \varphi_s^{-1} \circ \varphi_c \circ \varphi_{z^n}(P) = 0,$$

because $z^n \in \mathfrak{p}^n$. This proves our claim and establishes the exactness of (6.1).

We now use that A is a Dedekind domain with finite ideal class group. This means that for the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ there are (arbitrarily large) integers e such that $\mathfrak{p}^e = (a)$ is principal. Then $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e] = \ker \varphi_a$ is a finite locally free R -group scheme by Corollaries 5.11 and 5.3. If $0 \leq n \leq e$ then we show that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ is flat over R . Namely, using the epimorphism $j_{e,n}: \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e] \rightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ from (6.1) and the flatness of $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e]$ over R , the flatness of $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ will follow from [14, IV₃, Théorème 11.3.10] once we show that $j_{e,n}$ is flat in each fiber over a point of $\mathrm{Spec} R$. This follows

from [11, Section III.3, Corollaire 7.4] and so $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ is flat over R for all n . By Lemma 6.2(e) this proves that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ is a finite locally free group scheme over $\text{Spec } R$. Moreover, it is a strict \mathbb{F}_q -module scheme by [16, Proposition 2], because for $\mathfrak{p}^n = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ the morphism $\varphi_{a_1, \dots, a_n}$ is strict \mathbb{F}_q -linear by Example 4.3. So (a) is established.

If $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{p}^e = (a)$ we know from Theorem 5.9(c) applied to the isogeny φ_a and coker $\underline{M}(\varphi_a) = \underline{M}/a\underline{M}$ that (c) holds. If $0 \leq n \leq e$ we use the exact sequence (6.1) and the fact that the functors Dr_q and \underline{M}_q are exact by Theorem 4.7. Namely, multiplication with z^{e-n} on $\underline{M}/a\underline{M}$ has cokernel $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^{e-n}\underline{M}$ and image isomorphic to $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n\underline{M}$. We obtain an exact sequence of finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtukas

$$0 \longrightarrow \underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n\underline{M} \xrightarrow{\beta_{n,e}} \underline{M}/a\underline{M} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{e,e-n}} \underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^{e-n}\underline{M} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6.2)$$

with $\beta_{n,e} \circ \alpha_{e,n} = z^{e-n}$ on $\underline{M}/a\underline{M}$. Applying Dr_q to (6.2), using the exactness of Dr_q , and that $\text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}/a\underline{M}) = \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e]$ and $\text{Dr}_q(z^{e-n}) = \varphi_z^{e-n}$, proves $\text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n\underline{M}) = \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$. Conversely applying \underline{M}_q to (6.1), using the exactness of \underline{M}_q , and that $\underline{M}/a\underline{M} = \underline{M}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^e])$ and $z^{e-n} = \underline{M}_q(\varphi_z^{e-n})$, proves $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n\underline{M} = \underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n])$. This establishes (c) in general.

(b) Suppose that $R \cdot \gamma(\mathfrak{a}) = R$, that is there are elements $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in R$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \gamma(a_i) = 1$. Then the open subschemes $\text{Spec } R[\frac{1}{\gamma(a_i)}] \subset \text{Spec } R$ cover $\text{Spec } R$ and it suffices to check that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is étale over $\text{Spec } R[\frac{1}{\gamma(a_i)}]$ for each i . But there $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is a closed subscheme of $\underline{E}[a_i]$ which is étale by Corollary 5.11. This shows that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}]$ is unramified over R . Since it is flat by (a), it is étale as desired.

Conversely assume that $R \cdot \gamma(\mathfrak{a}) \subset \mathfrak{m}$ for a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ and set $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$. Over a field extension k' of k we have $E \times_R k = \mathbb{G}_{a,k'}^d = \text{Spec } k'[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. We will show that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] \times_R k'$ is not étale over k' by applying the Jacobi criterion [7, Section 2.2, Proposition 7]. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] = \text{Spec } k'[x_1, \dots, x_d]/(\varphi_{a_1}^*(x_1, \dots, x_d) : j = 1, \dots, n)$. The Jacobi matrix is

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{a_j}^*}{\partial x_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Lie } \varphi_{a_1} \\ \vdots \\ \text{Lie } \varphi_{a_n} \end{pmatrix} \in (k')^{nd \times d}.$$

Since $\gamma(a_i) = 0$ in k' each $\text{Lie } \varphi_{a_i}$ is a nilpotent $d \times d$ matrix. Since $\varphi_{a_i} \circ \varphi_{a_j} = \varphi_{a_i a_j} = \varphi_{a_j} \circ \varphi_{a_i}$ we have $\text{Lie } \varphi_{a_i}(\ker \text{Lie } \varphi_{a_j}) \subset \ker \text{Lie } \varphi_{a_j}$. Therefore all $\ker \text{Lie } \varphi_{a_i}$ have a non-trivial intersection. This shows that the rank of the Jacobi matrix is less than d and $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}] \times_R k'$ is not étale over k' . \square

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ be an A-motive over R of rank r and let $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be an ideal with $R \cdot \gamma(\mathfrak{a}) = R$, that is $\mathfrak{a} + \mathcal{J} = A_R$. Let $\bar{s} = \text{Spec } \Omega$ be a geometric base point of $\text{Spec } R$. Then $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M}$ is an étale finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtuka whose τ -invariants $(\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M})^\tau(\Omega)$, see (4.1), form a free A/\mathfrak{a} -module of rank r which carries a continuous action of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } R, \bar{s})$.*

Proof. This result and its proof are due to Anderson [2, Lemma 1.8.2] for R a field. Let $G := \text{Res}_{A/\mathfrak{a}|\mathbb{F}_q} \text{GL}_{r,A/\mathfrak{a}}$ be the Weil restriction with $G(R') = \text{GL}_r(A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R')$ for all \mathbb{F}_q -algebras R' . Then G is a smooth connected affine group scheme over \mathbb{F}_q by [10, Proposition A.5.9]. Thus by Lang's theorem [21, Corollary on page 557] the Lang map $L: G \rightarrow G, g \mapsto g \cdot \sigma^* g^{-1}$ is finite étale and surjective (although not a group homomorphism if $r > 1$ and $\mathfrak{a} \neq A$).

Since $\mathfrak{a} + \mathcal{J} = A_R$ the isomorphism $\tau_M: \sigma^* M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})} \xrightarrow{\sim} M|_{\text{Spec } A_R \setminus V(\mathcal{J})}$ of \underline{M} induces an isomorphism $\tau_{M/\mathfrak{a}M}: \sigma^* M/\mathfrak{a}M \xrightarrow{\sim} M/\mathfrak{a}M$ and makes $\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M}$ into a finite \mathbb{F}_q -shtuka, which is étale. After passing to a covering of $\text{Spec } R$ by open affine subschemes, we may assume that there is an isomorphism $\alpha: (A/\mathfrak{a})^r \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R \xrightarrow{\sim} M/\mathfrak{a}M$ and then $\alpha^{-1} \circ \tau_{M/\mathfrak{a}M} \circ \sigma^* \alpha$ is an element $b \in G(R)$ and corresponds to a morphism $b: \text{Spec } R \rightarrow G$. The fiber product $\text{Spec } R \times_{b,G,L} G$ is finite étale over $\text{Spec } R$ and of the form $\text{Spec } R'$. The projection onto the second factor G corresponds to an element $c \in G(R')$ with $c \cdot \sigma^* c^{-1} = b$, that is $c = b \cdot \sigma^* c$. This implies $\alpha \circ c = \tau_{M/\mathfrak{a}M} \circ \sigma^* (\alpha \circ c)$, and thus $\alpha \circ c$ is an isomorphism $(A/\mathfrak{a})^r \xrightarrow{\sim} (\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M})^\tau(R') := \{m \otimes M/\mathfrak{a}M \otimes_R R': m = \tau_M(\sigma_M^* m)\}$. The proposition follows from this. \square

Theorem 6.6. *Let \underline{E} be an Abelian Anderson A -module over R of rank r and let $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ be its associated effective A -motive. Let $(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be an ideal with $R \cdot \gamma(\mathfrak{a}) = R$, that is $\mathfrak{a} + \mathcal{J} = A_R$. Then for every R -algebra R' such that $\text{Spec } R'$ is connected, there is an isomorphism of A/\mathfrak{a} -modules*

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}](R') &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_{A/\mathfrak{a}}((\underline{M}/\mathfrak{a}\underline{M})^\tau(R'), \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A/\mathfrak{a}, \mathbb{F}_q)), \\ P &\longmapsto [\bar{m} \longmapsto [\bar{a} \mapsto m \circ \varphi_a(P)]] \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $\bar{s} = \text{Spec } \Omega$ is a geometric base point of $\text{Spec } R$, then $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{a}](\Omega)$ is a free A/\mathfrak{a} -module of rank r which carries a continuous action of the étale fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } R, \bar{s})$.

Proof. This result and its proof are due to Anderson [2, Proposition 1.8.3] for R a field. For general R the proof was carried out in [4, Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 8.6]. The last statement follows from Proposition 6.5. \square

7. Divisible local Anderson modules

In this section we consider the situation where $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is a maximal ideal and the elements of $\gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \subset R$ are nilpotent. Let \hat{q} be the cardinality of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = A/\mathfrak{p}$ and $f = [\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{F}_q]$, that is $\hat{q} = q^f$. We fix a uniformizing parameter $z \in \text{Frac}(A)$ at \mathfrak{p} . It defines an isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[z]] \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \varprojlim A/\mathfrak{p}^n$. We consider the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion $\hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R} := \varprojlim A_R/\mathfrak{p}^n = (\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R)[[z]]$. By continuity the

map γ extends to a ring homomorphism $\gamma: \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow R$. We consider the ideals

$$\mathfrak{a}_i = (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a)^{q^i} : a \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subset \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}. \quad (7.1)$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}$ decomposes

$$\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R} = (\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} R)[[z]] = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_i,$$

and $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_i$ is the subset of $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}$ on which $a \otimes 1$ acts as $1 \otimes \gamma(a)^{q^i}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Each factor is canonically isomorphic to $R[[z]]$. The factors are cyclically permuted by σ because $\sigma(\mathfrak{a}_i) = \mathfrak{a}_{i+1}$. In particular $\hat{\sigma} := \sigma^f$ stabilizes each factor and acts on it via $\hat{\sigma}(z) = z$ and $\hat{\sigma}(b) = b^q$ for $b \in R$. The ideal $\mathcal{J} := (a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(a) : a \in A) \subset A_R$ decomposes as follows $\mathcal{J} \cdot \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_0 = (z - \gamma(z))$ and $\mathcal{J} \cdot \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_i = (1)$ for $i \neq 0$. In particular, $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_0$ equals the \mathcal{J} -adic completion of A_R , as $\gamma(z)$ is nilpotent in R ; compare also [3, Lemma 5.3]. We also set $R((z)) := R[[z]][\frac{1}{z}]$.

Definition 7.1. A local $\hat{\sigma}$ -shtuka (or local shtuka) of rank r over R is a pair $\underline{\hat{M}} = (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}})$ consisting of a locally free $R[[z]]$ -module \hat{M} of rank r , and an isomorphism $\tau_{\hat{M}}: \hat{\sigma}^* \hat{M}[\frac{1}{z-\gamma(z)}] \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{M}[\frac{1}{z-\gamma(z)}]$. If $\tau_{\hat{M}}(\hat{\sigma}^* \hat{M}) \subset \hat{M}$ then $\underline{\hat{M}}$ is called *effective*, and if $\tau_{\hat{M}}(\hat{\sigma}^* \hat{M}) = \hat{M}$ then $\underline{\hat{M}}$ is called *étale*.

A morphism of local shtukas $f: (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}}) \rightarrow (\hat{M}', \tau_{\hat{M}'})$ over R is a morphism of $R[[z]]$ -modules $f: \hat{M} \rightarrow \hat{M}'$ which satisfies $\tau_{\hat{M}'} \circ \hat{\sigma}^* f = f \circ \tau_{\hat{M}}$.

Example 7.2. Let $\underline{M} = (M, \tau_M)$ be an A -motive over R . We consider the \mathfrak{p} -adic completion $\underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R} := (M \otimes_{A_R} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}, \tau_M \otimes 1) = \varprojlim \underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M}$. We recall the definition of \mathfrak{a}_0 from (7.1) and define the local $\hat{\sigma}$ -shtuka at \mathfrak{p} associated with \underline{M} as $\hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M}) := (M \otimes_{A_R} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_0, (\tau_M \otimes 1)^f)$, where $\tau_M^f := \tau_M \circ \sigma^* \tau_M \circ \dots \circ \sigma^{(f-1)*} \tau_M$. It equals the \mathcal{J} -adic completion of \underline{M} and therefore is effective if and only if \underline{M} is effective, because of Proposition 2.3. Of course if $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}_q$, and hence $\hat{q} = q$ and $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$, we have $\widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R} = R[[z]]$ and $\hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M}) = \underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}$.

Also for $f > 1$ the local shtuka $\hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M})$ allows to recover $\underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}$ via the isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{f-1} (\tau_M \otimes 1)^i \bmod \mathfrak{a}_i : \left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{f-1} \sigma^{i*} (M \otimes_{A_R} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_0), (\tau_M \otimes 1)^f \oplus \bigoplus_{i \neq 0} \text{id} \right) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R},$$

because for $i \neq 0$ the equality $\mathcal{J} \cdot \widehat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}/\mathfrak{a}_i = (1)$ implies that $\tau_M \otimes 1$ is an isomorphism modulo \mathfrak{a}_i ; see [18, Example 2.2] or [6, Propositions 8.8 and 8.5] for more details.

Let $\hat{M} = (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}})$ be an effective local shtuka over R . Set $\hat{M}_n := (\hat{M}_n, \tau_{\hat{M}_n}) := (\hat{M}/z^n \hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}} \bmod z^n)$ and $G_n := \mathrm{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{M}_n)$. Then G_n is a finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_p -module scheme over R and $\hat{M}_n = \underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(G_n)$ by Theorem 4.7. Moreover, G_n inherits from \hat{M}_n an action of $\mathbb{F}_p[z]/(z^n)$. The canonical epimorphisms $\hat{M}_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow \hat{M}_n$ induce closed immersions $i_n: G_n \hookrightarrow G_{n+1}$. The inductive limit $\mathrm{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{M}) := \varinjlim G_n$ in the category of sheaves on the big $fppf$ -site of $\mathrm{Spec} R$ is a sheaf of $\mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ -modules that is a \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module in the sense of the following

Definition 7.3. A \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module over R is a sheaf of $\mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ -modules G on the big $fppf$ -site of $\mathrm{Spec} R$ such that

- (a) G is \mathfrak{p} -torsion, that is $G = \varinjlim G[z^n]$, where $G[z^n] := \ker(z^n: G \rightarrow G)$;
- (b) G is \mathfrak{p} -divisible, that is $z: G \rightarrow G$ is an epimorphism;
- (c) For every n the \mathbb{F}_p -module $G[z^n]$ is representable by a finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_p -module scheme over R (Definition 4.2);
- (d) There exists an integer $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, such that $(z - \gamma(z))^d = 0$ on ω_G where $\omega_G := \varprojlim \omega_G[z^n]$ and $\omega_G[z^n] = e^* \Omega_{G[z^n]/\mathrm{Spec} R}^1$ is the pullback under the zero section $e: \mathrm{Spec} R \rightarrow G[z^n]$.

Note that the terminology \mathfrak{p} -torsion and \mathfrak{p} -divisible in relation to z reflects that $\mathbb{F}_p[[z]] = \hat{A}_p$ and $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \hat{A}_p = z \cdot \mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$.

A morphism of \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson modules over R is a morphism of $fppf$ -sheaves of $\mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ -modules. The category of divisible local Anderson modules is $\mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ -linear. It is shown in [19, Lemma 8.2] that ω_G is a finite locally free R -module and we define the *dimension of G* as $\mathrm{rk} \omega_G$. A \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module is called *étale* if $\omega_G = 0$. Since ω_G surjects onto each $\omega_G[z^n]$, this is the case if and only if all $G[z^n]$ are étale, see [19, Lemma 3.7].

Conversely with a \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module G over R one associates the local shtuka $\underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(G) := \varprojlim \underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(G[z^n])$. Multiplication with z on G gives $\underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(G)$ the structure of an $R[[z]]$ -module. In [19, Theorem 8.3] we proved the following:

Theorem 7.4.

- (a) The two contravariant functors $\mathrm{Dr}_{\hat{q}}$ and $\underline{M}_{\hat{q}}$ are mutually quasi-inverse anti-equivalences between the category of effective local shtukas over R and the category of \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson modules over R ;
- (b) Both functors are $\mathbb{F}_p[[z]]$ -linear and map short exact sequences to short exact sequences. They preserve étale objects.

Let $\hat{M} = (\hat{M}, \tau_{\hat{M}})$ be an effective local shtuka over S and let $G = \mathrm{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{M})$ be its associated \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module. Then

- (c) G is a formal Lie group if and only if $\tau_{\hat{M}}$ is topologically nilpotent, that is $\mathrm{im}(\tau_{\hat{M}}^n) \subset z^n \hat{M}$ for an integer n ;
- (d) The $R[[z]]$ -modules $\omega_{\mathrm{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{M})}$ and $\mathrm{coker} \tau_{\hat{M}}$ are canonically isomorphic.

We now want to show that for an Abelian Anderson A -module \underline{E} over R the local shtuka $\hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M}(\underline{E}))$ corresponds to the \mathfrak{p} -power torsion of \underline{E} as in the following

Definition 7.5. Let \underline{E} be an Abelian Anderson A -module over R and assume that the elements of $\gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \subset R$ are nilpotent. We define $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}] := \varinjlim \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ and call it the \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module associated with \underline{E} .

This definition is justified by the following

Theorem 7.6. Let $\underline{E} = (E, \varphi)$ be an Abelian Anderson A -module over R and assume that the elements of $\gamma(\mathfrak{p}) \subset R$ are nilpotent. Then

- (a) All $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ are finite locally free strict $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module schemes;
- (b) $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]$ is a \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module over R ;
- (c) If $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(\underline{E})$ is the associated effective A -motive of \underline{E} and $\hat{M} := \hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M}) = \underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}, R} / \mathfrak{a}_0$ is the local $\hat{\sigma}$ -shtuka at \mathfrak{p} associated with \underline{M} from Example 7.2, then there are canonical isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]) &\cong \hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M}) & \text{and} & \quad \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}] \cong \mathrm{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{M}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\underline{M})), \\ \underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}]) &\cong \underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}, R} & \text{and} & \quad \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{\infty}] \cong \mathrm{Dr}_q(\underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p}, R}), \\ \underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]) &\cong \hat{M} / \mathfrak{p}^n \hat{M} & \text{and} & \quad \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n] \cong \mathrm{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{M} / \mathfrak{p}^n \hat{M}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (a) By Lemma 4.4 we may test strictness after applying a faithfully flat base change to R and assume that $E = \mathbb{G}_{a, R}^d = \mathrm{Spec} R[x_1, \dots, x_d] = \mathrm{Spec} R[\underline{X}]$ and $\underline{M}(\underline{E}) = R\{\tau\}^{1 \times d}$. We set $B := \Gamma(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n], \mathcal{O}_{\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]})$ and $I = \ker(R[\underline{X}] \rightarrow B)$ and $I_0 = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, and consider the deformation $B^b = R[\underline{X}]/I \cdot I_0$. The endomorphisms φ_a of E for $a \in A$ satisfy $\varphi_a^*(I) \subset I$ and $\varphi_a^*(I_0) \subset I_0$. This defines a lift $A \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{R\text{-algebras}}(B^b)$, $a \mapsto [a]^b := \varphi_a^*$ compatible with addition and multiplication as in Definition 4.2.

Let $N \geq \dim \underline{E}$ be a positive integer which is a power of \hat{q} such that $\gamma(a)^N = 0$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{p}^n$. Choose $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ with $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}_q(\lambda)$ and let g be the minimal polynomial of λ over \mathbb{F}_q . Choose an element $t \in A$ with $t \bmod \mathfrak{p}^n = \lambda$ in $A/\mathfrak{p}^n = \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[z]]/(z^n)$. Then $g(t) \in \mathfrak{p}^n$, and hence $\gamma(g(t))^N = 0$. On $\mathrm{Lie} E$ the equation $g(t^N) = g(t)^N$ implies $\mathrm{Lie} \varphi_{g(t^N)} = \mathrm{Lie} \varphi_{g(t)}^N - \gamma(g(t))^N = (\mathrm{Lie} \varphi_{g(t)} - \gamma(g(t)))^N = 0$. So $\varphi_{g(t^N)} \in \mathrm{End}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(\mathbb{G}_{a, R}^d) = R\{\tau\}^{d \times d}$ as a polynomial in τ has no constant term. This means that $\varphi_{g(t^N)}^*(x_i) \in I_0^q$. Moreover, since $g(t) \in \mathfrak{p}^n$ we have $\varphi_{g(t)} = 0$ on $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ and hence $\varphi_{g(t)}^*(x_i) \in I$. Therefore $\varphi_{g(t^N)}^*(I_0) = \varphi_{g(t)}^* \circ \varphi_{g(t^{\hat{q}N-N-1})}^* \circ \varphi_{g(t^N)}^*(I_0) \subset \varphi_{g(t)}^*(I_0^q) \subset \varphi_{g(t)}^*(I_0)^2 \subset I \cdot I_0$. In other words $[g(t^{\hat{q}N})]^b = [0]^b$ on B^b . This shows that the map $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{F}_q[t^{\hat{q}N}]/(g(t^{\hat{q}N})) \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{R\text{-algebras}}(B^b)$ lifts the action of $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}[[z]]/(z^n)$ on $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ and is compatible with addition and multiplication.

We compute the induced action on the co-Lie complex $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\mathrm{Spec} R}^{\bullet}$ of $\mathcal{G} = (\mathrm{Spec} B, \mathrm{Spec} B^b)$. In degree 0 we have $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\mathrm{Spec} R}^0 = \Omega_{R[\underline{X}]/R}^1 \otimes_{R[\underline{X}], e_{R[\underline{X}]}} R =$

$\bigoplus_{i=1}^d R \cdot x_i = I_0/I_0^2$. From $t - \lambda \in \mathfrak{p}^n$ we obtain $\gamma(t^{\hat{q}^N}) - \gamma(\lambda) = \gamma(t - \lambda)^{\hat{q}^N} = 0$ in R . On $\text{Lie } E$ this implies $\text{Lie } \varphi_{t^{\hat{q}^N}} - \gamma(\lambda) = (\text{Lie } \varphi_t - \gamma(t))^{\hat{q}^N} = 0$ and therefore $\varphi_{t^{\hat{q}^N}} - \gamma(\lambda) \in \text{End}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(\mathbb{G}_{a,R}^d) = R\{\tau\}^{d \times d}$ as a polynomial in τ has no constant term. This implies that $(\varphi_{t^{\hat{q}^N}} - \gamma(\lambda))(I_0) \subset I_0^q \subset I_0^2$. We conclude that $t^{\hat{q}^N}$ acts as the scalar $\gamma(\lambda)$ on I_0/I_0^2 .

To compute the action of $t^{\hat{q}^N}$ on $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^{-1}$ we use that by Theorem 4.7(d), $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^\bullet$ is homotopically equivalent to the complex $0 \rightarrow \sigma^* M/\mathfrak{p}^n \sigma^* M \xrightarrow{\tau_M} M/\mathfrak{p}^n M \rightarrow 0$ where $\underline{M}_q(E[\mathfrak{p}^n]) = \underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M}$ and $\underline{M} = \underline{M}(E) = (M, \tau_M)$; see Theorem 6.4(c). Since $t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda) = (t \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \gamma(t))^{\hat{q}^N} = 0$ on $\text{coker } \tau_M$ there is an A_R -homomorphism $h: M \rightarrow \sigma^* M$ with $h \tau_M = (t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda)) \cdot \text{id}_{\sigma^* M}$ and $\tau_M h = (t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda)) \cdot \text{id}_M$. This means that $t^{\hat{q}^N}$ is homotopic to the scalar multiplication with $\gamma(\lambda)$ on $0 \rightarrow \sigma^* M/\mathfrak{p}^n \sigma^* M \xrightarrow{\tau_M} M/\mathfrak{p}^n M \rightarrow 0$, and therefore also on $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^\bullet$. Let $h': I_0/I_0^2 \rightarrow \ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^{-1} =: \ell^{-1}$ be this homotopy, that is $(t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda))|_{\ell^{-1}} = h'd$ and $(t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda))|_{I_0/I_0^2} = dh'$. But we must show that $t^{\hat{q}^N}$ and $\gamma(\lambda)$ are not only homotopic on $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^\bullet$, but equal.

Since $0 = g(t^{\hat{q}^N}) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} (t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda)^{q^i})$ on $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^\bullet$, we can decompose $\ell^{-1} = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z}} (\ell^{-1})_i$ where $(\ell^{-1})_i := \ker(t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda)^{q^i}: \ell^{-1} \rightarrow \ell^{-1})$. Since the differential d of $\ell_{\mathcal{G}/\text{Spec } R}^\bullet$ is an R -homomorphism and equivariant for the action of $t^{\hat{q}^N}$, it maps $(\ell^{-1})_i$ into $\ker(t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda)^{q^i}: I_0/I_0^2 \rightarrow I_0/I_0^2)$ which is trivial for $i \neq 0$. This shows that $0 = h'd = t^{\hat{q}^N} - \gamma(\lambda) = \gamma(\lambda^{q^i} - \lambda)$ on $(\ell^{-1})_i$, whence $(\ell^{-1})_i = (0)$ for $i \neq 0$, because $\gamma(\lambda^{q^i} - \lambda) \in R^\times$. We conclude that $\ell^{-1} = (\ell^{-1})_0$ and $t^{\hat{q}^N}$ acts as the scalar $\gamma(\lambda)$ on ℓ^{-1} . This proves that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ is a finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_p -module scheme over R .

(b) By construction $\ker(z^n: \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty] \rightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]) = \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ and $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$ is \mathfrak{p} -torsion. Using the epimorphism $j_{n+1,n}: \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^{n+1}] \twoheadrightarrow \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ from (6.1) with $i_{n,n+1} \circ j_{n+1,n} = \varphi_z$ we see that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$ is \mathfrak{p} -divisible. In (a) we saw that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ is representable by a finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_p -module scheme over R . It remains to verify condition (d) of Definition 7.3. Since $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n] \hookrightarrow \underline{E}$ is a closed immersion, $\omega_{\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]}$ is a quotient of $\omega_{\underline{E}} = \text{Hom}_R(\text{Lie } \underline{E}, R)$. Since $A/\mathfrak{p}^n = \mathbb{F}_p[[z]]/(z^n)$, there is an element $a \in A$ with $z - a \in \mathfrak{p}^n$, whence $\varphi_a = \varphi_z$ on $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$. Therefore $(\text{Lie } \varphi_a - \gamma(a))^d = 0$ on $\text{Lie } \underline{E}$ implies $(\varphi_z - \gamma(z))^N = (\varphi_a - \gamma(a))^N + \gamma(a - z)^N = 0$ on $\omega_{\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]}$. It follows that $(\varphi_z - \gamma(z))^N = 0$ on $\omega_{\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]} := \varprojlim \omega_{\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]}$, and that $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]$ is a \mathfrak{p} -divisible local Anderson module over R .

(c) We have $\underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]) = \text{Hom}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_q\text{-lin}}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n], \mathbb{G}_{a,R}) = \underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M}$ and $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n] = \text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M})$ by Theorem 6.4(c). This implies

$$\underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]) = \varprojlim \underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]) = \varprojlim \underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M} = \underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}$$

$$\text{and } \underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty] = \varinjlim \text{Dr}_q(\underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M}) = \text{Dr}_q(\varprojlim \underline{M}/\mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M}) = \text{Dr}_q(\underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \hat{A}_{\mathfrak{p},R}).$$

On $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ acts as φ_λ and on $\mathbb{G}_{a,R}$ as $\gamma(\lambda)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]) &= \operatorname{Hom}_{R\text{-groups}, \mathbb{F}_p\text{-lin}}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n], \mathbb{G}_{a,R}) \\ &= \underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]) / \mathfrak{a}_0 \underline{M}_q(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]) \\ &= \underline{M} / \mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M} \otimes_{\hat{A}_{p,R}} \hat{A}_{p,R} / \mathfrak{a}_0 \\ &= \hat{\underline{M}} / \mathfrak{p}^n \hat{\underline{M}},\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is due to the fact that $\hat{A}_{p,R} / \mathfrak{a}_0$ is the summand of $\hat{A}_{p,R}$ on which $\lambda \otimes 1$ acts as $1 \otimes \gamma(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$. This implies

$$\underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty]) = \varprojlim \underline{M} / \mathfrak{p}^n \underline{M} \otimes_{\hat{A}_{p,R}} \hat{A}_{p,R} / \mathfrak{a}_0 = \underline{M} \otimes_{A_R} \hat{A}_{p,R} / \mathfrak{a}_0 = \hat{\underline{M}}_p(\underline{M}) = \hat{\underline{M}}.$$

On the other hand, since $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n]$ is a finite locally free strict \mathbb{F}_p -module by (a), $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n] = \operatorname{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\underline{M}_{\hat{q}}(\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^n])) = \operatorname{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{\underline{M}} / \mathfrak{p}^n \hat{\underline{M}})$ by Theorem 4.7(e), and so $\underline{E}[\mathfrak{p}^\infty] = \varinjlim \operatorname{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{\underline{M}} / \mathfrak{p}^n \hat{\underline{M}}) = \operatorname{Dr}_{\hat{q}}(\hat{\underline{M}}_p(\underline{M}))$. \square

References

- [1] V. ABRASHKIN, *Galois modules arising from Faltings's strict modules*, Compos. Math. **142** (2006), 867–888.
- [2] G. ANDERSON, *t-Motives*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 457–502.
- [3] E. ARASTEH RAD and U. HARTL, *Local \mathbb{P} -shtukas and their relation to global \mathfrak{S} -shtukas*, Münster J. Math. **7** (2014), 623–670.
- [4] G. BÖCKLE and U. HARTL, *Uniformizable families of t-motives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), 3933–3972.
- [5] M. BORNHOFEN and U. HARTL, *Pure Anderson motives over finite fields*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 247–283.
- [6] M. BORNHOFEN and U. HARTL, *Pure Anderson motives and Abelian τ -sheaves*, Math. Z. **268** (2011), 67–100.
- [7] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT and M. RAYNAUD, “Néron Models”, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) Vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [8] N. BOURBAKI, “Éléments de Mathématique, Algèbre”, Chapitres 1 à 3, Hermann, Paris, 1970.
- [9] L. CARLITZ, *On certain functions connected with polynomials in a Galois field*, Duke Math. J. **1** (1935), 137–168.
- [10] B. CONRAD, O. GABBER and G. PRASAD, “Pseudo-reductive Groups”, New Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 17, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [11] M. DEMAZURE and P. GABRIEL, “Groupes Algébriques”, Tome I, “Géométrie Algébrique, Généralités, Groupes Commutatifs”, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1970.
- [12] V. G. DRINFELD, *Elliptic modules*, Mat. Sb. **23** (1974), 561–592.
- [13] V. G. DRINFELD, *Moduli varieties of F-sheaves*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **21** (1987), 107–122.
- [14] A. GROTHENDIECK, “Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique”, Publ. Math. IHES Vol. 4, 8, 11, 17, 20, 24, 28, 32, Bures-Sur-Yvette, 1960–1967; see also Grundlehren Vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. 1971.

- [15] D. EISENBUD, “Commutative Algebra with a View Toward Algebraic Geometry”, GTM Vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1995.
- [16] G. FALTINGS, *Group schemes with strict \mathcal{O} -action*, Mosc. Math. J. **2** (2002), 249–279.
- [17] U. GÖRTZ and T. WEDHORN, “Algebraic Geometry, Part I: schemes”, Vieweg + Teubner, Wiesbaden, 2010.
- [18] U. HARTL and W. KIM, *Local shtukas, Hodge-Pink structures and Galois representations*, In: “Proceedings of the Conference on ‘ t -motives: Hodge structures, transcendence and other motivic aspects””, G. Böckle, D. Goss, U. Hartl, M. Papanikolas (eds.), BIRS, Banff, Canada 2009, EMS 2016.
- [19] U. HARTL and R. K. SINGH, *Local Shtukas and divisible local Anderson modules*, Can. J. Math. (2019), DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2018-016-2>.
- [20] L. ILLUSIE, “Complexe Cotangent et Déformations II”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 283, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [21] S. LANG, *Algebraic groups over finite fields*, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 555–563.
- [22] G. LAUMON, “Cohomology of Drinfeld Modular Varieties I”, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics Vol. 41, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [23] É. LUCAS, *Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques*, Amer. J. Math. **1** (1878), 184–196, 197–240, 289–321.
- [24] H. MATZAT, *Introduction to Drinfeld modules*, In: “Drinfeld Modules, Modular Schemes and Applications” (Alden-Biesen, 1996), World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1997, 3–16.
- [25] J. MILNE, “Étale Cohomology”, Princeton Mathematical Series 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [26] M. PAPANIKOLAS, *Tannakian duality for Anderson-Drinfeld motives and algebraic independence of Carlitz logarithms*, Invent. Math. **171** (2008), 123–174.
- [27] M. SAÏDI, *Moduli schemes of Drinfeld modules*, In: “Drinfeld Modules, Modular Schemes and Applications”, E.-U. Gekeler et al. (eds.), World Scientific, 1997, 17–31.
- [28] J.-P. SERRE, “Algebraic Groups and Class Fields”, GTM Vol. 117, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc., 1988.
- [29] M. DEMAZURE and A. GROTHENDIECK, “SGA 3: Schémas en Groupes I, II, III”, LNM Vol. 151, 152, 153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, etc., 1970.
- [30] L. TAEMLAN, *Artin t -motifs*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 142–157.
- [31] Y. TAGUCHI, *A duality for finite t -modules*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **2** (1995), 563–588.

Universität Münster
 Mathematisches Institut
 Einsteinstr. 62
 D – 48149 Münster, Germany
 urs.hartl@uni.muenster.de